

# Harmonic functionology

Harald Hanche-Olsen

The Laplace operator on  $\mathbb{R}^n$

$$\Delta = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i^2}$$

plays a rôle in the wave and heat equations, but even more fundamentally, in the *Laplace equation*

$$\Delta u = 0 \tag{1}$$

and the *Poisson equation*  $-\Delta u = f$  where  $f$  is a given function.

A  $C^2$  solution of (1) is called *harmonic*. (Later, we will find that harmonic functions are in fact infinitely differentiable.)

Much is gained from the trivial observation that  $\Delta u = \nabla \cdot \nabla u$  together with various applications of the divergence theorem or two of its corollaries, Green's first and second identities.

Let's get started by simply integrating  $\Delta u$  over a bounded domain  $\omega$  with piecewise  $C^1$  boundary:

$$\int_{\omega} \Delta u(\mathbf{x}) \, d^n \mathbf{x} = \int_{\omega} \nabla \cdot \nabla u(\mathbf{x}) \, d^n \mathbf{x} = \int_{\partial \omega} \partial_{\nu} u(\mathbf{x}) \, dS(\mathbf{x}). \tag{2}$$

This immediately proves

**Proposition 1.** *If a  $C^2$  function  $u$  on a domain  $\Omega$  is harmonic, then*

$$\int_{\partial \omega} \partial_{\nu} u \, dS = 0 \tag{3}$$

for all bounded domains  $\omega$  with  $\bar{\omega} \subset \Omega$  having piecewise  $C^1$  boundary.

Conversely, if (3) holds for every ball  $\omega = B(\mathbf{x}, r)$  whose closure lies within  $\Omega$ , then  $u$  is harmonic.

*Proof.* We have already proved the first part. For the converse, (3) and (2) imply that the *average* of  $\Delta u$  over any ball is zero. By letting the radius of the ball  $B(\mathbf{x}, r)$  tend to zero, we conclude that  $\Delta u(\mathbf{x}) = 0$ . ■

**Definition.** The (*radius  $r$* ) *spherical average* of a function  $u$  at a point  $\mathbf{x}$  is defined to be

$$\tilde{u}_{\mathbf{x}}(r) = \int_{\partial B(\mathbf{x}, r)} u \, dS = \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} u(\mathbf{x} + r\mathbf{y}) \, dS(\mathbf{y}),$$

where  $\mathbb{S}^{n-1} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  is the unit sphere and the “barred” integral signs denote the average:

$$\bar{\int}_{\partial B(\mathbf{x},r)} u \, dS = \frac{1}{A_n r^{n-1}} \int_{\partial B(\mathbf{x},r)} u \, dS,$$

where  $A_n$  is the area of  $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ . Note that the second integral in the definition of  $\bar{u}_x(r)$  makes sense even for  $r < 0$ ; thus, we adopt this as the definition for all real  $r$  for which the integrand is defined on  $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ . We see that  $\bar{u}_x$  is an *even* function; it is  $C^k$  if  $u$  is  $C^k$ , and  $\bar{u}_x(0) = u(\mathbf{x})$ .

When  $\omega$  is a ball, we can rewrite (2) in terms of spherical averages: Noting that the volume of the ball  $B(\mathbf{x}, r)$  is  $A_n r^n/n$ , we find

$$\bar{\int}_{B(\mathbf{x},r)} \Delta u(\mathbf{y}) \, d^n \mathbf{y} = \frac{n}{r} \bar{\int}_{B(\mathbf{x},r)} \partial_\nu u(\mathbf{y}) \, dS(\mathbf{y}) = \frac{n}{r} \bar{\int}_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \partial_r u(\mathbf{x} + r\mathbf{y}) \, dS(\mathbf{y}),$$

where we can move the  $r$  derivative outside the integral, and arrive at

$$\bar{\int}_{B(\mathbf{x},r)} \Delta u(\mathbf{y}) \, d^n \mathbf{y} = \frac{n}{r} \bar{u}'_x(r). \quad (4)$$

Along with  $\bar{u}_x(0) = u(\mathbf{x})$ , this implies

**Theorem 2** (The mean value property of harmonic functions). *A  $C^2$  function  $u$  on a domain  $\Omega$  is harmonic if and only if  $\bar{u}_x(r) = u(\mathbf{x})$  for all  $x \in \Omega$  and all  $r$  for which  $\bar{B}(\mathbf{x}, |r|) \subset \Omega$ .*

In general, we say a function  $u$  satisfies the *mean value property* if  $\bar{u}_x(r) = u(\mathbf{x})$  whenever  $\bar{B}(\mathbf{x}, |r|) \subset \Omega$ . We shall see below (Theorem 4) that the mean value property characterizes harmonic functions. But first, we collect an easy consequence of (4).

**Proposition 3.** *For any  $C^2$  function  $u$ , we have*

$$\Delta u(\mathbf{x}) = n\bar{u}''_x(0).$$

*Proof.* The function  $\bar{u}_x$  is even, so  $\bar{u}'_x(0) = 0$ . Therefore, letting  $r \rightarrow 0$  in (4), we arrive at the stated result. ■

**Theorem 4** (The mean value property and regularity). *Assume that a continuous function  $u$  satisfies the mean value property on a domain  $\Omega$ . Then  $u$  is infinitely differentiable, and is therefore harmonic. In particular, every harmonic function is infinitely differentiable.*

*Proof.* This proof may seem long, but only because we use it to develop some tools that have wider applicability.

First, define a *standard mollifier*  $\rho : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . Here is one of many possible definitions:

$$\rho(\mathbf{x}) = \begin{cases} ae^{1/(|\mathbf{x}|^2-1)}, & |\mathbf{x}| < 1 \\ 0, & |\mathbf{x}| \geq 1, \end{cases}$$

where  $a > 0$  is chosen to ensure that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \rho \, d\mathbf{x} = 1.$$

That is one of the defining qualities of a standard mollifier. The others are: That  $\rho \geq 0$  everywhere, that it vanishes outside the unit ball, that it is infinitely differentiable, and is radially symmetric – that is, a function of  $|\mathbf{x}|$  alone.

For any  $\delta > 0$  we can squeeze the mollifier to fit inside a ball of radius  $\delta$ :

$$\rho_\delta(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{\delta^n} \rho\left(\frac{\mathbf{x}}{\delta}\right),$$

so that  $\rho_\delta$  also has integral 1, but vanishes outside the ball  $B(0, \delta)$ .

Now we consider the convolution product

$$u * \rho_\delta(\mathbf{x}) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u(\mathbf{y}) \rho_\delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}) \, d^n \mathbf{y}.$$

This is defined for all  $x \in \Omega$  with a distance less than  $\delta$  to the complement of  $\Omega$ . Thus, for any  $x \in \Omega$ , we can make  $\delta$  small enough so that  $u * \rho_\delta$  is defined at  $\mathbf{x}$ .

Moreover,  $u * \rho_\delta$  is infinitely differentiable: This is proved by differentiating with respect to the components of  $\mathbf{x}$  under the integral sign, as much as you like.

Finally, the mean value property of  $u$  and the radial symmetry of  $\rho_\delta$  combine to ensure that  $u(\mathbf{x}) = u * \rho(\mathbf{x})$  for all  $\mathbf{x}$  where  $u * \rho$  is defined, which is what we were going to prove.

For a detailed argument, write

$$u * \rho(\mathbf{x}) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}) \rho_\delta(\mathbf{y}) \, d^n \mathbf{y}$$

and write the integral in polar form:

$$\begin{aligned} u * \rho(\mathbf{x}) &= \int_0^\delta \int_{\partial B(\mathbf{x}, r)} u(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}) \rho_\delta(\mathbf{y}) \, dS(\mathbf{y}) \, dr \\ &= \int_0^\delta \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} u(\mathbf{x} - r\mathbf{y}) \rho_\delta(r\mathbf{y}) \, dS(\mathbf{y}) \, r^{n-1} \, dr. \end{aligned}$$

Now use the radial symmetry:  $\rho_\delta(r\mathbf{y})$  is constant for  $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ , so this factor can be moved outside the inner integral. Next, use the mean value property of  $u$ :

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} u(\mathbf{x} - r\mathbf{y})\rho_\delta(r\mathbf{y}) d^n \mathbf{y} = A_n u(\mathbf{x}).$$

But  $u(\mathbf{x})$  is a constant, which we move outside the outer integral. We are left with

$$u * \rho(\mathbf{x}) = u(\mathbf{x}) \int_0^\delta r^{n-1} A_n \rho_\delta(r\mathbf{y}) dr$$

where  $\mathbf{y}$  is any unit vector. But running the whole calculation in reverse, this time without the  $u$  term, reveals that the integral here is merely the integral of  $\rho_\delta$ , which has the value 1. Thus we are left with  $u * \rho(\mathbf{x}) = u(\mathbf{x})$ , as claimed. ■

## The maximum principle

**Definition.** A  $C^2$  function  $u$  is called *subharmonic* if  $\Delta u \geq 0$ , and *superharmonic* if  $\Delta u \leq 0$ . Thus it is harmonic if and only if it is both subharmonic and superharmonic. (The reason for the naming will become clear later; see Corollary 7.) Clearly,  $u$  is superharmonic if and only if  $-u$  is subharmonic.

**Theorem 5** (Strong maximum principle). *Assume that  $u \in C^2(\Omega)$  is subharmonic in a region  $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ . If  $u$  has a global maximum in  $\Omega$ , then  $u$  is constant.*

*Proof.* Let  $M$  be the global maximum of  $u$ , and put

$$S = \{\mathbf{x} \in \Omega \mid u(\mathbf{x}) = M\}.$$

Then  $S$  is a closed subset of  $\Omega$ , by the continuity of  $u$ . It is also nonempty by assumption.

Consider any  $\mathbf{x} \in S$ . From (4) and the subharmonicity of  $u$ , we get  $\tilde{u}'_{\mathbf{x}}(r) \geq 0$  for  $r > 0$ . Thus we get  $\tilde{u}_{\mathbf{x}}(r) \geq \tilde{u}_{\mathbf{x}}(0) = u(\mathbf{x}) = M$  for  $r > 0$  (so long as  $\bar{B}(\mathbf{x}, r) \subset \Omega$ ). But  $u \leq M$  everywhere, and if  $u < M$  anywhere on the sphere  $\partial B(\mathbf{x}, r)$ , we would get  $\tilde{u}'_{\mathbf{x}}(r) < 0$ . Thus  $u$  is constant equal to  $M$  on  $\partial B(\mathbf{x}, r)$  for any small enough  $r$ , and therefore,  $u$  is constant in some neighbourhood of  $\mathbf{x}$ . This means that  $S$  is open.

Since  $S$  is an open, closed, and nonempty subset of the connected set  $\Omega$ , we must have  $S = \Omega$ , and the proof is complete. ■

**Remark.** Obviously, we obtain a strong *minimum* principle for *superharmonic* functions by multiplying by  $-1$ . In particular, a non-constant harmonic function cannot attain a minimum or maximum value anywhere in  $\Omega$ .

**Corollary 6** (Weak maximum principle). *Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be a bounded domain, and assume that  $u \in C(\overline{\Omega}) \cap C^2(\Omega)$  is subharmonic. Then*

$$\max\{u(\mathbf{x}) \mid \mathbf{x} \in \overline{\Omega}\} = \max\{u(\mathbf{x}) \mid \mathbf{x} \in \partial\Omega\}.$$

*In particular, a harmonic function which is continuous on  $\overline{\Omega}$  attains its minimum and maximum values on the boundary  $\partial\Omega$ .*

*Proof.* The weak principle is an obvious consequence of the strong principle. However, it is worth noting that a much more elementary proof exists. Namely, for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , let  $v(\mathbf{x}) = u(\mathbf{x}) + \varepsilon|\mathbf{x}|^2$ , and note that then  $\Delta v > 0$ . But  $\Delta v(\mathbf{x}) \leq 0$  if  $\mathbf{x}$  is an interior minimum point for  $v$ , so  $v$  cannot have any maximum in the interior. Thus for any  $\mathbf{x} \in \Omega$ ,

$$u(\mathbf{x}) = v(\mathbf{x}) - \varepsilon|\mathbf{x}|^2 \leq \max_{\partial\Omega} v \leq \max_{\partial\Omega} u + \varepsilon \max_{\mathbf{x} \in \partial\Omega} |\mathbf{x}|^2.$$

Now let  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$  to arrive at the conclusion  $u(\mathbf{x}) \leq \max_{\partial\Omega} u$ . ■

Our next result explains the terms *sub-* and *superharmonic*: A subharmonic function is below, and a superharmonic above, a harmonic function given the same boundary data.

**Corollary 7.** *Assume that  $\Omega$  is a bounded domain, that  $u, v \in C(\overline{\Omega}) \cap C^2(\Omega)$ , that  $u$  is harmonic in  $\Omega$ , and that  $v = u$  on  $\partial\Omega$ . If  $v$  is subharmonic, then  $v \leq u$  in  $\Omega$ , while if  $v$  is superharmonic, then  $v \geq u$  in  $\Omega$ .*

*Proof.* Apply the weak maximum principle to  $v - u$  if  $v$  is subharmonic, or to  $u - v$  if  $v$  is superharmonic. ■

**Remark.** Corollary 7 suggests a strategy for proving existence of a solution to the Dirichlet problem for the Laplace equation: Assume we are trying to find a harmonic function on  $\Omega$  with the given boundary value  $g$ . Consider the pointwise *supremum* of all subharmonic functions which are  $\leq g$  on  $\partial\Omega$ , and the pointwise *infimum* of all superharmonic functions which are  $\geq g$  on  $\partial\Omega$ . If the two functions coincide, they should provide a solution to the problem. This is the basis for *Perron's method*, which we will hopefully get a look at later.

## The Poisson equation

We now turn our study to the *Poisson equation*:

$$-\Delta u = f \quad (5)$$

where  $f$  is a known continuous function. (It *must* be continuous to allow for classical, i.e.,  $C^2$ , solutions  $u$ .)

Referring all the way back to (2), we quickly get the following generalization of Proposition 1:

**Proposition 8.** *A  $C^2$  function  $u$  on a domain  $\Omega$  solves the Poisson equation (5) if and only if*

$$-\int_{\partial\omega} \partial_\nu u(\mathbf{x}) \, dS(\mathbf{x}) = \int_\omega f(\mathbf{x}) \, d^n \mathbf{x} \quad (6)$$

for all bounded domains  $\omega$  with  $\bar{\omega} \subset \Omega$  having piecewise  $C^1$  boundary. It is sufficient to consider balls  $\omega = B(\mathbf{x}, r)$ .

As an example, we consider a Poisson equation with a radially symmetric right hand side  $f(\mathbf{x}) = \mathring{f}(|\mathbf{x}|)$ . We expect to find a radially symmetric solution  $u(\mathbf{x}) = \mathring{u}(|\mathbf{x}|)$ . Now (6) with  $\omega = B(\mathbf{0}, r)$  becomes

$$-A_n r^{n-1} \mathring{u}'(r) = A_n \int_0^r \mathring{f}(s) s^{n-1} \, ds.$$

Taking the derivative and rearranging turns this into the ODE

$$-\frac{1}{r^{n-1}} \frac{d}{dr} (r^{n-1} \mathring{u}'(r)) = \mathring{f}(r).$$

A direct calculation reveals that indeed,

$$\Delta \mathring{u}(|\mathbf{x}|) = \mathring{u}''(|\mathbf{x}|) + \frac{n-1}{|\mathbf{x}|} \mathring{u}'(|\mathbf{x}|) = \frac{1}{r^{n-1}} \frac{d}{dr} (r^{n-1} \mathring{u}'(r)) \Big|_{r=|\mathbf{x}|}$$

so a solution to the above ODE will in fact solve the Poisson equation in the radially symmetric case.

Consider now the case where  $\mathring{f}(r) = 0$  when  $r > R$ . Then for  $r > R$ ,

$$r^{n-1} \mathring{u}'(r) = \int_0^R \mathring{f}(s) s^{n-1} \, ds = \frac{1}{A_n} \int_{B(\mathbf{0}, R)} f(\mathbf{x}) \, d^n \mathbf{x} =: \frac{m}{A_n}.$$

Accordingly, after integrating, we define the function  $\Phi : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(\mathbf{x}) &= \frac{-\ln(|\mathbf{x}|)}{2\pi} && \text{for } n = 2, \\ \Phi(\mathbf{x}) &= \frac{1}{(n-2)A_n|\mathbf{x}|^{n-2}} && \text{for } n \geq 3. \end{aligned}$$

Then  $\Phi$  is harmonic for  $\mathbf{x} \neq \mathbf{0}$ , and we find that our radially symmetric solution satisfies

$$u(\mathbf{x}) = m\Phi(\mathbf{x}) \quad \text{for } |\mathbf{x}| > R \quad \text{where } m = \int_{B(\mathbf{0},R)} f(\mathbf{x}) d^n \mathbf{x}$$

– plus an integration constant, which we have thrown away. For  $n \geq 3$ , this gives the unique radially symmetric solution which vanishes at infinity; for  $n = 2$ , however, no particular value of the dropped constant of integration distinguishes itself.

Now, assume that  $m = 1$ , and replace  $f(\mathbf{x})$  by  $e^{-n} f(\mathbf{x}/\varepsilon)$ , and letting  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ . The resulting solution  $u$  will converge pointwise to  $\Phi$  (except at  $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$ ), while  $f$  becomes a Dirac  $\delta$  in the limit. Thus we are tempted to conclude that

$$-\Delta\Phi = \delta$$

This is indeed true, but we first need to get into the theory of distributions in order to understand the rigorous meaning of the above equation.

We call  $\Phi$  the *fundamental solution* for the Poisson equation. Even lacking the abstract theory, we can use it to solve the general Poisson equation.

**Proposed solution to the Poisson equation:**

$$u(\mathbf{x}) = \Phi * f(\mathbf{x}) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Phi(\mathbf{y})f(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}) d^n \mathbf{y} \tag{7}$$

We expect this to solve the equation because formally, we get

$$\Delta u = \Delta(\Phi * f) = (\Delta\Phi) * f = \delta * f = f.$$

However, this calculation is hard to justify. We can get there via a detour, if  $f$  is sufficiently regular:

**Theorem 9.** Assume that  $f \in C_c^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Then the function  $u$  given by (7) is a solution to the Poisson equation (5).

*Proof.* To simplify, thanks to translation invariance, we only need to prove that  $-\Delta(\Phi * f)(\mathbf{0}) = f(\mathbf{0})$ . (For any  $\mathbf{x}_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , put  $\tilde{f}(\mathbf{x}) = f(\mathbf{x}_0 + \mathbf{x})$ . Then  $\Phi * \tilde{f}(\mathbf{x}) = \Phi * f(\mathbf{x}_+ + \mathbf{x})$ , so  $-\Delta(\Phi * \tilde{f})(\mathbf{0}) = \tilde{f}(\mathbf{0})$  implies  $-\Delta(\Phi * f)(\mathbf{x}) = f(\mathbf{x})$ .)

First, we note that

$$\int_{\partial B(\mathbf{0}, r)} \Phi \, d^x \mathbf{x} = \begin{cases} -r \ln r & \text{for } n = 2, \\ r/(n-2) & \text{for } n \geq 3. \end{cases}$$

Now integrating with respect to  $r$ , we conclude that  $\Phi$  is integrable (meaning the integral of  $|\Phi|$  is finite) over  $B(\mathbf{0}, r)$ , and hence over any bounded subset of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . From this, we conclude that not only is

$$u(\mathbf{x}) = \Phi * f(\mathbf{x}) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Phi(\mathbf{y}) f(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}) \, d^n \mathbf{y}$$

well defined, but  $u$  is  $C^2$  as well, and in fact

$$\Delta u = \Phi * \Delta f.$$

This is easy if  $f$  has compact support; it is also true if  $f$  and its derivatives up to second order vanish sufficiently fast at infinity, but we are not going to bother with this refinement.

In particular,

$$\Delta u(\mathbf{0}) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Phi(\mathbf{y}) \Delta f(-\mathbf{y}) \, d^n \mathbf{y} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Phi(\mathbf{y}) \Delta f(\mathbf{y}) \, d^n \mathbf{y}$$

thanks the symmetry of  $\Phi$ . (Not essential, but it's one less minus sign to track.) We want to use Green's second identity to move the Laplacian to  $\Phi$  instead. But then we need to restrict attention to a bounded region, and we need to avoid the singularity of  $\Phi$  at the origin. (It is this singularity that causes the answer to be non-zero, after all.) Pick  $R$  sufficiently large so  $f(\mathbf{y}) = 0$  for  $|\mathbf{y}| \geq R$ , so that integrating over  $B(\mathbf{0}, R)$  does not change the integral. Then, thanks to the integrability of  $\Phi$  near the origin, we find

$$\Delta u(\mathbf{0}) = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{B(\mathbf{0}, R) \setminus B(\mathbf{0}, \varepsilon)} \Phi(\mathbf{y}) \Delta f(\mathbf{y}) \, d^n \mathbf{y}.$$

This integral can be transformed by Green's second identity, resulting in

$$\Delta u(\mathbf{0}) = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \left( \int_{B(\mathbf{0}, R) \setminus B(\mathbf{0}, \varepsilon)} \Delta \Phi(\mathbf{y}) f(\mathbf{y}) \, d^n \mathbf{y} + \int_{\partial(B(\mathbf{0}, R) \setminus B(\mathbf{0}, \varepsilon))} (\Phi \partial_\nu f - f \partial_\nu \Phi) \, dS \right).$$

The first integral vanishes because  $\Delta \Phi = 0$ , and in the second integral we can ignore the outer boundary  $\partial B(\mathbf{0}, R)$  because  $f = \partial_\nu f = 0$  there. Finally, the normal



vector  $\nu$  points *inward* on the inner boundary  $\partial B(\mathbf{0}, \varepsilon)$ , so we get a sign change when we consider the outward point normal instead. Thus we have

$$\Delta u(\mathbf{0}) = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\partial B(\mathbf{0}, \varepsilon)} (f \partial_\nu \Phi - \Phi \partial_\nu f) \, dS.$$

Here, the integral of  $\Phi \partial_\nu f$  vanishes in the limit as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ , since  $\partial_\nu f$  is bounded. And on  $\partial B(\mathbf{0}, \varepsilon)$ , we find  $\partial_\nu \Phi = -1/(A_n r^{n-1})$ , so

$$\Delta u(\mathbf{0}) = -\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{A_n r^{n-1}} \int_{\partial B(\mathbf{0}, \varepsilon)} f \, dS = -f(\mathbf{0}),$$

and the proof is complete. ■

**Bounded domains and Green’s function.** Let  $\Omega$  be a bounded domain with piecewise  $C^1$  boundary. The weak maximum principle (even without the “nice” boundary) immediately shows that the Dirichlet problem

$$\begin{aligned} -\Delta u &= f && \text{in } \Omega, \\ u &= g && \text{on } \partial\Omega \end{aligned} \tag{DP}$$

has at most one solution for any given data  $f$  and  $g$ .

Our goal in this section is to generalize the representation formula (7) to this setting. We first concentrate on homogeneous boundary data, i.e.,  $g = 0$ . It will turn out that we get the case for  $g \neq 0$  “for free”.

First, rewrite (7) to read

$$u(\mathbf{x}) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Phi(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}) f(\mathbf{y}) \, d^n \mathbf{y}.$$

A similar formula for solutions on  $\Omega$  might look like

$$u(\mathbf{x}) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} G_{\mathbf{y}}(\mathbf{x}) f(\mathbf{y}) \, d^n \mathbf{y}$$

instead. To ensure that this satisfies  $u = 0$  on  $\partial\Omega$ , we want  $G_{\mathbf{y}}(\mathbf{x}) = 0$  for  $\mathbf{x} \in \partial\Omega$ . Apart from that, we want  $G_{\mathbf{y}}(\mathbf{x})$  (as a function of  $\mathbf{x}$ ) to be as much “like”  $\Phi(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y})$  as possible. To make that precise:

**Definition.** Assume that, for each  $\mathbf{y} \in \Omega$ , there exists a function  $H_{\mathbf{y}} \in C(\overline{\Omega})$  which is harmonic in  $\Omega$  and satisfies

$$H_{\mathbf{y}}(\mathbf{x}) = \Phi(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}) \quad \text{for } \mathbf{x} \in \partial\Omega.$$

By the maximum principle, this function is unique. Then the function  $G_{\mathbf{y}}$  defined by

$$G_{\mathbf{y}}(\mathbf{x}) = \Phi(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}) - H_{\mathbf{y}}(\mathbf{x})$$

is called the *Green's function* associated with  $\Omega$ . Clearly, this function is continuous on  $\overline{\Omega} \setminus \{\mathbf{y}\}$  and harmonic on  $\Omega \setminus \{\mathbf{y}\}$ , and it vanishes on  $\partial\Omega$ .

To discover how this helps us write a solution formula for the Dirichlet problem, we concentrate first on the  $\Phi$  part.

For simplicity, we temporarily put  $\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{0}$ , assuming that  $\mathbf{0} \in \Omega$ . If  $\varepsilon > 0$  is small enough,  $\overline{B}(\mathbf{0}, \varepsilon) \subset \Omega$ . Put  $\Omega_\varepsilon = \Omega \setminus \overline{B}(\mathbf{0}, \varepsilon)$ , and apply Green's second identity to  $\Phi$  and an arbitrary function  $u \in C^2(\overline{\Omega})$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} (u \Delta \Phi - \Phi \Delta u) d^n \mathbf{x} &= \int_{\partial\Omega_\varepsilon} (u \partial_\nu \Phi - \Phi \partial_\nu u) dS \\ &= \int_{\partial\Omega} (u \partial_\nu \Phi - \Phi \partial_\nu u) dS - \int_{\partial B(\mathbf{0}, \varepsilon)} (u \partial_\nu \Phi - \Phi \partial_\nu u) dS, \end{aligned}$$

where the minus sign in front of the last integral is due to the direction of the normal vector  $\boldsymbol{\nu}$  on  $\partial B(\mathbf{0}, \varepsilon)$  pointing out of the ball, whereas the normal vector on that part of  $\partial\Omega_\varepsilon$  points into the ball.

Now let  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ . The red term on the left is already zero, while the boundary integral of the red term on the right will vanish in the limit. (For  $|\partial_\nu u| \leq |\nabla u|$ , which is bounded, and the integral of  $\Phi$  converges to zero.) Finally, the integral of the green term will converge to  $-u(\mathbf{0})$ , so we end up with the representation formula

$$u(\mathbf{y}) = - \int_{\Omega} \Phi \Delta u d^n \mathbf{x} - \int_{\partial\Omega} (u \partial_\nu \Phi - \Phi \partial_\nu u) dS, \quad \mathbf{y} \in \Omega. \quad (8)$$

(We proved it only for  $\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{0}$ , but translation invariance ensures that the result generalizes. Or you could rerun the proof with  $\Phi(\mathbf{x})$  replaced by  $\Phi_{\mathbf{y}}(\mathbf{x}) = \Phi(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y})$  and  $B(\mathbf{0}, \varepsilon)$  by  $B(\mathbf{y}, \varepsilon)$ .)

Repeat the same calculation with  $\Phi$  replaced by  $H_{\mathbf{y}}$ . This is much easier, since  $H_{\mathbf{y}}$  is harmonic we do not need to remove a ball: Green's second identity immediately yields

$$\int_{\Omega} (u \Delta H_{\mathbf{y}} - H_{\mathbf{y}} \Delta u) d^n \mathbf{x} = \int_{\partial\Omega} (u \partial_\nu H_{\mathbf{y}} - H_{\mathbf{y}} \partial_\nu u) dS,$$

where again the red term vanishes. Rearranging this into

$$\int_{\Omega} H_{\mathbf{y}} \Delta u d^n \mathbf{x} + \int_{\partial\Omega} (u \partial_\nu H_{\mathbf{y}} - H_{\mathbf{y}} \partial_\nu u) dS = 0$$

and adding it to the right hand side of (8), we obtain

$$u(\mathbf{y}) = - \int_{\Omega} G_{\mathbf{y}} \Delta u \, d^n \mathbf{x} - \int_{\partial\Omega} (u \partial_{\nu} G_{\mathbf{y}} - G_{\mathbf{y}} \partial_{\nu} u) \, dS$$

where the red term is zero by construction, so we finally have

$$u(\mathbf{y}) = - \int_{\Omega} G_{\mathbf{y}} \Delta u \, d^n \mathbf{x} - \int_{\partial\Omega} u \partial_{\nu} G_{\mathbf{y}} \, dS, \quad \mathbf{y} \in \Omega.$$

We have proved

**Theorem 10.** *If  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  is a bounded region with  $C^1$  boundary, and if  $\Omega$  admits a Green's function  $G$ , then the solution  $u \in C^2(\overline{\Omega})$  of (DP), if it exists, is given by*

$$u(\mathbf{y}) = \int_{\Omega} G_{\mathbf{y}}(\mathbf{x}) f(\mathbf{x}) \, d^n \mathbf{x} - \int_{\partial\Omega} \partial_{\nu} G_{\mathbf{y}}(\mathbf{x}) g(\mathbf{x}) \, dS(\mathbf{x}), \quad \mathbf{y} \in \Omega. \quad (9)$$

Notice the careful wording: We have not shown that the Dirichlet problem has a solution. We have only shown what it must be, if it solves the problem.

We now show that Green's function is (usually) symmetric.

**Proposition 11.** *Assume that  $\Omega$  is a bounded region with piecewise  $C^1$  boundary, for which the Dirichlet problem (DP) always has a solution for any given continuous data. In particular, this implies the existence of a Green's function. Then the Green's function  $G$  for this region satisfies  $G_{\mathbf{y}}(\mathbf{x}) = G_{\mathbf{x}}(\mathbf{y})$ , whenever  $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \Omega$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $f, g \in C(\overline{\Omega})$ , and let  $u, v$  solve  $-\Delta u = f$  and  $-\Delta v = g$  in  $\Omega$ ,  $u = v = 0$  on  $\partial\Omega$ . Thus

$$u(\mathbf{y}) = \int_{\Omega} G_{\mathbf{y}}(\mathbf{x}) f(\mathbf{x}) \, d^n \mathbf{x}, \quad v(\mathbf{y}) = \int_{\Omega} G_{\mathbf{y}}(\mathbf{x}) g(\mathbf{x}) \, d^n \mathbf{x}.$$

Since  $u$  and  $v$  vanish on  $\partial\Omega$ , Green's second identity applied to  $u$  and  $v$  implies

$$\int_{\Omega} u(\mathbf{y}) g(\mathbf{y}) \, d^n \mathbf{y} = \int_{\Omega} v(\mathbf{y}) f(\mathbf{y}) \, d^n \mathbf{y}.$$

Plugging in the above formulas for  $u$  and  $v$ , swapping the integration variables  $\mathbf{x}$  and  $\mathbf{y}$  in one of the integrals, and rearranging, we get

$$\int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} (G_{\mathbf{y}}(\mathbf{x}) - G_{\mathbf{x}}(\mathbf{y})) f(\mathbf{x}) g(\mathbf{y}) \, d^n \mathbf{x} \, d^n \mathbf{y} = 0,$$

from which the desired conclusion quickly follows.

In more detail, let  $\rho$  be a standard mollifier, and put  $\rho_\varepsilon(\mathbf{x}) = \varepsilon^{-n}\rho(\mathbf{x}/\varepsilon)$ . If  $\mathbf{x}_0, \mathbf{y}_0 \in \Omega$  and  $\mathbf{x}_0 \neq \mathbf{y}_0$ , put  $f(\mathbf{x}) = \rho_\varepsilon(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_0)$  and  $g(\mathbf{y}) = \rho_\varepsilon(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{y}_0)$ . Inserting these into the double integral and letting  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ , we get  $G_{\mathbf{y}_0}(\mathbf{x}_0) - G_{\mathbf{x}_0}(\mathbf{y}_0)$  in the limit, so that  $G_{\mathbf{y}_0}(\mathbf{x}_0) = G_{\mathbf{x}_0}(\mathbf{y}_0)$ . ■

**Remark.** It may be interesting to study the above proof from an elementary linear algebra point of view. The last part resembles the proof that, if  $A$  is a quadratic matrix satisfying  $\mathbf{y}^T A \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x}^T A \mathbf{y}$  for all vectors  $\mathbf{x}$  and  $\mathbf{y}$ , then  $A$  is symmetric. (Just take  $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{e}_i$  and  $\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{e}_j$ .) The first part resembles the proof that the inverse of an invertible symmetric matrix is symmetric. Think of the “matrix”  $G_{\mathbf{x}}(\mathbf{y})$  (where  $\mathbf{x}$  and  $\mathbf{y}$  play the rôles of matrix indices) as the inverse of the operator  $-\Delta$ . And the symmetry of this operator is just the identity  $\int_{\Omega} u \Delta v = \int_{\Omega} v \Delta u$ . (Green’s second identity, when both functions vanish on the boundary.)

**Green’s function for balls.** Here we compute Green’s function for the open unit ball  $\mathbb{B}^n$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . I will drop some exponents and write  $\mathbb{B}$  instead of  $\mathbb{B}^m$  and  $\mathbb{S} = \partial\mathbb{B}$  instead of  $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ .

If  $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{B}$ , we need to find a harmonic function on  $\mathbb{B}$  with the same values as  $\Phi(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y})$  for  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{S}$ . The trick is to “put a charge” at a suitable point  $\mathbf{w}$  outside  $\mathbb{B}$ . It turns out that  $\mathbf{w} = \mathbf{y}/|\mathbf{y}|^2$  does the trick. Since  $|\mathbf{x}| = 1$ , we find

$$|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}|^2 = 1 + |\mathbf{y}|^2 - 2\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y}$$

and

$$|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{w}|^2 = 1 + |\mathbf{w}|^2 - 2\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{w} = 1 + \frac{1}{|\mathbf{y}|^2} - 2\frac{\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y}}{|\mathbf{y}|^2} = \frac{|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x}|^2}{|\mathbf{y}|^2},$$

so that

$$|\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{x}| = \frac{|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x}|}{|\mathbf{y}|}.$$

For  $n \geq 3$ , this gives

$$\Phi(\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{(n-2)A_n|\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{x}|^{n-2}} = |\mathbf{y}|^{n-2}\Phi(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x}),$$

so we should put

$$H_{\mathbf{y}}(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{\Phi(\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{x})}{|\mathbf{y}|^{n-2}} = \frac{1}{(n-2)A_n|\mathbf{y}|^{n-2}|\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{x}|^{n-2}}$$

where we note that

$$|\mathbf{y}|^2|\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{x}|^2 = |\mathbf{y}|^2(|\mathbf{w}|^2 + |\mathbf{x}|^2 - 2\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{w}) = 1 + |\mathbf{x}|^2|\mathbf{y}|^2 - 2\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y},$$

so we get

$$G_{\mathbf{y}}(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{(n-2)A_n} \left( \frac{1}{|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}|^{n-2}} - \frac{1}{(1 + |\mathbf{x}|^2|\mathbf{y}|^2 - 2\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y})^{(n-2)/2}} \right) \quad (n \geq 3)$$

which is indeed symmetric in  $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}$  as expected.

Next, the same computation for  $n = 2$ : We find (when  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{S}$ )

$$\Phi(\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{x}) = -\frac{\ln|\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{x}|}{2\pi} = \frac{\ln|\mathbf{y}|}{2\pi} + \Phi(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x}),$$

so we put

$$H_{\mathbf{y}}(\mathbf{x}) = -\frac{\ln|\mathbf{y}|}{2\pi} + \Phi(\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{x}) = -\frac{\ln(|\mathbf{y}||\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{x}|)}{2\pi}$$

and get

$$G_{\mathbf{y}}(\mathbf{x}) = -\frac{\ln|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x}|}{2\pi} + \frac{\ln(1 + |\mathbf{x}|^2|\mathbf{y}|^2 - 2\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y})}{4\pi} \quad (n = 2)$$

which is again symmetric. We can also write this on the form

$$G_{\mathbf{y}}(\mathbf{x}) = -\frac{\ln(|\mathbf{x}|^2 + |\mathbf{y}|^2 - 2\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y})}{4\pi} + \frac{\ln(1 + |\mathbf{x}|^2|\mathbf{y}|^2 - 2\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y})}{4\pi} \quad (n = 2)$$

When solving the Dirichlet problem on the unit disk  $\mathbb{D} \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ , we need the normal derivative  $\partial_{\nu}G_{\mathbf{y}}(\mathbf{x})$  for  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{S}$  which is simply the derivative of  $G_{\mathbf{y}}(t\mathbf{x})$  taken at  $t = 1$ , resulting in

$$\partial_{\nu}G_{\mathbf{y}}(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{-2 + 2\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y} + 2|\mathbf{y}|^2 - 2\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y}}{4\pi(1 + |\mathbf{y}|^2 - 2\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y})} = \frac{|\mathbf{y}|^2 - 1}{2\pi(1 + |\mathbf{y}|^2 - 2\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y})}.$$

If we put  $r = |\mathbf{y}|$  and let  $\theta$  be the angle between the two vectors  $\mathbf{x}$  and  $\mathbf{y}$ , we get

$$-\partial_{\nu}G_{\mathbf{y}}(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{1 - r^2}{1 + r^2 - 2r \cos \theta}$$

which is known as the *Poisson kernel*. Referring back to (9), the solution to the Dirichlet problem  $-\Delta u = 0$  on  $\mathbb{D}$  with  $u(\cos \theta, \sin \theta) = g(\theta)$  should then be given by

$$u(r \cos \varphi, r \sin \varphi) = \frac{1 - r^2}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{g(\varphi - \theta)}{1 + r^2 - 2r \cos \theta} d\theta$$

This is known as *Poisson's integral formula*. It was originally derived using separation of variables and a Fourier analysis:

For this, we may note that the Laplace operator in polar coordinates takes the form

$$\Delta u = r^{-1}(ru_r)_r + r^{-2}u_{\theta\theta}.$$

We look for harmonic functions of the form  $u = R(r)\Theta(\theta)$ , resulting in  $r^{-1}(rR')'\Theta + r^{-2}R\Theta'' = 0$ . After separating the variables, we are left with  $\Theta'' = -\lambda\Theta$  and  $(rR')' = \lambda r^{-1}R$ . Since  $\Theta$  must be  $2\pi$ -periodic, we must put  $\lambda = n^2$  for an integer  $n$ , so the  $R$  equation is  $r(rR')' = n^2R$ . We try  $R = r^k$ , and get  $rR' = kr^k$ ,  $r(rR')' = k^2r^k$ , with the non-trivial solutions  $k = \pm n$ . Rejecting solutions with negative  $k$  (which have a singularity at  $r = 0$ ), we are therefore left with  $R = r^{|n|}$ . Thus we are led to look for solutions of the form

$$u(r \cos \varphi, r \sin \varphi) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} c_n r^{|n|} e^{in\varphi}.$$

Matching this to  $g(\theta)$  at  $r = 1$ , we should have

$$c_n = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} g(\theta) e^{-in\theta} d\theta,$$

and therefore

$$u(r \cos \varphi, r \sin \varphi) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} g(\theta) r^{|n|} e^{in(\varphi-\theta)} d\theta = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} g(\theta) P(r, \varphi-\theta) d\theta,$$

where

$$P(r, \theta) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} r^{|n|} e^{in\theta}.$$

Here we note that

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} r^k e^{\pm ik\theta} = \frac{1}{1 - r e^{\pm ik\theta}},$$

so

$$\begin{aligned} P(r, \theta) &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \left( \frac{1}{1 - r e^{ik\theta}} + \frac{1}{1 - r e^{-ik\theta}} - 1 \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{1 - r^2}{1 + r^2 - 2r \cos \theta}, \end{aligned}$$

which we recognize as the Poisson kernel introduced above.