## TMA4190: Introduction to Topology. Final Exam

- Let  $n \ge 1$ . We will always denote by  $\mathbb{R}^n$  the set consisting of *n*-tuples of real numbers equipped with the standard topology (the one you know from Calculus).
- We let Q<sup>n</sup> ⊂ ℝ<sup>n</sup> be the subset of those tuples that consist in rational numbers equipped with the subspace topology.
- We denote by  $[0,1] = \{x \in \mathbb{R} | 0 \le x \le 1\} \subset \mathbb{R}$  the closed unit interval, equipped with the subspace topology.
- We denote by  $\mathbb{S}^1 = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 | x^2 + y^2 = 1\} \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  the unit circle, equipped with the subspace topology.

**Problem 1.** Define the notion of a topological space and provide the following examples:

- i) Three different topologies on the set  $X = \{a, b, c, d\}$ .
- *ii)* A topological space which is a metric space.
- *iii)* A topological space whose topology **does not** come from a metric space. (Remember to provide a proof of your claim).

Solution. A topological space is a pair  $(X, \tau)$  consisting in a set X together with a collection of subsets  $\tau$  of X which we call the open sets, satisfying the following axioms:

1. The subsets  $X, \emptyset \in \tau$ .

2. Given a set I and a collection  $\{X_i\}_{i \in I}$  of subsets such that  $X_i \in \tau$  for every  $i \in I$  then it follows that the union

$$\bigcup_{i \in I} X_i \in \tau$$

is also an open subset of X.

3. Given a finite set N and a collection  $\{X_j\}_{j\in N}$  of subsets such that  $X_j \in \tau$  then it follows that the (finite) intersection

$$\bigcap_{j \in N} X_j \in \tau$$

is again an open subset of X.

- i) We define three topologies on  $X = \{a, b, c, d\}$  given by  $\tau_1 = \{X, \emptyset\}$ ,  $\tau_2 = \{A \subseteq X \mid A \text{ is a subset of } X\}$  and  $\tau_3 = \{X, \emptyset, \{a\}\}$ . It is clear that in each of the  $\tau_i$  for i = 1, 2, 3 satisfy the axioms above and thus define three different topologies on X.
- ii) Let  $X = \mathbb{R}$  and define a metric by  $d : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  via the formula d(x, y) = |x y| (where |-| denotes the absolute value). Let us check that d defines a metric
  - M1) It follows from the definition of the absolute value that for every  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$  we have  $|x y| \ge 0$  and |x y| = 0 if and only if x = y.
  - M2) It is also immediate from the definition that |x y| = |y x| for every  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ .
  - M3) Finally, given  $x, y, z \in \mathbb{R}$  we know from calculus that the triangle inequality holds, in order words, we have

$$|x - z| \le |x - y| + |y - z|.$$

iii) Let  $(\mathbb{R}, \tau_{cof})$  be a topological space where we declare a subset U (different from  $\mathbb{R}, \emptyset$ ) to be open if  $\mathbb{R} \setminus U = \{x \in \mathbb{R} | x \notin U\}$  is a finite set. We saw in class that this defines a topology on  $\mathbb{R}$  so we need to show that this topology does not come from a metric in  $\mathbb{R}$ . Let (X, d) be a metric space and let  $x, y \in X$  such that  $x \neq y$ . Let  $d(x, y) = \epsilon$  and note that  $\epsilon > 0$ . Then it follows that the open balls

$$B\left(x,\frac{\epsilon}{2}\right) \cap B\left(y,\frac{\epsilon}{2}\right) = \emptyset$$

have empty intersection. Indeed, given  $z \in B(y, \frac{\epsilon}{2})$  then it follows from the triangle inequality that

$$d(x,y) \le d(x,z) + d(y,z) \iff d(x,z) \ge d(x,y) - d(y,z) = \epsilon - d(y,z) > \frac{\epsilon}{2}$$

To finish the proof we will show that given  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$  we cannot find open sets  $U, V \in \tau_{cof}$  such that  $x \in U, y \in V$  and such that  $U \cap V = \emptyset$ . To see this we observe that since by definition  $U = \mathbb{R} \setminus A$  and  $V = \mathbb{R} \setminus B$ where A, B are finite sets it follows that

$$U \cap V = \mathbb{R} \setminus A \cup B.$$

Since an union of two finite sets cannot not be equal to  $\mathbb{R}$  it follows that  $U \cap V \neq \emptyset$ .

**Problem 2.** Let  $A \subset X$  where X is a topological space and such that  $A \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $A^{\circ}$  be the interior of A, and let  $\overline{A}$  be the closure of A. Prove that if  $A^{\circ} = \overline{A}$  then A is both open and closed in X.

*Proof.* By definition we have that  $A^{\circ}$  is the union of every open set U in X which is contained in A, i.e.  $U \subseteq A$ . This implies that  $A^{\circ} \subseteq A$  and that  $A^{\circ}$  is always open in X.

Dually, A is the intersection of all closed subsets  $Z \subseteq X$  such that  $A \subseteq Z$ . This definition implies that  $A \subseteq \overline{A}$  and that  $\overline{A}$  is always closed in X. Summarizing this we have

$$A^{\mathbf{o}} \subseteq A \subseteq \overline{A}$$

If  $A^{\circ} = \overline{A}$  then it follows that  $A = A^{\circ} = \overline{A}$  and consequently, A is both open and closed in X.

**Problem 3.** Let  $A \subset X$  where X is a topological space.

i) What does it mean for A to be dense in X?

- ii) Prove that  $\mathbb{Q}^n \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  is dense for  $n \geq 1$ .
- Solution. i) A is dense in X for every open subset  $U \subseteq X$  we have that  $A \cap U \neq \emptyset$ .
  - ii) Let us suppose that we have proven the statement for n = 1 and let us prove the general claim for n. Let  $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  be an open subset. We know that since  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is equipped with the product topology there exists some  $V \subset U$  such that V is of the form

$$V = \prod_{i=1}^{n} (a_i, b_i) \subset U$$

Now, by the case n = 1 we can pick for each interval  $(a_i, b_i)$  a rational number  $q_i \in (a_i, b_i)$ . It then follows that the tuple  $\vec{q} = (q_1, q_2, \ldots, q_n) \in \mathbb{Q}^n$  and that  $\vec{q} \in V$ . This implies that  $U \cap \mathbb{Q}^n \neq \emptyset$ .

To show the case n = 1 (you might as well cite that we did it in class) we consider an open interval (a, b) and we wish to show that there exists some  $q \in \mathbb{Q}$  such that  $q \in (a, b)$ . Let  $x \in (a, b)$  if  $x \in \mathbb{Q}$  we are done. Let us suppose that  $x \notin \mathbb{Q}$ . Let  $a_n$  be the real number whose decimal expression consists in the first *n*-digits of the decimal expression of *x*. In particular  $a_n \in \mathbb{Q}$ . It follows that  $|x - a_n| \to 0$  when  $n \to \infty$ . In particular there must exist some natural number *k* such that  $a_k \in (a, b)$ and thus the claim follows.

**Problem 4.** Let  $[0,1] \times [0,1]$  and define  $C = [0,1] \times [0,1] / \sim$  where the equivalence relation identifies  $(0,y) \sim (1,y)$  and  $(x,1) \sim (x',1)$ . Show that C is homeomorphic to  $D^2 = \{(a,b) \in \mathbb{R}^2 | a^2 + b^2 \leq 1\}.$ 

Solution. We define a map  $\Psi: [0,1] \times [0,1] \to D^2$  given by

$$\Psi(x,y) = ((1-y)\cos(2\pi x), (1-y)\sin(2\pi x)).$$

this map is clearly continuous (we know it from Calculus). We see that,

$$(1-y)\cos(2\pi x))^2 + ((1-y)\sin(2\pi x))^2 = (1-y)^2 \le 1$$

so it follows that  $\Psi(x, y) \in D^2$ .

We wish to show that  $\Psi$  descends to C which amounts to checking that  $\Psi$  preserves the equivalence relation in the definition of C. To see this we note that

$$\Psi(0,y) = ((1-y)\cos(0), (1-y)\sin(0)) = ((1-y)\cos(2\pi), (1-y)\sin(2\pi)) = \Psi(1,y)$$

Similarly we note that

$$\Psi(x,1) = (0,0) = \Psi(x',1).$$

Consequently we obtain a continuous map,  $\overline{\Psi}: C \to D^2$  by the properties of the quotient topology on C.

To finish the proof we need to show that  $\overline{\Psi}$  is an homeomorphism. For a fixed value  $y_0$ , let *i* be the inclusion of  $i_{y_0} : [0,1]/0 \sim 1 \simeq \mathbb{S}^1 \to C$  sending *t* to  $(t, y_0)$ . Then the composition

$$\overline{\Psi} \circ i_{u_0} : \mathbb{S}^1 \to D^2$$

is an homeomorphism into the circle of radius  $(1 - y_0)^2$ . In particular, this shows that  $\overline{\Psi}$  is surjective. We observe that we identified every point in  $[0,1] \times [0,1]$  where  $\Psi$  was not injective. This shows that  $\overline{\Psi}$  is injective.

At this point we have a continuous bijective map  $\overline{\Psi} : C \to D^2$ , so the only thing left to do is to show that its inverse is continuous as well. From the theory, we know that it is enough to show that  $\overline{\Psi}$  is a closed map (i.e. it maps closed sets in C to closed sets in  $D^2$ ).

Let  $Z \in C$  be a closed set. Observe that since C is a quotient of a compact space it follows that it is itself compact. Moreover, a closed set of a compact space is (by the theory) again compact. It follows that  $\overline{\Psi}(Z)$  is compact in  $D^2$ . We know from the theory that a compact subset of a Hausdorff space is itself closed. Since  $D^2$  is Hausdorff it follows that  $\overline{\Psi}(Z)$  is again closed and thus  $\overline{\Psi}$  is an homeomorphism.

**Problem 5.** Let  $A \subset X$  where X is a topological space. Define the subspace topology on the subset A and prove the following:

• A subset  $Z \subseteq A$  is closed in the subspace topology if and only if there exists some  $Z_X \subseteq X$  which is closed in X such that  $Z_X \cap A = Z$ .

Solution. A subset  $U \subseteq A$  is open in the subspace topology if and only if there exists some open set  $W \subseteq X$  such that  $U = W \cap A$ .

• Let  $Z \subseteq A$  be a closed subset in the subspace topology. Then it follows that  $A \setminus Z = U$  is open. Let W be an open set in X such that  $W \cap A = U$ . We claim that  $X \setminus W = K$  is a closed subset such that  $K \cap A = Z$ . Indeed, we have

$$K \cap A = X \setminus W \cap A = A \setminus (A \cap W) = A \setminus U = Z.$$

The converse follows from the same argument going in the other direction.

**Problem 6.** Let  $K \subset X$  (with  $K \neq X$ ) be a compact subset of a Hausdorff space and let  $x \in X \setminus K$ . Show that there exists open sets U, V such that  $K \subset U, x \in V$  and  $U \cap V = \emptyset$ .

Solution. For every  $y \in K$  let  $U_y$  and  $V_y$  be open subsets such that  $y \in U_y$ ,  $x \in V_y$  and such that  $U_y \cap V_y = \emptyset$ . The existence of such opens is guaranteed by the fact that X is a Hausdorff space. We know observe that the collection  $\{U_y\}_{y \in K}$  is a covering for K. Since K is compact we have a finite collection of points  $\{y_0, y_1, \ldots, y_n\}$  such that

$$K \subseteq \bigcup_{i=0}^{n} U_{y_i} = U.$$

We define  $V = \bigcap_{i=0}^{n} V_{y_i}$  and observe that  $x \in V$  by construction. Moreover, V is an open subset since it is given by a *finite* intersection of open sets. By construction we have

$$U \cap V = \emptyset$$

which finishes the proof.

**Problem 7.** Let  $\mathbf{2} = \{0, 1\}$  be the topological space where we declare the subset  $\{0\}$  to be the unique non-trivial open set. Show that the map

$$H: \mathbf{2} \times [0,1] \rightarrow \mathbf{2},$$

sending an element (x,m) to H(x,m) where the later is given by

$$H(x,m) = \begin{cases} x, & \text{if } m < 1/2\\ 1, & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

is continuous. Conclude the following:

• Given a homotopy equivalence  $f : X \to Y$  where X is Hausdorff, then in general it is **not true** that Y is Hausdorff.

*Proof.* To show that H is continuous we only need to show that  $H^{-1}(\{0\})$  is open in  $\mathbf{2} \times [0, 1]$ . Using the definition of H we find

$$H^{-1}(\{0\}) = \{0\} \times [0, 1/2)$$

since  $\{0\}$  is open in **2** and [0, 1/2) is open in [0, 1] it follows that  $\{0\} \times [0, 1/2) \subset \mathbf{2} \times [0, 1]$  is open in the product topology and consequently we see that H is continuous.

• Let \* denote the topological space consisting in one point. It is clear that \* is Hausdorff. We claim that 2 is homotopy equivalent to \*. To see this we consider the map  $i : * \to 2$  mapping the unique point to 1. Since  $i^{-1}(0) = \emptyset$  it follows that *i* is continuous. Let  $t : 2 \to *$  be the unique map which is clearly continuous. It is clear that  $t \circ i = id$ is the identity map. The only thing that is left to show is that  $i \circ t$  is homotopic to the identity on 2. The map *H* above gives the desired homotopy.

To finish the proof, we need to show that 2 is not Hausdorff. This is clear since we cannot separate the points 0 and 1.

**Problem 8.** Let  $f : X \to Y$  be a homotopy equivalence and suppose that Y is connected. Show that X is connected as well.

Solution. We assume for contradiction that X is not connected. Let  $U, V \subset X$  be two open sets such that  $U, V \neq X, \emptyset, U \cap V = \emptyset$  and  $U \cup V = X$ .

Given a connected topological space Z and a continuous map  $h: Z \to X$ it follows that h(Z) is either in U or in V since otherwise  $h^{-1}(U)$  and  $h^{-1}(V)$ would give a separation for Z which contradicts the fact that Z is connected. In particular, given points  $x \in U$  and  $y \in V$  it follows that since [0, 1] is connected there cannot exist a path connecting x and y.

The fact f is a homotopy equivalence implies that there exists a map  $g: Y \to X$  and a homotopy between  $g \circ f$  and the identity map on X. Let us assume without loss of generality that  $g(Y) \subset U$ . Given  $x \in V$  it follows from the existence of the homotopy  $g \circ f \sim \operatorname{id}_X$  that there is a path between  $g \circ f(x) \in U$  and  $x \in V$  which is a contradiction by the discussion above.

**Problem 9.** What does it mean for a continuous map  $f : X \to Y$  to be open? Give an example of an open map.

*Proof.* A map is open if given an open set  $U \subseteq X$  then it follows that f(U) is open in Y. Let X, Y be topological spaces and let  $X \times Y$  be the cartesian product. We consider the map  $\pi_X : X \times Y \to X$  given by  $\pi_X = (x, y) = x$  and claim that  $\pi_X$  is open.

We know from theory that any open  $U \subset X \times Y$  can be expressed as

$$U = \bigcup_{i \in I} A_i \times B_i$$

where  $A_i \subseteq X$  is open and similarly  $B_i \subseteq Y$  is also open. Then it follows that  $\pi_X(U) = \bigcup_{i \in I} A_i$  which is an union of open sets of X and thus is open.  $\Box$ 

**Problem 10.** Let Mo =  $[0,1] \times [0,1]/\sim$  where the equivalence relation identifies  $(0,x) \sim (1,1-x)$ . Show that Mo is path connected and compute  $\pi_1(Mo, x)$ .

Hint:  $\mathbb{S}^1 \simeq \{(x, y) \in \mathrm{Mo} | y = 1/2\} \subset \mathrm{Mo}$ 

Solution. First let us show that Mo is path connected. We consider the (continuous) quotient map

$$\pi: [0,1] \times [0,1] \to \mathrm{Mo}$$

and observe that  $\pi$  is surjective. Given  $x, y \in Mo$  we pick a pair of points  $a, b \in [0, 1] \times [0, 1]$  such that  $\pi(a) = x$  and  $\pi(b) = y$ . We note that we can construct a path  $\gamma : [0, 1] \to [0, 1] \times [0, 1]$  joining a and b. This is true since we can connect points in  $[0, 1] \times [0, 1]$  using straight lines. To finish the proof we observe that  $\pi \circ \gamma$  is the desired path.

The final thing to do is to compute  $\pi_1(Mo, x)$ . We will do this by showing that the map in the hint defines a homotopy equivalence. Then it will follow that  $\pi_1(Mo, x) \simeq \pi_1(\mathbb{S}^1, x) \simeq \mathbb{Z}$ . We define a map

$$T: [0,1] \times [0,1] \to \mathbb{S}^1 = [0,1]/0 \sim 1$$

which sends (s, x) to s. The map T is clearly continuous. Now we show that T preserves the equivalence relation. This follows easily after noting that

$$T(0,x) = 0 \sim 1 = T(1,1-x).$$

We conclude that we have a continuous map  $\overline{T} = \text{Mo} \to \mathbb{S}^1$ . Let  $i : \mathbb{S}^1 \to \text{Mo}$  be the map in the hint. Then it is clear that  $\overline{T} \circ i$  is the identity map on  $\mathbb{S}^1$ . To finish the proof we construct a homotopy

$$H: \mathrm{Mo} \times [0,1] \to \mathrm{Mo}$$

between the identity map on Mo and  $i \circ T$ . We define for  $z \in$  Mo with z = (x, y)

$$H(z,t) = (x, t/2 + (1-t)y).$$

Observe that we have H(z,0) = (x,y) and H(z,1) = (x,1/2) so the final thing to check is that H is a well defined continuous map. This amounts to showing that H respect the equivalence relation in the definition of Mo. Let z = (0, y) then we have

$$H(z,t) = (0, t/2 + (1-t)y) \sim (1, 1 - (t/2 + (1-t)y)),$$

on the other hand, given z' = (1, 1 - y) we have

$$H(z',t) = (1, t/2 + (1-t)(1-y)),$$

we only need to check that

$$1 - \frac{t}{2} + (t - 1)y = \frac{t}{2} + (1 - t)(1 - y) \iff$$
$$1 - \frac{t}{2} + (t - 1)y = \frac{t}{2} + (1 - t) + (t - 1)y \iff 1 - \frac{t}{2} = \frac{t}{2} + (1 - t).$$