



**NTNU – Trondheim**  
Norwegian University of  
Science and Technology

Department of Mathematical Sciences

## Examination paper for **TMA4180 Optimization Theory**

**Academic contact during examination:** Markus Grasmair

**Phone:** 97 58 04 35

**Examination date:** 06th June 2015

**Examination time (from–to):** 09:00–13:00

**Permitted examination support material:**

- The textbook: Nocedal & Wright, Numerical Optimization including errata.
- Rottmann, Mathematical formulae.
- Handouts on *Minimizers of optimization problems*, *Basics of convex analysis*, and *Basics of calculus of variations*.
- Approved basic calculator.

**Other information:**

- All answers should be justified and include enough details to make it clear which methods or results have been used.
- You may answer to the questions of the exam either in English or in Norwegian.

**Language:** English

**Number of pages:** 2

**Number pages enclosed:** 0

**Checked by:**

---

Date

Signature



**Problem 1** Consider the function  $f: \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  given by

$$f(x, y) = x^4 - 2x^3 + 2x^2 - 2xy + y^2.$$

- a) Compute all stationary points of  $f$  and find all local or global minimizers of  $f$ .
- b) Determine whether the function  $f$  is convex or not.
- c) Starting at the point  $(x, y) = (0, 1)$  compute one step of the steepest descent method with backtracking (Armijo) linesearch (see Algorithm 3.1 in Nocedal and Wright). Start with an initial step length  $\bar{\alpha} = 1$  and use the parameters  $c = 0.25$  (sufficient decrease parameter) and  $\rho = 0.1$  (contraction factor).

**Problem 2** Find all parameters  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$  for which the point  $(x, y) = (3, 1)$  is a local solution of the optimization problem

$$x + \alpha y \rightarrow \min$$

subject to the constraints

$$\begin{aligned} xy - 3 &\geq 0, \\ 10 - x^2 - y^2 &\geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

**Problem 3** Consider the optimization problem

$$x^2 + 2xy + 2y^2 \rightarrow \min \quad \text{subject to} \quad x + y - 1 = 0. \quad (1)$$

The unique (local and global) solution of this problem is the point  $(x, y) = (1, 0)$  (you don't have to show this).

- a) Formulate the unconstrained optimization problem that results from the application of the quadratic penalty method to (1), and compute the solution for all possible penalty parameters  $\mu > 0$ .
- b) Formulate the augmented Lagrangian  $\mathcal{L}_A(x, \lambda; \mu)$  corresponding to (1) and compute its minimizers for all possible parameters  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\mu > 0$ . For which parameters does the minimizer of the augmented Lagrangian coincide with the solution of (1)?

**Problem 4** The set  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  is given by the constraints

$$\begin{aligned}x + 1 &\geq 0, \\1 - x - y &\geq 0, \\(x + 1)^2 y^3 &\geq 0.\end{aligned}$$

Using a set of suitable linear inequalities and equalities, describe both the tangent cone and the cone of linearized feasible directions for  $\Omega$  at  $(x, y) = (1, 0)$ .

**Problem 5** Show that a (not necessarily differentiable) function  $f: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{>0}$  is convex, if the function  $x \mapsto \ln(f(x))$  is convex.

**Problem 6** Assume that the sequence  $(x_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  is generated by the gradient descent method with backtracking linesearch for the minimization of a function  $f$ , and that  $\nabla f(x_k) \neq 0$  for all  $k$ . Assume moreover that  $\bar{x}$  is an accumulation point of the sequence  $(x_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ . Show that  $\bar{x}$  is not a local maximum of  $f$ .

**Problem 7** We consider a line search method of the form  $x_{k+1} = x_k + \alpha_k p_k$  for the minimization of the function  $f: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , where the search direction  $p_k$  is given as

$$p_k = -\operatorname{sgn}((\nabla f(x_k))_i) e_i,$$

where the index  $i$  is chosen such that  $|(\nabla f(x_k))_i|$  is maximal. Here  $e_i$  with  $1 \leq i \leq n$  denotes the  $i$ -th standard basis vector in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

- a) Show that the direction  $p_k$  is a descent direction whenever  $x_k$  is no stationary point of  $f$ .
- b) Assume that  $f$  is twice continuously differentiable and coercive and that the step lengths  $\alpha_k$  satisfy the Wolfe conditions (see Nocedal & Wright, equation (3.6)). Show that  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|\nabla f(x_k)\| = 0$ .