



NTNU – Trondheim
Norwegian University of
Science and Technology

Department of Mathematical Sciences

Examination paper for **TMA4180 Optimization Theory**

Academic contact during examination: Markus Grasmair

Phone: 97 58 04 35

Examination date: 06th June 2015

Examination time (from–to): 09:00–13:00

Permitted examination support material:

- The textbook: Nocedal & Wright, Numerical Optimization including errata.
- Rottmann, Mathematical formulae.
- Handouts on *Minimizers of optimization problems*, *Basics of convex analysis*, and *Basics of calculus of variations*.
- Approved basic calculator.

Other information:

- All answers should be justified and include enough details to make it clear which methods or results have been used.
- You may answer to the questions of the exam either in English or in Norwegian.

Language: English

Number of pages: 2

Number pages enclosed: 0

Checked by:

Date

Signature

Problem 1 Consider the function $f: \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by

$$f(x, y) = x^4 - 2x^3 + 2x^2 - 2xy + y^2.$$

- a) Compute all stationary points of f and find all local or global minimizers of f .
- b) Determine whether the function f is convex or not.
- c) Starting at the point $(x, y) = (0, 1)$ compute one step of the steepest descent method with backtracking (Armijo) linesearch (see Algorithm 3.1 in Nocedal and Wright). Start with an initial step length $\bar{\alpha} = 1$ and use the parameters $c = 0.25$ (sufficient decrease parameter) and $\rho = 0.1$ (contraction factor).

Problem 2 Find all parameters $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ for which the point $(x, y) = (3, 1)$ is a local solution of the optimization problem

$$x + \alpha y \rightarrow \min$$

subject to the constraints

$$\begin{aligned} xy - 3 &\geq 0, \\ 10 - x^2 - y^2 &\geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Problem 3 Consider the optimization problem

$$x^2 + 2xy + 2y^2 \rightarrow \min \quad \text{subject to} \quad x + y - 1 = 0. \quad (1)$$

The unique (local and global) solution of this problem is the point $(x, y) = (1, 0)$ (you don't have to show this).

- a) Formulate the unconstrained optimization problem that results from the application of the quadratic penalty method to (1), and compute the solution for all possible penalty parameters $\mu > 0$.
- b) Formulate the augmented Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}_A(x, \lambda; \mu)$ corresponding to (1) and compute its minimizers for all possible parameters $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\mu > 0$. For which parameters does the minimizer of the augmented Lagrangian coincide with the solution of (1)?

Problem 4 The set $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ is given by the constraints

$$\begin{aligned}x + 1 &\geq 0, \\1 - x - y &\geq 0, \\(x + 1)^2 y^3 &\geq 0.\end{aligned}$$

Using a set of suitable linear inequalities and equalities, describe both the tangent cone and the cone of linearized feasible directions for Ω at $(x, y) = (1, 0)$.

Problem 5 Show that a (not necessarily differentiable) function $f: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ is convex, if the function $x \mapsto \ln(f(x))$ is convex.

Problem 6 Assume that the sequence $(x_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ is generated by the gradient descent method with backtracking linesearch for the minimization of a function f , and that $\nabla f(x_k) \neq 0$ for all k . Assume moreover that \bar{x} is an accumulation point of the sequence $(x_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$. Show that \bar{x} is not a local maximum of f .

Problem 7 We consider a line search method of the form $x_{k+1} = x_k + \alpha_k p_k$ for the minimization of the function $f: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, where the search direction p_k is given as

$$p_k = -\operatorname{sgn}((\nabla f(x_k))_i) e_i,$$

where the index i is chosen such that $|(\nabla f(x_k))_i|$ is maximal. Here e_i with $1 \leq i \leq n$ denotes the i -th standard basis vector in \mathbb{R}^n .

- a) Show that the direction p_k is a descent direction whenever x_k is no stationary point of f .
- b) Assume that f is twice continuously differentiable and coercive and that the step lengths α_k satisfy the Wolfe conditions (see Nocedal & Wright, equation (3.6)). Show that $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|\nabla f(x_k)\| = 0$.