



Please read sections 4.3–4.4 in [Tr].

- 1 Exercise 4.4 (ii) in [Tr]: Show that Nemytskii operator $y(\cdot) \mapsto \sin(y(\cdot))$ is Fréchet differentiable from $L^{p_1}(0, T)$ into $L^{p_2}(0, T)$ whenever $1 \leq p_2 < p_1 \leq \infty$.

Hint: convergence in $L^{p_1}(0, T)$ implies convergence in measure; that is if $\|h_n\|_{L^{p_1}(0, T)} \rightarrow 0$ then for any $\varepsilon > 0$: $\mathcal{L}(\{x \in (0, T) : |h_n(x)| > \varepsilon\}) \rightarrow 0$ where \mathcal{L} is the Lebesgue measure (think of an “area”) of the set.

Solution: Let us put $\Psi(y) = \sin(y(\cdot))$. The directional derivative

$$\Psi'(y; h) = \lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \frac{\Psi(y + \varepsilon h) - \Psi(y)}{\varepsilon} = \cos(y(\cdot))h(\cdot),$$

is linear with respect h .

Thus we need to check that $\|\Psi(y + h) - \Psi(y) - \Psi'(y; h)\|_{L^{p_2}(0, T)} / \|h\|_{L^{p_1}(0, T)} \rightarrow 0$ when $\|h\|_{L^{p_1}(0, T)} \rightarrow 0$.

Let $\{h_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \in L^{p_1}(0, T)$ be a sequence converging to zero, and let us put $r_n = \Psi(y + h_n) - \Psi(y) - \Psi'(y; h_n)$. Additionally, let us choose an arbitrary small $\varepsilon > 0$. We will divide $\Omega = (0, T)$ into two parts: $\omega_n = \{x \in \Omega \mid |h_n(x)| < \varepsilon\}$ and $\Omega_n = \Omega \setminus \omega_n$. We will denote the characteristic functions of ω_n (respectively, Ω_n) with χ_{ω_n} (resp. χ_{Ω_n}).

On ω_n we can use the second order Taylor series expansion of $\sin(\cdot)$ combined with the fact that the second derivative of $\sin(\cdot)$ is bounded by 1 to get the estimate $|r_n(x)| \leq |h_n(x)|^2/2 \leq \varepsilon|h_n(x)|/2$.

On the other hand, Ω_n will be very small in measure for large n (since convergence to zero in $L^{p_1}(0, T)$ implies convergence in measure). Furthermore, on Ω_n we can write $|r_n(x)| \leq |\sin(y(x) + h_n(x)) - \sin(y(x))| + |\cos(y(x))h_n(x)| \leq 2|h_n(x)|$, because $\sin(\cdot)$ is a Lipschitz function with constant 1 (derivative is bounded by 1).

Thus on ω_n we use the Taylor’s series and Hölder’s inequality to get the estimate:

$$\begin{aligned} \|\chi_{\omega_n} r_n\|_{L^{p_2}(0, T)} &\leq \varepsilon/2 \|\chi_{\omega_n} h_n\|_{L^{p_2}(0, T)} = \varepsilon/2 \left(\int_0^T \chi_{\omega_n}(x) |h_n(x)|^{p_2} dx \right)^{1/p_2} \\ &\leq \varepsilon/2 \left(\|\chi_{\omega_n}\|_{L^{p_1/(p_1-p_2)}(0, T)} \| |h_n|^{p_2} \|_{L^{p_1/p_2}(0, T)} \right)^{1/p_2} \\ &= \varepsilon/2 |\omega_n|^{(p_1-p_2)/(p_1 p_2)} \|h_n\|_{L^{p_1}(0, T)}, \end{aligned}$$

where $|\omega_n|$ denotes the Lebesgue measure of ω_n , which is bounded by $|\Omega| = T$ in our case.

Similarly, on Ω_n we get

$$\|\chi_{\Omega_n} r_n\|_{L^{p_2}(0,T)} \leq 2\|\chi_{\Omega_n} h_n\|_{L^{p_2}(0,T)} \leq 2|\Omega_n|^{(p_1-p_2)/(p_1 p_2)} \|h_n\|_{L^{p_1}(0,T)}.$$

In summary,

$$\begin{aligned} \|r_n\|_{L^{p_2}(0,T)} &= \|\chi_{\omega_n} r_n + \chi_{\Omega_n} r_n\|_{L^{p_2}(0,T)} \leq \|\chi_{\omega_n} r_n\|_{L^{p_2}(0,T)} + \|\chi_{\Omega_n} r_n\|_{L^{p_2}(0,T)} \\ &\leq (\varepsilon|\Omega|^{(p_1-p_2)/(p_1 p_2)})/2 + 2|\Omega_n|^{(p_1-p_2)/(p_1 p_2)} \|h_n\|_{L^{p_1}(0,T)}, \end{aligned}$$

and therefore

$$0 \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\|r_n\|_{L^{p_2}(0,T)}}{\|h_n\|_{L^{p_1}(0,T)}} \leq \varepsilon|\Omega|^{(p_1-p_2)/(p_1 p_2)}/2,$$

since $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\Omega_n|^{(p_1-p_2)/(p_1 p_2)} = 0$ for an arbitrary $\varepsilon > 0$, **because** $p_1 > p_2$. It remains to let $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ in the last inequality.

- 2] Compact embedding of $H^1(\Omega)$ into $L^2(\Omega)$ (Rellich–Kondrachov Theorem, Theorem 7.4 in [Tr]) plays an important role in the proof of Theorem 4.15 (existence of optimal controls for semi-linear elliptic PDEs). There are many other examples of compact embeddings.

Let $-\infty < a < b < +\infty$, and consider the spaces of continuous functions $C^0[a, b]$ and Hölder continuous functions $C^{0,\gamma}[a, b]$, $0 < \gamma \leq 1$. These spaces are equipped with the norms

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|_{C^0[a,b]} &= \sup_{x \in [a,b]} |f(x)|, \\ \|f\|_{C^{0,\gamma}[a,b]} &= \|f\|_{C^0[a,b]} + \sup_{x \neq y \in [a,b]} \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|}{|x - y|^\gamma}. \end{aligned}$$

We will use Arzela–Ascoli characterization of relative compactness in $C^0[a, b]$ (it is not difficult to prove either) The set $S \subset C^0[a, b]$ is relatively compact (i.e. a set whose closure is compact) if and only if it is *bounded* and *equicontinuous*. That is, there is $M > 0$ such that $\forall f \in S : \|f\|_{C^0[a,b]} \leq M$, and for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there is $\delta > 0$: $\forall f \in S, x, y \in [a, b] : |x - y| < \delta \implies |f(x) - f(y)| < \varepsilon$.

- a) Show that $C^{0,\gamma}[a, b]$ is continuously embedded into $C^0[a, b]$.

Solution: Per definition of $\|\cdot\|_{C^{0,\gamma}[a,b]}$: $\forall f \in C^{0,\gamma}[a, b]$

$$\|f\|_{C^0[a,b]} \leq \|f\|_{C^{0,\gamma}[a,b]} = \|f\|_{C^0[a,b]} + \text{something non-negative.}$$

Therefore the operator $i : C^{0,\gamma}[a, b] \rightarrow C^0[a, b]$ defined by $i(f) = f$ is linear and bounded.

- b) Show that every bounded subset in $C^{0,\gamma}[a, b]$ is bounded and equicontinuous in $C^0[a, b]$. Conclude that from any bounded sequence in $C^{0,\gamma}[a, b]$ one can extract a subsequence, which is Cauchy in $C^0[a, b]$.

Solution: Assume that $S \subset C^{0,\gamma}[a, b]$ is such that $\exists M > 0 : \forall f \in S, \|f\|_{C^{0,\gamma}[a,b]} \leq M$. By definition $\|f\|_{C^0[a,b]} \leq \|f\|_{C^{0,\gamma}[a,b]} \leq M$ and thus S is also a bounded set in $C^0[a, b]$. Furthermore from the definition of the norm we have that $|f(x) - f(y)| \leq |x - y|^\gamma \|f\|_{C^{0,\gamma}[a,b]}$. Thus as long as $|x - y| < \delta$ it follows that $\forall f \in S : |f(x) - f(y)| < \delta^\gamma M$. Thus is sufficient to choose $\delta = (\varepsilon/M)^{1/\gamma}$ in the definition of equicontinuity.

- c) Let V_1, V_2 be two Banach spaces, and assume that V_1 is continuously embedded into V_2 . Show that V_2' is continuously embedded into V_1' if we simply consider restrictions of functionals in V_2 onto V_1 .

Conclude that if $v_k \rightharpoonup \bar{v}$, weakly in V_1 then also $v_k \rightharpoonup \bar{v}$, weakly in V_2 .

Solution: Let $f \in V_2'$, and define $g : V_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ as a restriction of f onto V_1 . That is, for all $v \in V_1$ we have $g(v) = f(v) = f(i(v)) = i'(f)(v)$, $v \in V_1$, where $i : V_1 \rightarrow V_2$ is the continuous embedding map. Then clearly $g = i'(f)$ is linear and bounded, since $i' : V_2' \rightarrow V_1'$ is the adjoint of a bounded linear operator. Alternatively one can estimate the norm of g directly:

$$|g(v)| = |f(v)| \leq \|f\|_{V_2'} \|v\|_{V_2} \leq \|f\|_{V_2'} \|v\|_{V_1},$$

where the last inequality is owing to the continuous embedding of V_1 into V_2 . Therefore $\|g\|_{V_1'} \leq \|f\|_{V_2'}$, and in this sense V_2' is continuously embedded into V_1' .

Assume now that $v_k \rightharpoonup \bar{v}$ in V_1 . Then, for all $g \in V_1'$: $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} g(v_k - \bar{v}) = 0$. By the previous discussion the restrictions of $f \in V_2'$ onto V_1 are in V_1' and therefore for all $f \in V_2'$: $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} f(v_k - \bar{v}) = 0$.

- d) Show that any sequence $f_n \in C^{0,\gamma}[a, b]$, which converges weakly to some limit $\bar{f} \in C^{0,\gamma}[a, b]$, must satisfy $\|f_n - \bar{f}\|_{C^0[a,b]} \rightarrow 0$.

Hint: weakly convergent sequences are bounded (uniform boundedness principle); weak limit is unique (consequence of Hahn–Banach theorem); use the proof by contradiction and **a)–c)**.

Solution: Suppose that $f_n \rightharpoonup \bar{f} \in C^{0,\gamma}[a, b]$. Weakly convergent sequences are bounded (uniform boundedness principle), and thus the set $S := \{f_n, n = 1, 2, \dots\}$ is relatively compact in $C^0[a, b]$ according to **a)–b)** and Arzela–Ascoli theorem.

Finally, assume that $\|f_n - \bar{f}\|_{C^0[a,b]} \not\rightarrow 0$, that is, for some $\varepsilon > 0$ there is a subsequence n' of n such that $\|f_{n'} - \bar{f}\|_{C^0[a,b]} \geq \varepsilon$. Since $\{f_{n'}\}$ is a sequence in S , a relatively compact set in $C^0[a, b]$, we can extract a further subsequence n'' from it, such that $\|f_{n''} - \tilde{f}\|_{C^0[a,b]} \rightarrow 0$, for some $\tilde{f} \in C^0[a, b]$.

Thus we end up with a sequence $f_{n''}$ with the following properties. First, $f_{n''}$ converges weakly to \bar{f} in $C^0[a, b]$ (because it is a subsequence of f_n ; $f_n \rightharpoonup \bar{f}$ in $C^{0,\gamma}[a, b]$ and finally because of **a), c)**). Second, $f_{n''}$ converges weakly to \tilde{f} in $C^0[a, b]$ (in fact is even converges strongly to \tilde{f}).

Owing to the assumptions on n' , we have $\tilde{f} \neq \bar{f}$. Thus the subsequence $f_{n''}$ has two distinct weak limits in $C^0[a, b]$. This contradicts the uniqueness of the weak limit (consequence of Hahn–Banach theorem).