



The first two exercises below are concerned with the usage of the CG-method for the solution of linear least squares problems (which is one approach to the solution of over-determined linear systems). You can find some complementary information on this topic in Nocedal & Wright, Chapter 10.2. (Later in the course, we will discuss Chapter 10.3 on *nonlinear* least squares problems.)

In Exercise 3, it is easily possible that the results you obtain appear to contradict the theory developed in the course. You might obtain an explanation of the strange results, though, if you compute (e.g. using MATLAB or Wikipedia) the condition number of the Hilbert matrix.

1 Assume that  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$  is a matrix and that  $b \in \mathbb{R}^m$ .

a) Show that  $x^* \in \mathbb{R}^n$  solves the *least squares problem*

$$\min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \|Ax - b\|^2, \quad (1)$$

if and only if  $x^*$  satisfies the *normal equations*

$$A^T A x^* = A^T b.$$

b) Show that the optimization problem (1) admits a solution  $x^* \in \mathbb{R}^n$ .

c) Show that the solution  $x^*$  of (1) is unique, if the rank of  $A$  equals  $n$ .

d) Show that, regardless of the rank of  $A$ , the optimization problem

$$\min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \|x\|^2 \quad \text{s.t. } x \text{ solves (1)} \quad (2)$$

admits a unique solution  $x^\dagger \in \mathbb{R}^n$ .

e) Show that the solution  $x^\dagger$  of (2) is uniquely characterized by the conditions  $A^T A x^\dagger = A^T b$  and  $x^\dagger \in \text{ran } A^T$ .

2 Assume that  $m > n$ , that  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ , and that  $b \in \mathbb{R}^m$ . Consider the following algorithm:

- Choose  $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$  arbitrary, set  $r_0 \leftarrow Ax_0 - b$ ,  $s_0 \leftarrow A^T r_0$ ,  $p_0 \leftarrow -s_0$ , and  $k \leftarrow 0$ .

- While  $s_k \neq 0$ :

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha_k &\leftarrow \frac{\|s_k\|^2}{\|Ap_k\|^2}, \\x_{k+1} &\leftarrow x_k + \alpha_k p_k, \\r_{k+1} &\leftarrow r_k + \alpha_k Ap_k, \\s_{k+1} &\leftarrow A^T r_{k+1}, \\\beta_{k+1} &\leftarrow \frac{\|s_{k+1}\|^2}{\|s_k\|^2}, \\p_{k+1} &\leftarrow -s_{k+1} + \beta_{k+1} p_k, \\k &\leftarrow k + 1.\end{aligned}$$

- Assume that the matrix  $A$  has full rank. Show that the algorithm above is actually identical with the CG-algorithm for the solution of  $A^T Ax = A^T b$  (in the sense that the iterates  $x_k$  of both methods coincide).
- Assume that  $A$  has rank  $r < n$  and that  $x_0 = 0$ . Show that the algorithm above converges to the solution  $x^\dagger$  of the optimization problem (2) in at most  $r$  steps.

**3** Exercise 5.1 in Nocedal & Wright.

(Note that in MATLAB the Hilbert matrix can be produced with the command `hilb`.)

**4** Exercise 5.8 in Nocedal & Wright.