

Optimization II

Lecture 8, Feb. 1

4. Fréchet and Gateaux derivative

Notation for $r: U \subset X \rightarrow Y$

$$r(x) = o(\|x\|) \iff \frac{r(x)}{\|x\|} \xrightarrow{\|x\| \rightarrow 0} 0$$

$$r(x) = o(1) \iff r(x) \xrightarrow{\|x\| \rightarrow 0} 0$$

For Banach spaces X, Y , we define

$$L(X, Y) = \{ f: X \rightarrow Y \mid f \text{ linear and continuous} \}$$

Def. 12: let $f: U(x_0) \subset X \rightarrow Y$

and X and Y are Banach spaces, then there holds:

i) f is Fréchet differentiable (F-diff) in x_0 .

\iff there exists $T \in L(X, Y)$ s.t.

$$f(x_0+h) - f(x_0) = Th + o(\|h\|)$$

T is called F -derivative of f at x_0 ,

$$f'(x_0) := T$$

(ii) f is Gateaux diff. (G -diff.)

$\Leftrightarrow \exists T \in L(X, Y)$, s.t.h.

$$f(x_0 + tk) - f(x_0) = tTk + o(t)$$

for all $k \in X$ with $\|k\| = 1$.

T is called the Gateaux derivative.

(iii) If the F (or G) derivative exists for all $x_0 \in U$, then

$$f' : U \subset X \rightarrow L(X, Y),$$

$x \mapsto f'(x)$ is called

F (or G) derivative of f in U

Examples

$$1. F: C[0,1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \gamma \mapsto \int_0^1 (\sin^3 x + \gamma^2(x)) dx$$

Claim: F is F -diff with

$$F'(\gamma)h = 2 \int_0^1 \gamma(x)h(x) dx$$

Proof:

$$\begin{aligned} F(\gamma+h) - F(\gamma) - F'(\gamma)h &= \\ &= \int_0^1 [(y+h)^2 - \cancel{y^2} - 2\cancel{\gamma}h] dx \end{aligned}$$

$$= \int_0^1 h^2 dx \leq \|h\|_\infty^2 = o(\|h\|_\infty)$$

2. let H be a Hilbert space and

$$\begin{aligned} F: H \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, u \mapsto \|u\|_H^2 \\ = (u, u)_H \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
F(u+h) - F(u) &= (u+h, u+h)_H - \cancel{(u, u)} \\
&= 2(u, h)_H + (h, h) \\
&= 2(u, h)_H + \|h\|^2 \\
&= (2u, h)_H + o(\|h\|)
\end{aligned}$$

\Rightarrow F is F -diff. with

$$F'(u)h = (2u, h)_H$$

$$F'(u) : H \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \quad \text{i.e. } F' \in H^*$$

by identification using Riesz

$$\Rightarrow F'(u) = 2u$$

3. Let $F : X \rightarrow Y$ linear and continuous,
then F is F -diff. with

$$F\text{-derivative } F' = F$$

because

$$F(x+h) - F(x) = F(h) + o$$

Remark: It is easy to see that

$$F\text{-diff.} \implies G\text{ diff.}$$

the converse in general is not true.

Theorem 13 (Chain rule)

Let X, Y, Z be Banach spaces,

$$F: U(x_0) \subset X \rightarrow U(f(x_0)) \subset Y$$

$$G: U(f(x_0)) \rightarrow Z \quad \text{and let}$$

$F'(x_0)$ and $G'(F(x_0))$ exist as F -derivatives.

Then $H(x) = G(F(x))$ is F -diff.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{with } H'(x_0) &= G'(F(x_0)) F'(x_0) \\ &= G'(F(x_0)) \circ F'(x_0) \end{aligned}$$

Example:

$$\begin{aligned} f(u) &= J(\gamma(u), u) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} (\gamma - \gamma_d)^2 dx \\ &= \frac{1}{2} (\gamma - \gamma_d, \gamma - \gamma_d)_{L^2(\Omega)} \end{aligned}$$

where $S: L^2(\Omega) \rightarrow L^2(\Omega)$, $u \mapsto Su = \gamma$

linear and continuous, hence $S' = S$

$$\text{and } F(u) = Su - \gamma_d$$

$$G(\gamma) = \frac{1}{2} \|\gamma\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2$$

$$F'(u)h = Sh$$

$$G'(\gamma)h = (\gamma, h)_{L^2(\Omega)}$$

$$\text{then } f'(u)h = G'(F(u))F'h$$

$$= (F(u), F'h)_{L^2} = (F(u), Sh)_{L^2}$$

$$= (Su - \gamma_d, Sh)_{L^2}$$

$$= (S^*(Su - \gamma_d), h)_{L^2}$$

applying Riesz theorem, we get

$$f'(u) = S^*(Su - \gamma_d)$$

Remark: For $T \in L(X, Y)$ with

Hilbert spaces X and Y , we define

the adjoint operator $T^* \in L(Y, X)$

$$\text{by } (\gamma, Tx)_Y = (T^*\gamma, x)_X$$

5. Necessary optimality conditions

We begin with considering

$$(P) \min_{u \in \mathcal{U}_{ad}} f(u), \quad \mathcal{U}_{ad} \subset \mathcal{U}$$

Lemma 14: let $\mathcal{U}_{ad} \subset \mathcal{U}$ be closed and convex, $f: \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ G -diff. and \bar{u} be a sol to (P). Then, there holds

$$f'(\bar{u})(u - \bar{u}) \geq 0 \quad \forall u \in \mathcal{U}_{ad}$$

Proof: let $u \in \mathcal{U}_{ad}$ then

$$\bar{u} + t(u - \bar{u}) \in \mathcal{U}_{ad} \text{ for all } t \in [0, 1]$$

since f is G -diff., we obtain for $t > 0$, small enough

$$0 \leq \frac{f(\bar{u} + t(u - \bar{u})) - f(\bar{u})}{t}$$

$$\downarrow \neq 0$$

$$f'(\bar{u})(u - \bar{u}) \geq 0.$$

Now, we consider (CP1):

$$\min J(\gamma, u)$$

$$\text{subj. to } a_0(\gamma, u) = \int_{\Omega} u \varphi dx \quad \forall \varphi \in H_0^1(\Omega)$$

$$\text{and } u \in U_{ad} \subset L^2(\Omega)$$

We define reduced cost functional

$$f(u) = J(\gamma(u), u) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} (Su - \gamma_d)^2 dx + \frac{\gamma}{2} \int_{\Omega} u^2 dx$$

Using previous examples, we get

$$f'(u)h = (Su - \gamma_d, Sh)_{L^2(\Omega)} + \gamma(u, h)_{L^2}$$

$$= (S^*(Su - \gamma_d), h)_{L^2} + \gamma(u, h)_{L^2}$$

Now, we want to characterize S^* ,
the adjoint solution operator.

Lemma 16 For the state equation

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta \gamma = u & \text{in } \Omega \\ \gamma = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega \end{cases}$$

the Hilbert space adjoint $S^*: L^2(\Omega) \rightarrow L^2(\Omega)$
is defined as $S^*z = p$, where
 p is weak sol to

$$(*) \begin{cases} -\Delta p = z, & \text{in } \Omega \\ p = 0, & \text{on } \partial\Omega \end{cases}$$

i.e. S^* is the sol. operator $z \mapsto S^*z = p$
of equ. (*)

Proof: S^* is defined as

$$(z, Su) = (S^*z, u) \quad \forall z, u \in L^2(\Omega)$$

-then there holds

$$(1) \quad \int_{\Omega} \nabla p \cdot \nabla v \, dx = \int_{\Omega} z v \, dx \quad \forall v \in H_0^1(\Omega)$$

$$(2) \quad \int_{\Omega} \nabla \gamma \cdot \nabla \varphi \, dx = \int_{\Omega} u \varphi \, dx \quad \forall \varphi \in H_0^1(\Omega)$$

take $v = \gamma$ in (1) and $\varphi = p$ in (2)

$$\int_{\Omega} z \gamma \, dx = (z, S u) = \int_{\Omega} p u \, dx = (S^* z, u)$$

□

Now consider $S^*(S u - \gamma_d) = S^* z$,

i.e. we choose $z = S u - \gamma_d = \gamma - \gamma_d$,

then $S^*(S u - \gamma_d) = p$, where p

solves the adjoint eqn.

$$(AE) \begin{cases} -\Delta p = \gamma - \gamma_d & \text{in } \Omega \\ p = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega \end{cases}$$

Corollary 17: For $F(u) = J(\chi(u), u)$

we have $J'(u) = p + \gamma u$

Proof:

$$\begin{aligned} J'(u)h &= \left(\underbrace{S^*(Su - \gamma_d)}_{=p} + \gamma u, h \right)_{L^2} \\ &= (p + \gamma u, h)_{L^2} \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Thus } \Rightarrow J'(u) = p + \gamma u$$

Now let \bar{u} be a nod to (CP1) and

$h \in L^2(\Omega)$ s.t. $\bar{u} + h \in \mathcal{U}_{\text{ad}}$, then

we have

$$0 \leq J'(\bar{u})h, \text{ for } h = u - \bar{u}$$

we get

$$(p + \gamma \bar{u}, u - \bar{u})_{L^2} \geq 0$$

$$\forall u \in \mathcal{U}_{\text{ad}}$$