

Coin tossing space

Think of a *coin toss* as a random choice from the two element set $\{0, 1\}$. Thus the set $\{0, 1\}^n$ represents the set of possible outcomes of n coin tosses, and $\Omega := \{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$, consisting of all sequences $(t_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, represents the set of possible outcomes of tossing a coin infinitely many times.

If the coin is unbiased and the tosses are independent, each of the 2^n outcomes in $\{0, 1\}^n$ is equally probable. So $\{0, 1\}^n$ is equipped with a probability measure μ_n , which is 2^{-n} times counting measure on $\{0, 1\}^n$. (All subsets of $\{0, 1\}^n$ are measurable.)

Compatible probability measures

Assume that $m < n$. Tossing a coin n times, and then ignoring all but the first m of them, is represented by a *projection map* $\pi_{mn}: \{0, 1\}^n \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^m$:

$$\pi_{mn}(t_1, \dots, t_n) = (t_1, \dots, t_m).$$

An event depending on (t_1, \dots, t_m) is a set $E \subseteq \{0, 1\}^m$. It has probability $\mu_m(E)$. The “same” event considered as a subset of $\{0, 1\}^n$ is $\pi_{mn}^{-1}(E)$. It does in fact have the same probability, in $\{0, 1\}^n$, as E does, in $\{0, 1\}^m$:

$$\mu_n(\pi_{mn}^{-1}(E)) = \mu_m(E), \quad E \subseteq \{0, 1\}^m. \quad (1)$$

Think of this as a compatibility result: All the different probability measures μ_n give the same result for those events they can measure.

(*Exercise:* Show this. *Hint:* Show that for each $s \in E$ there are 2^{n-m} distinct sequences $t \in \pi_{mn}^{-1}(E)$ with $\pi_{mn}(t) = s$.)

Lots and lots of projections

All these projection maps π_{nm} are compatible in the sense that

$$\pi_{km} \circ \pi_{mn} = \pi_{kn}, \quad k < m < n.$$

It is helpful to picture the finite coin tossing spaces as an infinite sequence:

$$\{0, 1\} \leftarrow \{0, 1\}^2 \leftarrow \{0, 1\}^3 \leftarrow \cdots \leftarrow \{0, 1\}^n \leftarrow \{0, 1\}^{n+1} \leftarrow \cdots$$

where the arrows shown are $\pi_{12}, \pi_{23}, \dots, \pi_{n,n+1}$. At the far right we can put the infinite coin tossing space Ω . (Category theorists call that the *projective limit* of the above diagram, but never mind that.) There is also a projection map $\pi_n: \Omega \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^n$, given by $\pi_n(t_1, t_2, \dots) = (t_1, \dots, t_n)$. Clearly, the compatibility of projections extends to this one:

$$\pi_{mn} \circ \pi_n = \pi_m, \quad m < n. \tag{2}$$

Finitely determined events and their probabilities

Some events in Ω depend only on a finite number of coin tosses. (By this I mean that to determine whether $t \in \Omega$ belongs to some event E , you only need to look at t_1, \dots, t_n for some n , and that n is independent of t .) If $E \subseteq \{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$ then

$$\pi_n^{-1}(E) = \{t \in \Omega: (t_1, \dots, t_n) \in E\}$$

is such an event, and I expect you can convince yourself that every such “finitely determined” event has this form. (If not, consider that a *definition*.) Define

$$\mathcal{A}_n = \{\pi_n^{-1}(E): E \subseteq \{0, 1\}^n\}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Then \mathcal{A}_n is a σ -algebra of subsets of Ω for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and moreover $\mathcal{A}_m \subset \mathcal{A}_n$ when $m < n$ (for the equality $\pi_{mn} \circ \pi_n = \pi_m$ implies $\pi_m^{-1}(E) = \pi_n^{-1}(\pi_{nm}^{-1}(E))$ when $E \subseteq \{0, 1\}^m$).

Let

$$\mathcal{C} = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{A}_n.$$

It is not hard to show that, since (\mathcal{A}_n) is an increasing sequence of algebras, the union \mathcal{C} is also an algebra of sets (do it!). We can define a function ι on \mathcal{C} by

$$\iota(\pi_n^{-1}(E)) = \mu_n(E), \quad E \subseteq \{0, 1\}^n.$$

(*Exercise*: Show that this is well defined. *Hint*: Use (1) and (2).)

Extending the measure

The function ι represents the probability of *any* finitely determined event. But what about other events? How can we discuss the probability that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n t_k = \frac{1}{2},$$

for example? The set of $t \in \Omega$ satisfying this equality is clearly not finitely determined.

What is needed is to extend ι to a measure. It is defined on the algebra \mathcal{C} , which is *not* a σ -algebra. But \mathcal{C} is a semialgebra, so in order to show that we can extend ι to a measure, we need to show that $\iota(\emptyset) = 0$, that ι is finitely additive, and the appropriate subadditivity condition.

For the first property, $\iota(\emptyset) = \mu_n(\pi_n^{-1}(\emptyset)) = \mu_n(\emptyset) = 0$.

For the second, if $F_1, \dots, F_m \in \mathcal{C}$ are pairwise disjoint then there is some n so that $F_k \in \mathcal{A}_n$ for $k = 1, \dots, m$. Write $F_k = \pi_n^{-1}(E_k)$. Then the sets E_k must be pairwise disjoint, and

$$\iota\left(\bigsqcup_{k=1}^m F_k\right) = \mu_n\left(\bigsqcup_{k=1}^m E_k\right) = \sum_{k=1}^m \mu_n(E_k) = \sum_{k=1}^m \iota(F_k).$$

Finally, assume that $F, F_k \in \mathcal{C}$ with $F \subseteq \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} F_k$. I *claim* that a finite number of the sets F_k will cover F , i.e., $F \subseteq F_1 \cup \dots \cup F_n$ for some n . If this is true, then

$$\iota(F) \leq \iota\left(\bigcup_{k=1}^n F_k\right) \leq \sum_{k=1}^n \iota(F_k) \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \iota(F_k),$$

which is the subadditivity condition we need to show.

To prove the claim, assume instead it is false. That is, we assume that each set A_n , defined by $A_n = F \setminus (F_1 \cup \dots \cup F_n)$, is nonempty. Note that $A_n \in \mathcal{A}$, and $A_1 \supseteq A_2 \supseteq A_3 \supseteq \dots$. We now define a sequence (u_k) in $\{0, 1\}$ by induction.

First, since $\Omega = \pi_1^{-1}\{0\} \cup \pi_1^{-1}\{1\}$, we find $A_n = (A_n \cap \pi_1^{-1}\{0\}) \cup (A_n \cap \pi_1^{-1}\{1\})$, so we can select $u_1 \in \{0, 1\}$ so that $A_n \cap \pi_1^{-1}\{u_1\} \neq \emptyset$ for infinitely many n , and therefore for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, since (A_n) is a decreasing sequence of sets.

Next, we get $A_n \cap \pi_1^{-1}\{u_1\} = (A_n \cap \pi_2^{-1}\{u_1, 0\}) \cup (A_n \cap \pi_2^{-1}\{u_1, 1\})$, so we can select $u_2 \in \{0, 1\}$ so that $A_n \cap \pi_2^{-1}\{u_1, u_2\} \neq \emptyset$ for infinitely many n , and therefore for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Continuing this procedure, we end up with a sequence $u = (u_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ with the property that, for each k , $A_n \cap \pi_k^{-1}\{u_1, \dots, u_k\} \neq \emptyset$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

I claim that $u \in \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A_n$. To see this, merely note that A_n (for any n) is finitely determined, i.e., there is some $k \in \mathbb{N}$ so that $A_n = \pi_k^{-1}E$ with $E \subseteq \{0, 1\}^k$. But since $A_n \cap \pi_k^{-1}\{u_1, \dots, u_k\} \neq \emptyset$, it follows that $(u_1, \dots, u_k) \in E$, and therefore $u \in A_n$. We have proved that $\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A_n \neq \emptyset$. This contradicts the assumption that $F \subseteq \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} F_k$, and the claim is therefore proved.

Probability on the coin tossing space

From the general theory, we now have a measure μ on a σ -algebra \mathcal{A} extending \mathcal{C} , and hence all the algebras \mathcal{A}_n . Moreover, by construction $\mu(\pi_n^{-1}(E)) = \mu_n(E)$ for all $E \in \{0, 1\}^n$, so our probability measure certainly captures our intuition about the distribution of n coin tosses.

The n th toss is represented by a function $T_n: \Omega \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$, defined by $T_n(t) = t_n$. T_n is a *stochastic variable*, i.e., a measurable function on Ω . It is not difficult to show that the tosses T_n are stochastically independent: If $G_1, \dots, G_n \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ are Borel sets, then

$$\mu\left(\bigcap_{k=1}^n T_k^{-1}(G_k)\right) = \prod_{k=1}^n \mu(T_k^{-1}(G_k)).$$

(Note that $T_k^{-1}(G_k)$ is one of four sets: \emptyset , $\{t \in \Omega: t_k = 0\}$, $\{t \in \Omega: t_k = 1\}$, or Ω .)

Interlude: Pulling and pushing measures

If $(\Lambda, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$ is a measure space and $g: \Omega \rightarrow \Lambda$ is a given function, (where the double-headed arrow indicates that the map is onto), we can define the *pullback* σ -algebra $g^*\mathcal{A}$ and measure $g^*\mu$ on Ω :

$$g^*\mathcal{A} = \{g^{-1}(A) : A \in \mathcal{A}\},$$
$$g^*\mu(g^{-1}(A)) = \mu(A) \quad \text{for } A \in \mathcal{A}.$$

The proof is an easy exercise. (The assumption that g is onto is important.)

Note that we used this construction above, using the projection map π_n to pull the probability measure μ_n back from $\{0, 1\}^n$ to Ω .

We can also push a measure forward: Given a map $g: \Omega \rightarrow \Lambda$ where $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$ is a measure space, we can create the *pushforward* σ -algebra $g_*\mathcal{A}$ and measure $g_*\mu$ on Λ :

$$g_*\mathcal{A} = \{A \subseteq \Lambda : g^{-1}(A) \in \mathcal{A}\},$$
$$g_*\mu(A) = \mu(g^{-1}(A)) \quad \text{for } A \in g_*\mathcal{A}.$$

Again, the proof is an easy exercise.

A typical example of this is the *distribution* of a real-valued stochastic variable $X: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ on a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$: Since X is by definition measurable, any interval, and therefore any Borel set, belongs to $X_*\mathcal{A}$. The restriction of the pushforward measure $X_*\mu$ to the σ -algebra \mathcal{B} of Borel sets is called the *distribution* of X .

In particular, the *cumulative distribution function* F of X is given by

$$F(x) = \mu(\{X \leq x\}) = \mu(X^{-1}((-\infty, x])) = X_*\mu((-\infty, x])$$

– and so, the distribution of X turns out to be the Lebesgue–Stieltjes measure associated with F .

Warning: I skipped quite a few details in this section. The sections to follow will skip even more details. You are encouraged to try to fill in the holes yourself.

Pushing coin tossing measure

We can map the coin tossing space Ω onto $[0, 1]$ via the map $f: \Omega \rightarrow [0, 1]$ given by

$$f(t) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} t_k \cdot 2^{-k},$$

which boils down to interpreting the coin tossing sequence $t = (t_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ as a sequence of binary digits for the number $f(t)$. Since the “ k th toss map” $t \mapsto t_k$ is clearly a measurable function, then so is f . Let us investigate the pushforward measure $f_*\mu$ on $[0, 1]$.

A real number is called a *dyadic rational* number if it can be written as $2^{-n}m$ for some integers m and n .

A moment’s reflection shows that, given a dyadic rational $x = 2^{-n}m \in [0, 1]$, the inverse image $f^{-1}([x, x + 2^{-n}])$ is given by those $t \in \Omega$ whose first n components correspond to the first n bits of x , plus one more sequence ending in infinitely many 1s. For example,

$$f^{-1}([\frac{3}{8}, \frac{4}{8}]) = \{t \in \Omega: (t_1, t_2, t_3) = (0, 1, 1)\} \cup \{(0, 1, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1, \dots)\}.$$

Since any singleton set in Ω has measure zero, it follows (not immediately, but with a modest amount of work) that

$$f_*\mu([x, y]) = y - x = \lambda([x, y])$$

for any dyadic rational x, y in $[0, 1]$ with $x \leq y$, with the same result for (x, y) , $[x, y)$ and $(x, y]$. Since such intervals form a semialgebra which generates the Borel sets, the uniqueness theorem for the extension of measures allows us to conclude the following:

The pushforward f_μ equals Lebesgue measure on $[0, 1]$.*

Strictly speaking, the uniqueness theorem only allows us to conclude that $f_*\mu(E) = \lambda(E)$ for *Borel* sets contained in $[0, 1]$, but this is easily extended to Lebesgue measurable sets, since both μ and λ are complete measures.

Pushing coin tossing measure some more

We are not done pushing coin tossing measure to $[0, 1]$. Consider the function $g: \Omega \rightarrow C$ given by

$$g(t) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} 2t_k \cdot 3^{-k},$$

noting that this maps Ω onto the Cantor set $C \subset [0, 1]$. This map is easy to understand: Take for example $t = (0, 1, 1, 0, 1, 1, \dots)$:

Since $t_1 = 0$, $g(t)$ belongs to the left interval of length $\frac{1}{3}$ left after we removed the middle of $[0, 1]$.

Next, $t_2 = 1$, so $g(t)$ belong to the right interval of length $\frac{1}{9}$ left over after we removed the middle of $[0, \frac{1}{3}]$ (and $[\frac{2}{3}, 1]$).

Next, $g(t)$ belongs to the right interval of length $\frac{1}{27}$ left over after we removed the middle of $[\frac{2}{9}, \frac{3}{9}]$ (and three other intervals).

It should be clear that g is one to one, for as soon as we have encountered a difference between s and t , we know that $g(s)$ and $g(t)$ belongs to well separated intervals.

The resulting measure $g_*\mu$ on C is sometimes referred to as the *Cantor measure*.

Its cumulative distribution function is none other than the standard Cantor function ψ . You may verify this directly, but noting that both functions take the same constant value on each of the open intervals in $[0, 1] \setminus C$. Another approach is to prove and then use the relation

$$\psi(g(t)) = f(t),$$

where f is the function defined in the previous paragraph.

Infinitely many uniform variables

We return to the map $f: \Omega \rightarrow [0, 1]$. We can think of this map as a *stochastic variable uniformly distributed on $[0, 1]$* .

In probability theory, one often needs infinitely many stochastically independent such variables. And cointossing space delivers!

The easiest way to do this is to notice that $\mathbb{N}^2 = \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ is countable, so there is a one-to-one map $c: \mathbb{N}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$. We can use it to define $U_n: \Omega \rightarrow [0, 1]$:

$$U_n(t) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} t_{c(n,k)} \cdot 2^{-k}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

There are straightforward techniques for transforming a uniformly distributed variable into a stochastic variable with any desired distribution, so you can easily generate infinitely many independent variables with a standard normal distribution, for example. And that gives you the building blocks to generate a model for Brownian motion (also known as the Wiener process). But that is a topic for a different course.