

## Solution

Ben 3.2

•  $y \in S_n$  and  $z_k = y_{k+1}$

$$\Rightarrow \mathcal{F}[z]_j = \omega^j \mathcal{F}[y]_j$$

Proof: 
$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}[z]_j &= \sum_{\ell=0}^{n-1} z_\ell \bar{\omega}^{\ell j} = \sum_{\ell=0}^{n-1} y_{\ell+1} \bar{\omega}^{\ell j} \\ &= \sum_{\ell=1}^{n-1} y_\ell \bar{\omega}^{j(\ell-1)} + y_0 \bar{\omega}^{j(n-1)} = \omega^j \sum_{\ell=0}^{n-1} y_\ell \bar{\omega}^{j\ell} \end{aligned}$$

•  $[y * z]_k := \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} y_j z_{k-j}$  is in  $S_n$  because

$$[y * z]_{k+n} = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} y_j z_{k+n-j} = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} y_j z_{k-j}$$

• 
$$\mathcal{F}[y * z]_k = \sum_{\ell=0}^{n-1} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} y_j z_{\ell-j} \bar{\omega}^{\ell k}$$

$$= \sum_{\ell=0}^{n-1} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} y_j \omega^{kj} z_{\ell-j} \bar{\omega}^{k(\ell-j)}$$

$$= \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} y_j \bar{\omega}^{kj} \sum_{\ell=0}^{n-1} z_\ell \bar{\omega}^{k\ell}$$

• Suppose  $y \in S_n$  is real valued. Then

$$\hat{y}_{n-k} = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} y_j \bar{\omega}^{j(n-k)} = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} y_j \bar{\omega}^{-jk}$$

$$= \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \overline{y_j \bar{\omega}^{jk}} = \overline{\hat{y}_k}$$

B & N 3.3. We will see later that

$\hat{\delta}(\xi) \equiv 1$ . Hence if  $au'' + bu' + cu = f_0 \delta$ ,

then  $(-a\xi^2 + ib\xi + c)\hat{u} = f_0$ , and so

$$u(t) = \mathcal{F}^{-1} \left( \frac{f_0}{-a\xi^2 + ib\xi + c} \right) (t).$$

Writing  $\sin \omega t = \frac{1}{2i} (e^{i\omega t} - e^{-i\omega t})$ ,

we get

$$\int_0^{\infty} \sin \omega t e^{-\mu t - i\xi t} dt$$

$$= \frac{1}{2i} \left( \frac{1}{i(\omega - \xi) - \mu} - \frac{1}{-i(\omega + \xi) - \mu} \right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2i} \frac{-2i\omega}{\omega^2 - \xi^2 + \mu^2 - 2i\mu\xi}$$

$$= \frac{\omega a}{-a\xi^2 + ib\xi + c}$$

since  $\omega = \frac{\sqrt{4ac - b^2}}{2a}$ ,  $\mu = \frac{b}{2a}$ .

B & N 3.10

Inserting  $\frac{u_k - u_{k-1}}{h}$  for  $u'$

and  $\frac{u_{k+1} + u_{k-1} - 2u_k}{h^2}$  for  $u''$  in

$$au'' + bu' + cu = f, \quad \text{we get}$$

$$\frac{a u_{k+1}}{h^2} + \left( \frac{a}{h^2} - \frac{b}{h} \right) u_{k-1} + \left( -\frac{2a}{h^2} + \frac{b}{h} + c \right) u_k = f_k. \quad \text{Hence, setting}$$

$\beta = ch^2 + bh - 2a$  and  $\gamma = a - bh$ , we get

$$a u_{k+1} + \beta u_k + \gamma u_{k-1} = h^2 f_k. \quad (**)$$

Be N 3.11. We consider  $az^2 + \beta z + \gamma = 0$ ,

which has solutions

$$z = \frac{-\beta \pm \sqrt{\beta^2 - 4a\gamma}}{2a},$$

If  $\beta^2 \leq 4a\gamma$ , then solutions on  $\mathbb{T}$

occur  $\Leftrightarrow \frac{\gamma}{a} = 1$ , but this <sup>is</sup> impossible

since  $\gamma = a - bh < a$ .

If  $\beta^2 > 4a\gamma$ , then we must have

either  $a + \beta + \gamma = 0$  or  $a - \beta + \gamma = 0$ .

Now  $a + \beta + \gamma = ch^2 > 0$  and

$$a - \beta + \gamma \leq 2\sqrt{a\gamma} - \beta$$

$$< \beta - \beta = 0,$$

B & N 3.12.

We take the DFT of  $(**)$  and use the first part of 3.2:

$$(a w^j + \beta + \gamma w^{-j}) \hat{u}_j = h^2 \hat{f}_j.$$

The result follows since

$a w^j + \beta + \gamma w^{-j}$  by the preceding exercise.