First order quasilinear equations

Harald Hanche-Olsen

More general quasilinear equations

Here we look at the general quasilinear equation in two dimensions:

$$au_x + bu_y = c,\tag{1}$$

in which a, b, and c are really a(x, y, u(x, y)), b(x, y, u(x, y)), and c(x, y, u(x, y)). (It is the dependence of the coefficients on u that makes the equations quasilinear.)

Consider any smooth curve (x(t), y(t)). Then, assuming *u* is a classical solution of (1), we put z(t) = u(x(t), y(t)), and find

$$z'(t) = x'(t)u_x + y'(t)u_y$$

so that if x'(t) = a and y'(t) = b, then

$$z' = au_x + bu_y = c.$$

This all means that (x, y, z) satify the *characteristic equations*

$$x'(t) = a(x, y, z),$$
 $y'(t) = b(x, y, z),$ $z'(t) = c(x, y, z).$ (2)

To summarize so far: Assume that u is a classical solution of (1). Through each point in the graph of u,

$$graph(u) = \{(x, y, z) | z = u(x, y)\},\$$

there passes a characteristic curve (x(t), y(t), z(t)) solving (2). Moreover, each such characteristic curve will lie within the graph of u.

The solution strategy for (1) can now be explained: Since the graph of a classical solution is a union of characteristic curves, we try to construct solutions by putting together characteristic curves.

The graph of u will be two-dimensional, and a characteristic curve is onedimensional; so it makes sense to use another variable to keep track of the characteristic curves.

In other words, to construct a solution, we look for three functions x(s, t), y(s, t), z(s, t) which parametrize a characteristic curve as function of t for each s. In other words, they should satisfy

$$x_t = a, \qquad y_t = b, \qquad z_t = c$$

Version 2018-08-27

where, as always, *a*, *b*, and *c* are considered functions of *x*, *y*, and *z*.¹ Additionally, we assume that these are C^1 functions, and that (x(s, t), y(s, t)) has a C^1 inverse, mapping (x, y) to (s, t). Then we can define *u* by

$$u(x(s,t), y(s,t)) = z(s,t).$$

Differentiating this equation with respect to *t* yields $x_t u_x + y_t u_y = z_t$, which is the same as (1).

To make this construction more concrete, putting t = 0 yields a parametric curve γ in the (x, y)-plane: (x(s, 0), y(s, 0)), or, if we add a coordinate, a curve Γ in graph(u) parametrized as (x(s, 0), y(s, 0), z(s, 0)). The requirement that (x(s, t), y(s, t)) has a C^1 inverse implies that the matrix $\begin{pmatrix} x_s & x_t \\ y_s & y_t \end{pmatrix}$ is non-singular. At t = 0 this simply means that Γ is not tangent to the characteristic curves. We refer to this as the *non-characteristic condition*.

We now see what is a natural condition to impose in order to obtain a unique solution to (1): Namely, given a curve γ in the (x, y)-plane, and a function g on γ , assume that the curve Γ given by points (ξ, η, ζ) with (ξ, η) on γ and $\zeta = g(\xi, \eta)$ satisfies the non-characteristic condition. Then (1) has a solution u satisfying the condition

$$u = g \text{ on } \gamma. \tag{3}$$

This solution will exist on a neighbourhood of γ , and be unique there. (Though we must be careful with any end points of γ : They should not be points on γ themselves, as we would then be obliged to extend the solution beyond the end of γ .)

A problem of the form (1) with (3) is called a *Cauchy problem* for (1). More generally, a Cauchy problem for a PDE is the problem of solving the PDE along with certain conditions along a curve, or more generally a hypersurface. Often, as is the case here, the PDE more or less dictates the proper form of the Cauchy problem after some analysis.

¹Well, they were functions of x, y, and u, right? But in this solution, we should think of u and z as being the same. We write u when we emphasize the solution u(t, x), but z when we think of the characteristic curves, as in z(t) or z(s, t). After you have gained some experience, you may find it easier to forget about z and just write u. But this may be too confusing in the beginning.

Time to collision

Here we consider an IVP (initial value problem) for a quasilinear equation:

$$u_t + a(u)u_x = 0, \qquad u(0, x) = g(x)$$
 (4)

where the PDE is supposed to hold for t > 0, and $g : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is given. For simplicity, we will assume that *a* and *g* are C^1 functions.

The characteristic equations will be

$$x'(t) = a(u(t)), \qquad u'(t) = 0.$$

To fit with the framework of the more general quasilinear equation, we really should choose a different parameter, say, \hat{t} , for the characteristic, and write $t'(\hat{t}) = 1$, $x'(\hat{t}) = a(x(\hat{t}))$, and $u'(\hat{t}) = 0$. But the first equation, along with the natural choice t(0) = 0, yields $t(\hat{t}) = \hat{t}$: So we can (and do) just skip all that, and go for *t* as the parameter on the characteristic curves right away.

By the second equation, u is constant along any characteristic, and hence so is x', by the first equation. Thus x(t) has the form $x(t) = ct + \xi$ for constants c and ξ . Setting t = 0 and recalling that u(t) should really be u(t, x(t)), we obtain $c = x'(t) = x'(0) = a(u(0, x(0))) = a(g(\xi))$. Writing

$$c(\xi) = a(g(\xi)),$$

we conclude that the characteristics have the form

$$x = c(\xi)t + \xi,\tag{5}$$

and since *u* is constant along this characteristic, we must have

$$u(t,x) = g(\xi). \tag{6}$$

To find u(t, x) from (6), we need to solve (5) with respect to ξ for given (t, x). Taking the derivative in (5), we get

$$\frac{\partial x}{\partial \xi} = 1 + tc'(\xi).$$

If $c'(\xi) \ge 0$ for all ξ , it is clear that (5) can be solved with respect to ξ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and $t \ge 0$.

If $c'(\xi) < 0$ for some ξ , however, then we cannot do this when *t* is too large. Clearly, the critical time in this case is

$$\tau = \frac{-1}{\inf_{\xi \in \mathbb{R}} c'(\xi)}.$$

Version 2018-08-27

When $0 < t < \tau$, we can solve (5) for ξ , while when $t > \tau$, we cannot.

Thus τ is the first time of collision of the characteriestics, after which there is no longer a classical solution.

The general quasilinear equation in higher dimensions

We can do the exact same procedure, with the same arguments, in *n* dimensions as we did in first section of this note. Here we summarize the construction *very* briefly.

A general quasilinear equation then takes the form

$$\mathbf{a}(\mathbf{x}, u(\mathbf{x})) \cdot \nabla u(\mathbf{x}) = c(\mathbf{x}, u(\mathbf{x})), \qquad \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n, \tag{7}$$

with given functions **a** and *c*.

The characteristic equations become

$$\mathbf{x}'(t) = \mathbf{a}\big(\mathbf{x}(t), z(t)\big), \qquad z'(t) = c\big(\mathbf{x}(t), z(t)\big),$$

or written in a more compact form:

$$\mathbf{x}' = \mathbf{a}(\mathbf{x}, z), \qquad z' = c(\mathbf{x}, z). \tag{8}$$

Assume now that we are given the PDE (7) with the extra condition

$$u(\boldsymbol{\xi}) = g(\boldsymbol{\xi}), \qquad \boldsymbol{\xi} \in \boldsymbol{\gamma}, \tag{9}$$

where $\gamma \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is a *hypersurface*, i.e., a surface of dimension n - 1. The non-characteristic condition now says that $\mathbf{a}(\xi, g(\xi))$ is not tangent to γ for any $\xi \in \gamma$.

For any $\xi \in \gamma$, standard ODE theory guarantees the existence of a solution of (8) satisfying $\mathbf{x}(0) = \xi$ and $z(0) = g(\xi)$. Write $(\mathbf{x}(t; \xi), z(t; \xi))$ for this solution, and define

$$u(\mathbf{x}(t;\boldsymbol{\xi})) = z(\mathbf{x}(t;\boldsymbol{\xi})), \qquad \boldsymbol{\xi} \in \boldsymbol{\gamma},$$

where again, we can show that this is well defined (for *t* sufficiently close to 0) by using the inverse function theorem. Further, the n + 1 variables *t*, ξ are essentially only *n* variables, because γ is (n - 1)-dimensional. The proof that this produces a classical solution is similar to the 2-dimensional case.