## Weak maximum principle for the heat equation

## Harald Hanche-Olsen

In this note, we consider the standard heat equation

$$u_t - \bigtriangleup u = 0$$
 in  $\Omega_T$ 

in which  $\Omega_T = (0, T) \times \Omega$  where  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  is a *bounded* region, T > 0, and

$$u \in C(\overline{\Omega_T}) \cap C^2(\Omega_T).$$

We think of  $\Omega_T$  as an open *cylinder* with base  $\Omega$  and height *T*. Its closure is a closed cylinder:  $\overline{\Omega_T} = [0, T] \times \overline{\Omega}$ .

**Definition.** The *parabolic boundary* of  $\Omega_T$  is the set

$$\Gamma = (\{0\} \times \overline{\Omega}) \cup ([0, t] \times \partial \Omega).$$

Clearly,  $\Gamma$  is contained in the normal boundary  $\partial \Omega_T$ ; the difference is

$$\partial \Omega_T \setminus \Gamma = \{T\} \times \Omega.$$

We call  $\{T\} \times \Omega$  the *final boundary* of  $\Omega_T$  (nonstandard nomenclature).

**Observation.** If a  $C^2$  function v has a maximum at some point in  $\Omega_T$ , then  $v_t = 0$  and  $\Delta v \leq 0$  at that point, so we get  $v_t - \Delta v \geq 0$  there. Moreover, this holds at the final boundary as well, the only difference being that there, we can only conclude  $v_t \geq 0$  and  $\Delta v \leq 0$ . In other words,

 $v_t - \triangle v \ge 0$  at any maximum in  $\overline{\Omega_T} \setminus \Gamma$ .

We must face a minor technical glitch: The above statement requires that v is  $C^2$  up to and including the final boundary of  $\Omega_T$ . This complicates the proof of the following theorem, but only a little.

**Theorem 1** (The weak maximum principle). Assume that  $u \in C(\overline{\Omega_T}) \cap C^2(\Omega_T)$  satisfies

$$u_t - \triangle u \le 0.$$

Then  $u(t, \mathbf{x}) \leq \max_{\Gamma} u$  for all  $(t, \mathbf{x}) \in \overline{\Omega_T}$ . In other words, u achieves its maximum on the parabolic boundary.

## Weak maximum principle for the heat equation

*Proof.* First, to deal with the "minor technical glitch" mentioned above, we shall strengthen the assumptions somewhat, and assume that  $u \in C^2((0,T] \times \Omega)$ . We will remove this extra assumption at the end.

Now let  $\epsilon > 0$ , and put  $v(t, \mathbf{x}) = u(t, \mathbf{x}) - \epsilon t$ . Then  $v_t - \Delta v \le -\epsilon < 0$ , and so it follows *immediately* from the Observation above that v cannot achieve its maximum anywhere outside  $\Gamma$ . On the other hand, since v is continuous and  $\overline{\Omega_T}$ is compact, v does have a maximum in  $\overline{\Omega_T}$ , and so we must conclude that  $v(t, \mathbf{x}) \le$  $\max_{\Gamma} v$  for any  $(t, \mathbf{x}) \in \overline{\Omega_T}$ . But then  $u(t, \mathbf{x}) = v(t, \mathbf{x}) + \epsilon t \le \max_{\Gamma} v + \epsilon T \le$  $\max_{\Gamma} u + \epsilon T$ . Since this holds for any  $\epsilon > 0$ , it finally follows that  $u(t, \mathbf{x}) \le \max_{\Gamma} u$ , and the proof is complete, with the strengthened assumptions.

We now drop the requirement that  $u \in C^2((0, T] \times \Omega)$ . However, it is *still* true that  $u \in C^2((0, T'] \times \Omega)$ , for any T' < T, so the first part shows that  $u(t, \mathbf{x}) \leq \max_{\Gamma_{T'}} u$  for all  $(t, \mathbf{x}) \in \overline{\Omega_{T'}}$ . Here  $\Gamma_{T'}$  is the parabolic boundary of  $\Omega_{T'}$ . But  $\Gamma_{T'} \subset \Gamma$ , so we also have  $u(t, \mathbf{x}) \leq \max_{\Gamma} u$ . For any t < T, we can pick T' with t < T' < T, so the inequality holds. Finally, it also holds for t = T, since u is continuous on  $\overline{\Omega_T}$ . This, at last, completes the proof.

It should come as no surprise that there is also a *minimum* principle. It is proved by replacing u by -u in Theorem 1.

**Corollary 2** (The weak minimum principle). Assume that  $u \in C(\overline{\Omega_T}) \cap C^2(\Omega_T)$  satisfies

$$u_t - \triangle u \ge 0.$$

Then  $u(t, \mathbf{x}) \ge \min_{\Gamma} u$  for all  $(t, \mathbf{x}) \in \overline{\Omega_T}$ . In other words, u achieves its minimum on the parabolic boundary.

We will mostly be concerned with solutions of the heat equation  $u_t - \Delta u = 0$ , and for these, both the maximum principle and the minimum principle can be used. But we may also wish to study inhomogeneous equations  $u_t - \Delta u = f$ , and if *f* has a definite sign, one or the other principle will apply.

**Corollary 3** (Uniqueness for the heat equation). *There exists at most one solution*  $u \in C(\overline{\Omega_T}) \cap C^2(\Omega_T)$  to the problem

$$u_t - \bigtriangleup u = f \quad in \ \Omega_T,$$
$$u = g \quad on \ \Gamma.$$

Here, f and g are given functions on  $\Omega_T$  and  $\Gamma$ , respectively. (Thus g combines initial values and boundary values in one function.)

*Proof.* Let *u* be the difference between two solutions to this problem: Then *u* solves the same problem, but with f = 0 and g = 0. Thus *u* achieves both its minimum and maximum on  $\Gamma$ , but u = 0 there, so u = 0 everywhere.

The following corollary is proved in essentially the same way, by applying the minimum and maximum principles to  $u_1 - u_2$ . Note that it immediately implies the preceding corollary by taking  $g_1 = g_2$ .

**Corollary 4** (Continuous dependence on data). Let  $u_1$  and  $u_2$  satisfy

$$\begin{array}{c} u_{it} - \bigtriangleup u_i = f \quad in \ \Omega_T, \\ u_i = g_i \quad on \ \Gamma, \end{array} \right\} \quad for \ i = 1, 2.$$

*Then*  $|u_1 - u_2| \le \max_{\Gamma} |g_1 - g_2|$ .

**Exercise** (Continuous dependence on data, improved). Assume that  $u_1$  and  $u_2$  satisfy

$$\begin{array}{c} u_{it} - \bigtriangleup u_i = f_i & \text{in } \Omega_T, \\ u_i = g_i & \text{on } \Gamma, \end{array} \right\} \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2.$$

Let  $\phi = \sup_{\Omega_T} |f_1 - f_2|$  and  $\gamma = \max_{\Gamma} |g_1 - g_2|$ , and show that  $|u_1 - u_2| \le \gamma + \phi T$ . Note that for any *t*, we can pick T = t, so we really get  $|u_1 - u_2| \le \gamma + \phi t$ . *Hint*: Apply the maximum principle to  $u_1 - u_2 - \phi t$  and  $u_2 - u_1 - \phi t$ .