

# Reed-Muller codes

KG

April 7, 2011

## 1 Preliminaries

Let  $V$  be the set of all functions from  $\mathbf{F}_2^m$  to  $\mathbf{F}_2$ . We define the sum, product and scalar multiplication in the usual way: for any  $f_1, f_2 \in V$ ,  $z \in \mathbf{F}_2^m$  and  $a \in \mathbf{F}_2$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}(f_1 + f_2)(z) &= f_1(z) + f_2(z), \\ (f_1 f_2)(z) &= f_1(z) f_2(z) \text{ and} \\ (af_1)(z) &= a(f_1(z)).\end{aligned}$$

It is easy to verify that  $V$  is an  $\mathbf{F}_2$ -vector space and a ring. Furthermore, since there are  $2^{2^m}$  elements in  $V$ , it must be a  $2^m$ -dimensional vector space.

We define the *support* of a function in  $V$  to be the set of points where the function is non-zero:

$$\text{Supp } f = \{z \in \mathbf{F}_2^m \mid f(z) \neq 0\}.$$

The *weight* of a function is the size of its support,  $\text{wt}(f) = |\text{Supp } f|$ . We note that  $f_1 f_2 = 0$  if and only if  $\text{Supp } f_1 \cap \text{Supp } f_2 = \emptyset$ .

Next, consider the ring of polynomials in  $m$  variables,  $\mathbf{F}_2[x_1, \dots, x_m]$ . Any polynomial  $p \in \mathbf{F}_2[x_1, \dots, x_m]$  defines a function from  $\mathbf{F}_2^m$  to  $\mathbf{F}_2$  by replacing the variables  $x_1, \dots, x_m$  with the coordinates of the vector  $z = (z_1, \dots, z_m)$  and evaluating the sum:

$$\left( \sum_{r_1, \dots, r_m} a_{r_1, \dots, r_m} x_1^{r_1} \cdots x_m^{r_m} \right) (z) = \sum_{r_1, \dots, r_m} a_{r_1, \dots, r_m} z_1^{r_1} \cdots z_m^{r_m}.$$

Again, it is clear that this map  $\mathbf{F}_2[x_1, \dots, x_m] \rightarrow V$  is a ring homomorphism. We shall identify the polynomial with its corresponding function.

Note that the non-zero polynomial  $x_i^r - x_i$  corresponds to the zero function when  $r > 0$ . This means that for any polynomial, there exists a second polynomial of degree at most  $m$  that defines the same function.

*Example 1.* Let  $m = 4$ . The monomials  $x_1^7 x_2 x_4$  and  $x_1 x_2 x_4$  define the same function.

Let  $M_m$  be the set of monomials in  $\mathbf{F}_2[x_1, \dots, x_m]$  where each variable appears at most once.

*Example 2.* For  $m = 1, 2, 3$  we have:

$$\begin{aligned} M_1 &= \{1, x_1\}, \\ M_2 &= \{1, x_1, x_2, x_1x_2\}, \text{ and} \\ M_3 &= \{1, x_1, x_2, x_3, x_1x_2, x_1x_3, x_2x_3, x_1x_2x_3\}. \end{aligned}$$

We know that there are  $2^m$  elements in  $M_m$ , since each element corresponds to a subset of  $\{1, 2, \dots, m\}$  and there are  $2^m$  such subsets.

**Proposition 1.**  $M_m$  is a basis for  $V$ .

*Proof.* Since  $M_m$  has  $2^m$  elements and the dimension of  $V$  is  $2^m$ , we only need to prove that they are linearly independent.

The claim is clearly true for  $M_1$ . Suppose it holds for  $M_{i-1}$ . Let  $\Delta \in \mathbf{F}_2^m$  have a 1 in its  $i$ th coordinate and zeros everywhere else. Then for any  $f \in M_{i-1}$  and any  $z \in \mathbf{F}_2^m$ ,  $f(z) = f(z + \Delta)$ .

Now note that any linear combination  $c$  of elements of  $M_i$  can be written as

$$c = \sum_{f \in M_{i-1}} a_f f + x_i \sum_{f \in M_{i-1}} a'_f f.$$

Suppose that  $c = 0$  in  $V$ . For any  $z$  where the  $i$ th coordinate is zero, we have that

$$0 = c(z) = \sum_{f \in M_{i-1}} a_f f(z).$$

By the properties of  $\Delta$  above,  $\sum_{f \in M_{i-1}} a_f f(z) = 0$  holds for any  $z$ , which implies  $\sum_{f \in M_{i-1}} a_f f = 0$  in  $V$ , which again implies that  $a_f = 0$  for all  $f \in M_{i-1}$ , by the hypothesis.

Then, by considering elements of  $\mathbf{F}_2^m$  where the  $i$ th coordinate is 1, we get that  $a'_f = 0$  for all  $f \in M_{i-1}$ , and consequently that the elements of  $M_i$  are linearly independent. The claim follows by induction.  $\square$

## 2 The Underlying Code

Let  $M(r, m)$  be the monomials in  $M_m$  of degree at most  $r$ . Let  $\mathcal{RM}'(r, m)$  be the subspace of  $V$  spanned by  $M(r, m)$ . It follows immediately that the dimension  $k$  of  $\mathcal{RM}'(r, m)$  is  $\binom{m}{0} + \binom{m}{1} + \dots + \binom{m}{r}$ .

Fix any ordering of the  $k$  monomials in  $M(r, m)$ . We encode  $y \in \mathbf{F}_2^k$  as

$$c = \sum_{i=1}^k y_i f_i.$$

### 3 Further preliminaries

We define a map  $\phi : V \mapsto \mathbf{F}_2$  by

$$\phi(f) = \sum_{z \in \mathbf{F}_2^m} f(z).$$

It is easy to verify that  $\phi$  is a vector space homomorphism. We shall describe its kernel and cokernel by describing its action on the basis  $M_m$ .

**Proposition 2.** *For any monomial  $f \in M_m$ ,*

$$\phi(f) = \begin{cases} 1 & \deg f = m, \text{ and} \\ 0 & \deg f < m. \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* It is clear that  $\phi(x_1 \cdots x_m) = 1$ .

If  $\deg f < m$ , let  $x_i$  be a variable not included in the monomial. Let  $\Delta \in \mathbf{F}_2^m$  have a 1 in its  $i$ th coordinate, and zeros everywhere else. Then for any  $z \in \mathbf{F}_2^m$ ,  $f(z) = f(z + \Delta)$ , and

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{z \in \mathbf{F}_2^m} f(z) &= \sum_{z \in \mathbf{F}_2^m, z_i=0} f(z) + \sum_{z \in \mathbf{F}_2^m, z_i=1} f(z) \\ &= \sum_{z \in \mathbf{F}_2^m, z_i=0} f(z) + \sum_{z \in \mathbf{F}_2^m, z_i=0} f(z + \Delta) \\ &= 2 \sum_{z \in \mathbf{F}_2^m, z_i=0} f(z) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

which proves the claim. □

This obviously extends to any linear combination of monomials.

**Corollary 3.** *Let  $c$  be a linear combination of monomials from  $M_m$ . Then*

$$\phi(c) = \begin{cases} 1 & \deg c = m, \text{ and} \\ 0 & \deg c < m. \end{cases}$$

To any monomial  $f \in M_m$  we associate the function set

$$S_f = \left\{ \prod_{x_i \nmid f} (x_i + \alpha_i) \mid \alpha_i \in \mathbf{F}_2 \right\}.$$

*Example 3.* For  $f = x_1 x_2 \in M_4$ ,  $x_3 \nmid f$  and  $x_4 \nmid f$  and we get that

$$S_f = \{x_3 x_4, (x_3 + 1)x_4, x_3(x_4 + 1), (x_3 + 1)(x_4 + 1)\}.$$

For each variable  $x_i$  that does not appear in  $f$ , we have two choices for  $\alpha_i$ . Therefore, there are at most  $2^{m-\deg f}$  functions in  $S_f$ . Also, different choices for the coefficients  $\alpha_i$  give different linear combinations of monomials, which means that there are exactly  $2^{m-\deg f}$  distinct functions in  $S_f$ .

**Proposition 4.** *Let  $f \in M_m$  and  $s, s' \in S_f$ ,  $s \neq s'$ . Then  $ss' = 0$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $s$  and  $s'$  are distinct, there must be some  $x_i$  such that  $x_i \mid s$  and  $(x_i + 1) \mid s'$ , or vice versa. Considered as polynomials,  $x_i(x_i + 1)$  must divide the polynomial product  $ss'$ , that is,  $ss' = x_i(x_i + 1)s''$  for some  $s''$ .

Note that as a function,  $x_i^2 + x_i = 0$ . Therefore

$$ss' = (x_i^2 + x_i)s'' = 0$$

in  $V$  which proves the claim.  $\square$

The above proposition says that distinct functions in  $S_f$  have disjoint support.

**Proposition 5.** *Let  $f, f' \in M_m$  be such that  $\deg f' \leq \deg f = r$  and  $f \neq f'$ . Then for any  $s \in S_f$ ,  $\phi(sf) = 1$  and  $\phi(sf') = 0$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose without loss of generality that  $f = x_1 \cdots x_r$ . Then, as a polynomial,  $s$  is the monomial  $x_{r+1} \cdots x_m$  and lower-degree terms. This means that  $sf$  is the monomial  $x_1 \cdots x_m$  and lower-degree terms and therefore  $\phi(sf) = 1$ .

Now we construct a polynomial  $p$  from the polynomial  $sf'$  by replacing each monomial term by the corresponding monomial where each variable appears at most once. It is clear that  $\deg p \leq \deg sf'$  and that  $p$  is a linear combination of monomials from  $M_m$ . Furthermore, since each reduced monomial still represents the same function as the original monomial,  $p$  represents the same function as  $sf'$  and  $\phi(p) = \phi(sf')$ .

If  $\deg f' < \deg f$ , then  $\deg p \leq \deg sf' = \deg s + \deg f' < \deg s + \deg f = m$ , hence  $\phi(p) = 0$ .

If  $\deg f' = \deg f$ , we know that  $f'$  and  $x_{r+1} \cdots x_m$  must have some variable in common. Therefore, the only term in  $sf'$  of degree  $m$  is replaced by a lower-degree term in  $p$ , hence  $\deg p < m$ . Hence,  $\phi(p) = 0$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 6.** *Let  $f \in M_m$  and  $e \in V$ . Then  $\phi(se) = 1$  for less than  $\text{wt}(e)$  functions in  $S_f$ .*

*Proof.* Since the functions in  $S_f$  all have disjoint supports, the support of  $e$  can have non-trivial intersection with the support of at most  $\text{wt}(e)$  functions in  $S_f$ . Therefore,  $\phi(se) = 1$  for at most  $\text{wt}(e)$  functions in  $S_f$ .  $\square$

## 4 Minimum Distance and Decoding

Recall that with  $M(r, m) = \{f_1, \dots, f_k\}$ , we encode  $y \in \mathbf{F}_2^k$  as

$$c = \sum_{i=1}^k y_i f_i.$$

For any  $f_j$  with  $\deg f_j = r$  and any  $s \in S_{f_j}$ , we see that

$$\phi(sc) = \sum_{i=1}^k y_i \phi(sf_i) = y_j.$$

Suppose our ordering of the monomials in  $M(r, m)$  satisfies  $\deg f_i \leq \deg f_{i+1}$ ,  $1 \leq i < m$ . Then we decode  $\hat{c} \in V$  as follows:

1. Start with  $j = k$  and  $\hat{c}_j = \hat{c}$ .
2. Compute  $2^{m-r}$  estimates  $\hat{y}_{j,s} = \phi(s\hat{c}_j)$  for distinct functions  $s \in S_{f_j}$ . Set  $y_j$  to be equal to the majority vote among the estimates  $\hat{y}_{j,s}$ .
3. If  $j = 1$ , we have decoded  $y = (y_1, \dots, y_k)$ . Stop.
4. Set  $\hat{c}_{j-1} \leftarrow \hat{c}_j - y_j f_j$ .
5. Decrease  $j$  and continue from Step 2.

Suppose we have  $\hat{c}_j = e + \sum_{i=1}^j y_i f_i$ , where  $\text{wt}(e) < 2^{m-r-1}$ . For each estimate we get

$$\hat{y}_{j,s} = \phi(s\hat{c}) = y_j + \phi(se).$$

As we have seen, since there are at least  $2^{m-r}$  functions in  $S_{f_j}$ , more than half the estimates for  $y_j$  must be correct. Therefore, the majority vote will correctly determine  $y_j$ , and  $\hat{c}_{j-1} = e + \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} y_i f_i$ .

To summarize, if  $\hat{c}$  differs from the encoding of  $y$  in less than  $2^{m-r-1}$  points, that is, if  $\text{wt}(\hat{c} - \sum_{i=1}^k y_i f_i) < 2^{m-r-1}$ , the above algorithm will output  $y$ .

## 5 The Code

Fix an ordering of the elements of  $\mathbf{F}_2^m$ , say  $\mathbf{F}_2^m = \{z_1, \dots, z_{2^m}\}$ . Define the map  $\nu : V \rightarrow \mathbf{F}_2^{2^m}$  by

$$f \mapsto (f(z_1), \dots, f(z_{2^m})).$$

It is easy to verify that  $\nu$  is a vector space isomorphism. We can also observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{wt}(f) &= \text{wt}(\nu(f)), \\ \phi(f_1 f_2) &= \nu(f_1) \cdot \nu(f_2), \end{aligned}$$

where  $\text{wt} : \mathbf{F}_2^{2^m} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  is the usual Hamming weight, and  $\cdot$  denotes the usual dot product.

The vector space isomorphism maps our monomial basis of  $\mathcal{RM}'(r, m)$  to a basis of a subspace  $\mathcal{RM}(r, m)$  of  $\mathbf{F}_2^{2^m}$ . For the previously described decoding algorithm, we can observe that  $\phi(s\hat{c})$  corresponds to  $\nu(s) \cdot \hat{c}$ , where  $\hat{c} \in \mathbf{F}_2^{2^m}$ , otherwise the decoding algorithm is essentially unchanged.

We have proved the following.

**Theorem 7.** *The code  $\mathcal{RM}(r, m)$  is a linear  $(2^m, k, 2^{m-r})$ -code, where  $k = \sum_{i=0}^r \binom{m}{i}$ .*