



Norwegian University of  
Science and Technology

Department of Mathematical Sciences

## Examination paper for **TMA4205 Numerical Linear Algebra**

**Academic contact during examination:**

**Phone:**

**Examination date:** 30.11.2017

**Examination time (from–to):** 09:00–13:00

**Permitted examination support material:** C: Specified, written and handwritten examination support materials are permitted. A specified, simple calculator is permitted. The permitted examination support materials are:

- Y. Saad: Iterative Methods for Sparse Linear Systems. 2nd ed. SIAM, 2003 (book or printout).
- L. N. Trefethen and D. Bau: Numerical Linear Algebra, SIAM, 1997 (book or photocopy).
- G. Golub and C. Van Loan: Matrix Computations. 3rd ed. The Johns Hopkins University Press, 1996 (book or photocopy).
- E. Rønquist: Note on The Poisson problem in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ : diagonalization methods (printout).
- M. Grasmair: The singular value decomposition (printout).
- Rottmann, Matematisk formelsamling.
- Your own lecture notes from the course (handwritten).

**Language:** English

**Number of pages:** 8

**Number of pages enclosed:** 0

**Checked by:**

Informasjon om trykking av eksamensoppgave

Originalen er:

1-sidig  2-sidig

sort/hvit  farger

skal ha flervalgskjema

---

Date

Signature



**Problem 1** Let

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 5 & -3 \\ -3 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Perform one step of the QR-method (for computing eigenvalues) with the shift parameter  $\mu = 1$ .

*Idea of solution:*

- We first shift the matrix by  $\mu \text{Id}$  and obtain

$$A_\mu := \begin{pmatrix} 4 & -4 \\ -4 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

which has the QR-decomposition

$$A_\mu = QR = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \sqrt{2} \begin{pmatrix} 4 & -2 \\ 0 & -2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

(The first column of  $Q$  is a normalisation of the first column of  $A_\mu$ , the second column is orthogonal to that, and  $R$  can be found by computing  $Q^T A_\mu$ .) Multiplying  $R$  with  $Q$  now yields

$$RQ = \begin{pmatrix} 6 & 2 \\ 2 & -2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Adding back the shift  $\mu \text{Id}$ , we obtain the final result

$$\tilde{A} = \begin{pmatrix} 7 & 2 \\ 2 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

**Problem 2** Consider the matrix

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Given  $b \in \mathbb{R}^4$ , one applies the CG method for the solution of the system  $Ax = b$ . After at most how many steps will the method find the exact solution?

In addition, perform two steps of the CG method for the solution of the system  $Ax = b$  with  $b = (-2, 2, 2, -2)^T$ . Use the initialisation  $x_0 = 0$ .

*Idea of solution:*

- Obviously we have 2 different eigenvalues and thus at most two steps.

For the iteration, we initialise  $r_0 = p_0 = b$  and then compute

$$Ap_0 = \begin{pmatrix} -4 \\ 4 \\ 2 \\ -2 \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$\alpha_0 = \|r_0\|_2^2 / (Ap_0, p_0) = 16/24 = 2/3.$$

Thus

$$x_1 = x_0 + \alpha_0 p_0 = \frac{4}{3} \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Now

$$r_1 = r_0 - \alpha_0 Ap_0 = \begin{pmatrix} -2 \\ 2 \\ 2 \\ -2 \end{pmatrix} - \frac{2}{3} \begin{pmatrix} -4 \\ 4 \\ 2 \\ -2 \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{3} \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ -2 \\ 2 \\ -2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Next,

$$\beta_0 = \|r_1\|^2 / \|r_0\|^2 = (16/9)/16 = 1/9,$$

and

$$p_1 = r_1 + \beta_0 p_0 = \frac{1}{3} \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ -2 \\ 2 \\ -2 \end{pmatrix} + \frac{1}{9} \begin{pmatrix} -2 \\ 2 \\ 2 \\ -2 \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{9} \begin{pmatrix} 4 \\ -4 \\ 8 \\ -8 \end{pmatrix}.$$

In the second iteration, we obtain

$$Ap_1 = \frac{1}{9} \begin{pmatrix} 8 \\ -8 \\ 8 \\ -8 \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$\alpha_1 = \|r_1\|^2 / (Ap_1, p_1) = (16/9) / (64/27) = 3/4.$$

Thus

$$x_2 = x_1 + \alpha_1 p_1 = \frac{4}{3} \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix} + \frac{3}{4} \frac{1}{9} \begin{pmatrix} 4 \\ -4 \\ 8 \\ -8 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ 1 \\ 2 \\ -2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Moreover, we readily obtain that  $r_2 = 0$ , that is, we have, as expected, found the solution.

**Problem 3** We consider the linear system  $Ax = b$ , where  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  is symmetric and positive definite. In order to solve this system, we apply a two-dimensional projection method, where the  $(k+1)$ -st iterate is computed using the search space and constraint space  $\mathcal{K}_k = \mathcal{L}_k = \text{span}\{r^{(k)}, Ar^{(k)}\}$ , with  $r^{(k)} = b - Ax^{(k)}$  being the current residual.

Using the convergence result for the steepest descent method, show that this method converges for each  $x^{(0)} \in \mathbb{R}^n$  to a solution of the linear system.

*Idea of solution:*

- The iterate  $x^{(k+1)}$  is the result of a projection method with  $A$  SPD and  $\mathcal{K}_k = \mathcal{L}_k$ . As a consequence, it solves the optimisation problem

$$\min_{x \in x^{(k)} + \mathcal{K}_k} \|x - x^*\|_A,$$

where  $x^* = A^{-1}b$  is the solution of the linear system. Now denote by  $x_{\text{SD}}^{(k+1)}$  the result of one step of the steepest descent method. Then by construction  $x^{(k+1)} \in x^{(k)} + \text{span}\{r^{(k)}\} \subset x^{(k)} + \mathcal{K}_k$ , which implies that

$$\|x^{(k+1)} - x^*\|_A \leq \|x_{\text{SD}}^{(k+1)} - x^*\|_A.$$

Next we use that

$$\|x_{\text{SD}}^{(k+1)} - x^*\|_A \leq \frac{\lambda_{\max} - \lambda_{\min}}{\lambda_{\max} + \lambda_{\min}} \|x^{(k)} - x^*\|_A.$$

(see Saad, Theorem 5.9). Together, these inequalities imply that

$$\|x^{(k+1)} - x^*\|_A \leq \frac{\lambda_{\max} - \lambda_{\min}}{\lambda_{\max} + \lambda_{\min}} \|x^{(k)} - x^*\|_A.$$

Since  $\frac{\lambda_{\max} - \lambda_{\min}}{\lambda_{\max} + \lambda_{\min}} < 1$ , this proves the convergence of the iterates  $x^{(k)}$  to  $x^*$ .

**Problem 4** Assume that  $B \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  is skew-symmetric, that is,  $B^T = -B$ . In particular, this implies that the diagonal elements of  $B$  are equal to 0. Also, because of the skew-symmetry of  $B$ , its eigenvalues are purely imaginary, that is, the eigenvalues of  $B$  have the form  $\lambda_j = i\mu_j$  with  $\mu_1 \geq \mu_2 \geq \dots \mu_{n-1} \geq \mu_n$ . Moreover,  $\mu_j = -\mu_{n-j+1}$  for all  $j$ .

In the following, we consider the solution of a linear system  $Ax = b$ , where  $A = \text{Id} + B$ .

- a) Consider the steepest descent method for the solution of the system  $Ax = b$ . Show that, for this particular case, the step size  $\alpha$  chosen by the steepest descent method is in each step equal to 1, and thus this method is identical to the Jacobi method.

*Idea of solution:*

- The steepest descent method reads

$$x^{(k+1)} = x^{(k)} + \alpha_k(b - Ax^{(k)})$$

with

$$\alpha_k = \frac{\|r^{(k)}\|^2}{(Ar^{(k)}, r^{(k)})}.$$

Because of the skew-symmetry of  $B$  we have  $(Ar^{(k)}, r^{(k)}) = (r^{(k)} + Br^{(k)}, r^{(k)}) = (r^{(k)}, r^{(k)})$ , and thus  $\alpha_k = 1$ . Thus

$$x^{(k+1)} = (\text{Id} - A)x^{(k)} + b.$$

Since the diagonal elements of  $A$  are all equal to 1 (those of  $B$  are 0), this is precisely the Jacobi method.

- b) Consider now the weighted Jacobi method with weight  $0 < \omega \leq 1$  for solving the system  $Ax = b$ . That is,  $x^{(k+1)}$  is defined as

$$x^{(k+1)} := (1 - \omega)x^{(k)} + \omega x_J^{(k+1)},$$

where

$$x_J^{(k+1)} := D^{-1}(E + F)x^{(k)} + D^{-1}b$$

is the result of applying one step of the Jacobi method to the vector  $x^{(k)}$ .

Assume that  $\mu_1 < 1$ . Show that the weighted Jacobi method converges for each  $0 < \omega \leq 1$ .

*Idea of solution:*

- The weighted Jacobi iteration reads as

$$x^{(k+1)} = (1 - \omega)x^{(k)} + \omega(Bx^{(k)} + b) = \left((1 - \omega)\text{Id} + \omega B\right)x^{(k)} + \omega b.$$

The iteration matrix of this method is therefore  $G_\omega := (1 - \omega)\text{Id} + \omega B$ , which has the eigenvalues  $(1 - \omega) - \omega i\mu_j$ . Since  $|\mu_j| < 1$  for all  $j$ , this is (in modulus) strictly smaller than 1, which implies that the iteration converges.

**Problem 5** Let  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  be symmetric and positive definite, and assume that all diagonal entries of  $A$  are equal to 1.

We consider the solution of a linear system  $Ax = b$  using a left-preconditioned GMRES method, where the application of a preconditioner  $M^{-1}$  to a vector  $r$  consists in performing two iterations of the Jacobi method for solving  $Az = r$  with initialisation  $z^{(0)} = 0$ .

- a) Write down an algebraic expression for the left-preconditioned matrix  $M^{-1}A$  and show that it is symmetric and positive definite provided that  $\|A\|_2 < 2$ .

*Idea of solution:*

- Since  $D = \text{Id}$  (diagonal part of  $A$ ), we obtain  $M^{-1} = (\text{Id} - A) + \text{Id} = 2\text{Id} - A$ . The left preconditioned matrix becomes  $(2\text{Id} - A)A$ . This is obviously symmetric. For the positive definiteness of this matrix, we observe that its eigenvalues are of the form  $2\lambda_j - \lambda_j^2$ , where  $\lambda_j$  is an eigenvalue of  $A$ . Since  $A$  is symmetric and positive definite, its eigenvalues are positive and equal to its singular values, which, because of the assumption  $\|A\|_2 < 2$  are all smaller than 2. As  $2\lambda - \lambda^2 > 0$  whenever  $0 < \lambda < 2$ , this proves the positive definiteness of  $M^{-1}$ .

- b) Assume now that the eigenvalues of the matrix  $A$  are all contained in the intervals  $(0.1, 0.2)$  and  $(1.8, 1.9)$ . Estimate, how many iterations of the preconditioned GMRES method are necessary in order to decrease the Euclidean norm of the residual by a factor of  $10^{-6}$ .

*Idea of solution:*

- The eigenvalues of the preconditioned matrix are of the form  $(2 - \lambda)\lambda$ , where  $\lambda$  is an eigenvalue of  $A$ . Thus they are all contained in the interval  $(0.19, 0.36)$ , which then implies that the condition of the preconditioned

matrix is smaller than  $36/19$ . The matrix is SPD, and thus the estimates for the CG method carry over (for the residual instead of the error) to the GMRES method, that is, we have

$$\|Ax^{(k)} - b\|_2 \leq 2 \left( \frac{\sqrt{\kappa} - 1}{\sqrt{\kappa} + 1} \right)^k \|Ax^{(0)} - b\|_2.$$

The desired improvement of the accuracy is thus guaranteed in case

$$2 \left( \frac{\sqrt{\kappa} - 1}{\sqrt{\kappa} + 1} \right)^k \leq 10^{-6},$$

which a short computation shows to hold for  $k \geq 8$ .

**Problem 6** Compute the (reduced) SVD of the matrix

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 22 & 2 & 13 \\ 4 & 14 & 16 \end{pmatrix}.$$

*Idea of solution:*

- In order to find the SVD  $A = U\Sigma V^T$ , we compute first the eigenvalues of  $AA^T$ . We have

$$AA^T = \begin{pmatrix} 657 & 324 \\ 324 & 468 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The characteristic polynomial of this matrix is

$$p(\lambda) = \lambda^2 - 1125\lambda + 202500,$$

which has the zeroes

$$\lambda_{\pm} = \frac{1125 \pm 675}{2} = \begin{cases} 900 \\ 225 \end{cases}$$

Thus the singular values of  $A$  are  $\sigma_1 = 30$  and  $\sigma_2 = 15$  and

$$\Sigma = \begin{pmatrix} 30 & 0 \\ 0 & 15 \end{pmatrix}.$$



Next we note that  $v_1 = (4, 3)/5$  is an eigenvector of  $AA^T$  for the eigenvalue 900, and thus  $v_2 := v_1^\perp = (3, -4)$  is an eigenvector for the eigenvalue 225. As a consequence, we can choose

$$U = \frac{1}{5} \begin{pmatrix} 4 & 3 \\ 3 & -4 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Finally, we have

$$V = A^T U \Sigma^{-1} = \frac{1}{3} \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 2 \\ 1 & -2 \\ 2 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

**Problem 7** The matrix

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 9.6 & -2.4 & 2.4 & 0 \\ 2.8 & 1.8 & 3.2 & 10 \end{pmatrix}$$

has the reduced singular value decomposition

$$A = U \Sigma V^T$$

with

$$U = \frac{1}{5} \begin{pmatrix} 3 & -4 \\ 4 & 3 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \Sigma = \begin{pmatrix} 12 & 0 \\ 0 & 9 \end{pmatrix}, \quad V^T = \frac{1}{3} \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 & 1 & 2 \\ -2 & 1 & 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

**a)** Find the rank one matrix  $B \in \mathbb{R}^{2 \times 4}$  for which  $\|A - B\|_F$  is minimal.

*Idea of solution:*

- The solution of this optimisation problem is the matrix  $U \hat{\Sigma} V^T$ , where  $\hat{\Sigma}$  is the (rank one) diagonal matrix which has as its only non-zero entry at the top-left corner of the matrix the largest singular value of  $A$ .

Carrying out the multiplication  $U \hat{\Sigma} V^T$  with  $\hat{\Sigma} = \begin{pmatrix} 12 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ , we obtain

$$\text{the solution } B = \begin{pmatrix} 4.8 & 0 & 2.4 & 4.8 \\ 6.4 & 0 & 3.2 & 6.4 \end{pmatrix}.$$

**b)** Use the singular value decomposition of  $A$  in order to compute the solution of the problem

$$\min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^4} \|x\|_2^2 \quad \text{s.t. } Ax = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 5 \end{pmatrix}.$$

*Idea of solution:*

- The solution of the problem is the vector  $x^\dagger = A^\dagger b$  where  $b = (0, 5)^T$  is the right hand side of the equation and  $A^\dagger = V\Sigma^{-1}U^T$  is the pseudoinverse of  $A$  (note that  $\Sigma$  is invertible). In this case we obtain

$$x^\dagger = V\Sigma^{-1}U^T b = \frac{1}{9} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 4 \end{pmatrix}.$$