

## Convection 2

torsdag 9. november 2017

12:32

We begin our study of methods to improve the performance of FEM for diffusion-transport-reaction equations

1. First we recall the 1D reaction-diffusion equation with constant coefficients:

$$\begin{cases} -\mu u'' + \sigma u = 0, & 0 < x < 1 \\ u(0) = 0, \quad u(1) = 1 \end{cases}$$

where  $\mu, \sigma > 0$   
constants

It was commented that the linear finite element method on a uniform grid generates oscillating solutions if

$$P = \frac{\sigma h^2}{6\mu} > 1$$

Contrast to the finite difference approx:

$$-\mu \frac{u_{i+1} - 2u_i + u_{i-1}}{h^2} + \sigma u_i = 0$$

$$u_0 = 0, \quad u_M = 1$$

This differs from linear finite elements

in that the diagonal term  $(\sigma I)u$  replaces the mass matrix  $(\sigma M)u$ .

It can be shown that the solution is

$$u_i = \begin{pmatrix} M & M \\ \rho_+ & \rho_- \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} \rho_+^i - \rho_-^i \end{pmatrix}$$

where  $\rho_{\pm} = \frac{\gamma}{2} \pm \sqrt{\frac{\gamma^2}{4} - 1}$ ,  $\gamma = 2 + \frac{\sigma h^2}{\mu}$ .

which is monotone.

Practical implication: diagonalizing (lumping)

The mass matrix can in some cases help with stability of FEM

→ i.e., replace  $M$  with  $M_L$ , a diagonal matrix with entries

$$(M_L)_{ii} = \sum_j m_{ij} \quad (\text{i.e. row sums})$$

In 1D case, this coincides with finding  $M$  by trapezium method

$$\int_a^b f dx = \frac{b-a}{2} (f(a) + f(b))$$

→ It can occur that  $M_L$  is singular.

Other diagonalization strategies exist,

eg. replace  $M$  with  $\hat{M}$ :

$$\hat{m}_{ii} = \frac{m_{ii}}{\sum_j m_{jj}}$$

(coincides in 1D case for linear/quadratic

(coincides in 1d case for linear/quadratic elements)

non-singular for Lagrange FEM...

2. Now consider 1D transport-diffusion equation

$$\begin{cases} -\mu u'' + bu' = 0, & 0 < x < 1 \\ u(0) = 0, \quad u(1) = 1 \end{cases}$$

where we recall linear finite elements / centered finite differences give a solution that oscillates if

$$P = \frac{|b|h}{2\mu} < 1$$

A simple method using finite differences to obtain a non-oscillating solution is to replace the central difference approx of  $u'$  with backward differences if  $b > 0$   
forward  $b < 0$

- called 'upwinding', i.e. (assume  $b > 0$ )

$$-\mu \frac{u_{i+1} - 2u_i + u_{i-1}}{h^2} + b \frac{u_i - u_{i-1}}{h} = 0$$

$$u_0 = 0, \quad u_M = 1.$$

$\Rightarrow$  results in a scheme that converges

shows:  $O(h)$  rather than  $O(h^2)$ ,  
but gives monotone solution.

a) Artificial viscosity: the above strategy  
is specific to finite differences, but we  
can adapt to FEM, starting with the  
following observation:

$$\frac{u_i - u_{i-1}}{h} = \frac{u_{i+1} - u_{i-1}}{2h} - \frac{h}{2} \frac{u_{i+1} - 2u_i + u_{i-1}}{h^2}$$

$\Rightarrow$  the upwind scheme is equivalent  
to applying linear FEM / centered FD  
on the equation

$$-\mu_h u'' + bu' = 0$$

where the artificial viscosity

$$\mu_h = \mu + \frac{bh}{2} = \mu(1+P)$$

the Péclet number of the perturbed  
equation is thus

$$P^* = \frac{bh}{\mu(1+P)2} = \frac{P}{1+P} < 1$$

(i.e. the resulting scheme  
never oscillates).

b) Schwarfetter-Gummel: can take other numerical viscosities than free upwind type:

in general, let  $\mu_h = \mu(1 + \phi(P))$

where  $\phi$  is some function

$\Rightarrow$  new Péclet number  $P^* = \frac{P}{1 + \phi(P)}$

(eg  $\phi(t) = t$  was upwind)

We obtain a second order scheme (instead of first order) by taking

$$\phi(t) = t - 1 + \frac{2t}{e^{2t} - 1}$$

$\rightarrow$  also, if  $f$  is piecewise constant, gives exact solution at nodes.

---

### 3. Stabilization in multidim case:

Here we consider artificial diffusion and related schemes. A possible framework is generalized Galerkin schemes:

discretize  $a(u, v) = F(v)$   $\forall v$  by

$$a_h(u_h, v_h) = F_h(v_h) \quad \forall v_h \in V_h$$

where

$$a_h(u, v) = a(u, v) + b_h(u, v)$$

$$F_h(v) = F(v) + G_h(v).$$

artificial viscosity will contribute such a term.

$$\text{i.e. } b_h(u, v) = \mu P(h) a(u, v)$$

in 1d case

Theorem: artificial upwind viscosity method (1D case) was bounded

$$\|u - u_h\|_{H^1(\Omega)} \leq C \frac{h^r}{\mu(1 + \phi(P))} \|u\|_{H^{r+1}(\Omega)} + \frac{\phi(P)}{1 + \phi(P)} \|u\|_{H^1(\Omega)}$$

For the above and related results, we will use

Strang's lemma: consider solving

$$a(u, v) = F(v) \quad \forall v \in V$$

where  $a$  continuous, coercive,  
 $F$  linear, continuous etc.

by generalized Galerkin method.

Assume

i)  $a_h$  is continuous and uniformly coercive, i.e.  $\exists \alpha$  independent of  $h$

$$: a_h(v_h, v_h) \geq \alpha \|v_h\|_V^2 \quad \forall h$$

ii)  $F_h$  is continuous, linear functional.

Then:

a) there exists a unique solution  $u_h$  to generalized Galerkin equation.

b) solution depends continuously on data:

$$\|u_h\|_V \leq \frac{1}{\alpha} \|F_h\|_{V_h'}$$

c) We have the error estimate

$$\|u - u_h\|_V \leq \inf_{w_h \in V_h} \left\{ \left(1 + \frac{M}{\alpha}\right) \|u - w_h\|_V \right.$$

$$\left. + \frac{1}{\alpha} \|F - F_h\|_{V_h'} + \frac{1}{\alpha} \|a(w_h, \cdot) - a_h(w_h, \cdot)\|_{V_h'} \right\}$$

Results a+b are from Lax-Milgram applied to the discrete problem. For the remainder, we let  $\sigma_h = u_h - w_h$ , thus

$$\begin{aligned}
\alpha \|\sigma_h\|_V^2 &\leq a_h(\sigma_h, \sigma_h) \\
&= a_h(u_h, \sigma_h) - a_h(w_h, \sigma_h) \\
&= F_h(\sigma_h) - a_h(w_h, \sigma_h) \\
&= \left[ F_h(\sigma_h) - F(\sigma_h) \right] + F(\sigma_h) - a_h(w_h, \sigma_h) \\
&= \left[ F_h(\sigma_h) - F(\sigma_h) \right] + \underbrace{a(u, \sigma_h) - a_h(w_h, \sigma_h)} \\
&\qquad\qquad\qquad a(u - w_h, \sigma_h) + \left[ a(w_h, \sigma_h) - a_h(w_h, \sigma_h) \right]
\end{aligned}$$

if  $\sigma_h \neq 0$ , divide by  $\alpha \|\sigma_h\|_V$

$$\begin{aligned}
\Rightarrow \\
\|\sigma_h\|_V &\leq \frac{1}{\alpha} \left\{ \frac{|a(u - w_h, \sigma_h)|}{\|\sigma_h\|_V} + \frac{|F_h(\sigma_h) - F(\sigma_h)|}{\|\sigma_h\|_V} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \frac{a(w_h, \sigma_h) - a_h(w_h, \sigma_h)}{\|\sigma_h\|_V} \right\}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{\alpha} \left\{ M \|u - w_h\| + \|F_h - F\|_{V_h'} \right. \\
\quad \left. + \|a(w_h, \cdot) - a_h(w_h, \cdot)\|_{V_h'} \right\}$$

(inequality still valid for  $\sigma_h = 0$ ,

as RHS is true)

Now use  $u - u_h = u - w_h - \sigma_h$ , so

$$\begin{aligned} \|u - u_h\|_V &\leq \|u - w_h\|_V + \|\sigma_h\|_V \\ &\leq \left(1 + \frac{M}{\alpha}\right) \|u - w_h\|_V + \frac{1}{\alpha} \|F_h - F\|_{V'_h} \\ &\quad + \|a(w_h, \cdot) - a_h(w_h, \cdot)\|_{V'_h} \end{aligned}$$

We are done, as can take inf on RHS.

NB: setting  $w_h = u_h^*$ , solution to ordinary Galerkin problem

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow \|u - u_h\|_V &\leq \|u - u_h^*\|_V + \frac{1}{\alpha} \|F - F_h\|_{V'_h} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\alpha} \|a(u_h^*, \cdot) - a_h(u_h^*, \cdot)\|_{V'_h} \end{aligned}$$

as the  $\frac{M}{\alpha} \|u - u_h^*\|$  term disappears

when  $a(u - u_h^*, \sigma_h) = 0$  by Galerkin orthogonality.

(i.e. shows error = Galerkin error + approx of a error.)