



1 Consider the function

$$f(x, y, z) = 2x^2 + xy + y^2 + yz + z^2 - 6x - 7y - 8z + 9,$$

and the associated unconstrained minimization problem $\min_{(x,y,z) \in \mathbb{R}^3} f(x, y, z)$.

- a) Find all points $(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^3$ satisfying the first order necessary conditions for this problem (critical points).
- b) Assess whether critical points satisfy second order necessary and/or sufficient optimality conditions.
- c) Verify that the function f is convex. Conclude that all the critical points are points of global minimum.
- d) Let $(\hat{x}, \hat{y}, \hat{z}) = (0, 0, 0)$, and let $(p_x, p_y, p_z) = (1, 2, 0)$. Verify that this is a descent direction for f at $(\hat{x}, \hat{y}, \hat{z})$. Find the range of steplengths $\alpha > 0$ satisfying the sufficient decrease condition for steps from $(\hat{x}, \hat{y}, \hat{z})$ along (p_x, p_y, p_z) with $c_1 = 4/5$.
- e) In the assumptions/notation of **d**), determine the steplength satisfying the sufficient decrease condition by utilizing the backtracking linesearch with the initial steplength $\alpha_0 = 1.0$ and the step reduction parameter $\rho = 1/4$.
- f) In the assumptions/notation of **d**), find the range of steplengths $\alpha > 0$ satisfying the (weak) curvature condition with $c_2 = 0.9$.
- g) As in the previous case, use the bisection linesearch algorithm to find a steplength satisfying the weak Wolfe conditions (see the note on the wiki) with $c_1 = 4/5$ and $c_2 = 0.9$.

2 (See *N&W, Exercise 2.8*) Assume that $f: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a convex function. Show that the set of minimisers of f is convex (empty or non-empty).

3 Show that a strictly convex function $f: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ has at most one global minimiser. In addition, construct a strictly convex function that has no global minimisers.

4 Show that the function $f: \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$,

$$f(x, y) = \log(e^x + e^y)$$

is convex.

- 5 Assume that f is a continuously differentiable function satisfying

$$\lim_{\|x\| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(x)}{\|x\|} = +\infty.$$

Show that the equation

$$\nabla f(x) = u$$

has a solution for every $u \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

Hint: Consider global minima of the function $f_u(x) := f(x) - u^T x$.

- 6 Consider a twice differentiable function $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Suppose that $\inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} f(x) = f^* > -\infty$. Assume further that the gradient of f is a Lipschitz continuous on \mathbb{R}^n with constant $L > 0$, that is

$$\|\nabla f(x) - \nabla f(y)\| \leq L\|x - y\|, \quad \forall x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

- a) Show that $\forall p, x \in \mathbb{R}^n : p^T \nabla^2 f(x) p \leq L\|p\|^2$.
- b) Consider a steepest descent step $x_{k+1} = x_k + \alpha_k p_k$, where $p_k = -\nabla f(x_k)$. Use a) and a 2nd order Taylor series expansion of f to show that

$$f(x_{k+1}) \leq f(x_k) - (1 - L\alpha_k/2)\alpha_k \|\nabla f(x_k)\|^2.$$

Note: this inequality implies that steepest descent method with any $0 < \alpha_k < 2/L$ is a *descent method*, i.e. $f(x_{k+1}) < f(x_k)$ if $\nabla f(x_k) \neq 0$.

- c) Let us consider a steepest descent method with *fixed* steplength $\alpha_k \equiv \alpha \in (0, 2/L)$. Use b) to conclude that for steepest descent method with such a choice of steplength we have $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|\nabla f(x_k)\| = 0$.

Note: c) implies that any limit point of the sequence (x_k) , if exists, must be a critical point.

The problem with algorithm above is that we may not have any information about the Lipschitz constant L , which is necessary for step size selection. One possible workaround could be to use the following algorithm. (An even better idea is to use a linesearch procedure.)

- d) Consider now a steepest descent method with *variable* (positive) steplengths, which satisfy two conditions: $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_k = 0$ while $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \alpha_k = +\infty$. Show that under these conditions we have $\liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|\nabla f(x_k)\| = 0$.

Hint: algorithm becomes a descent method from some $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Try to sum up the terms $f(x_{k+1}) - f(x_k)$ for such large k in order to arrive at the desired conclusion.

Note, that d) implies that, for some subsequence k' , we have that $\lim_{k' \rightarrow \infty} \|\nabla f(x_{k'})\| = 0$. Therefore, any limit point of this subsequence, if exists, must be stationary, similarly to c).

As a side note we can go even further for convex twice differentiable functions f in the last exercise.

Namely, assume that f is, in addition to the previously assumed properties, a convex function, which attains (global) minimum at a point $x^* \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Also, assume that the steplength $\alpha_k \equiv \alpha \in (0, 1/L]$ so that

$$f(x_{k+1}) \leq f(x_k) - \frac{\alpha}{2} \|\nabla f(x_k)\|^2. \quad (1)$$

Since f is convex and differentiable, we have the lower bound

$$f(x^*) \geq f(x_k) + \nabla f(x_k)^\top (x^* - x_k). \quad (2)$$

Substituting this into (1) we get

$$\begin{aligned} f(x_{k+1}) &\leq f(x_k) - \frac{\alpha}{2} \|\nabla f(x_k)\|^2 \\ &\leq f(x^*) + \nabla f(x_k)^\top (x_k - x^*) - \frac{\alpha}{2} \|\nabla f(x_k)\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

The last two terms in this inequality can be further written as

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla f(x_k)^\top (x_k - x^*) - \frac{\alpha}{2} \|\nabla f(x_k)\|^2 &= \frac{1}{2\alpha} [\|x_k - x^*\|^2 - \|x_k - x^* - \alpha \nabla f(x_k)\|^2] \\ &= \frac{1}{2\alpha} [\|x_k - x^*\|^2 - \|x_{k+1} - x^*\|^2] \end{aligned}$$

Summing up the terms $f(x_k) - f(x^*)$ we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} [f(x_{k+1}) - f(x^*)] &\leq \frac{1}{2\alpha} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} [\|x_k - x^*\|^2 - \|x_{k+1} - x^*\|^2] \\ &= \frac{1}{2\alpha} [\|x_0 - x^*\|^2 - \|x_n - x^*\|^2] \leq \frac{1}{2\alpha} \|x_0 - x^*\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, since $f(x^k)$ are non-increasing, we get that

$$f(x^n) - f(x^*) \leq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} [f(x_{k+1}) - f(x^*)] \leq \frac{\|x_0 - x^*\|^2}{2\alpha n}.$$

Thus (i) the function values converge linearly towards the optimal value, and (ii) it pays off to take longer steps α .

Assuming further conditions on f , e.g. *strong convexity* (i.e., the fact that the smallest eigenvalue of $\nabla^2 f(x)$ is uniformly bounded away from 0 for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$) we can further strengthen the lower bound (2) as

$$\begin{aligned} f(x^*) &= f(x_k) + \nabla f(x_k)^\top (x^* - x_k) + (x^* - x_k)^\top \nabla^2 f(x_k + \xi(x^* - x_k))(x^* - x_k) \\ &\geq f(x_k) + \nabla f(x_k)^\top (x^* - x_k) + \lambda_{\min} \|x^* - x_k\|^2, \end{aligned}$$

where $\lambda_{\min} > 0$ is the lower bound on the eigenvalues of $\nabla^2 f(x)$. With this additional assumption the convergence rate can be further improved to

$$f(x^n) - f(x^*) \leq \frac{c^n L \|x_0 - x^*\|^2}{2},$$

for steps $\alpha \in (0, 2/(L + \lambda_{\min}))$, where $0 < c < 1$.