

## Solution

B&N 5.8. We set  $V_j := \{f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}) : \text{supp } \hat{f} \subset [-2^j \pi, 2^j \pi]\}$  (which we recognize as a Paley-Wiener space).

(a) Property 1 is obvious. By Plancherel and the fact that compactly supported functions form a dense subset of  $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ , 2 is also clear. If  $f \in \bigcap V_j$ , then  $\text{supp } \hat{f} \subset [-2^j \pi, 2^j \pi]$  for all  $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ , which is only possible if  $f \equiv 0$ . We set  $f_j(x) = f(2^{-j}x)$ ; then  $\widehat{f_j}(\xi) = 2^j \widehat{f}(2^j \xi)$ , and hence  $f \in V_j \Leftrightarrow f_j \in V_0$ .

(b) The fact that 5 holds with  $\varphi(x) := \text{sinc } x$  is an immediate consequence of the sampling theorem; see also our note about the Paley-Wiener theorem.

(c) We recall that

$$\hat{\phi}(\xi) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \chi_{[-\pi, \pi]}(\xi)$$

Hence, by Plancherel,

$$\begin{aligned} & 2 \int \phi(x) \overline{\phi(2x-k)} dx \\ &= \int \hat{\phi}(\xi) \overline{\hat{\phi}(\xi/2)} e^{ik\xi/2} d\xi \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{ik\xi/2} d\xi = \begin{cases} 1, & k=0 \\ 0, & k=2m, m \neq 0 \\ \left[ \frac{e^{ik\xi/2}}{k-\pi} \right]_{-\pi}^{\pi}, & k=2m+1. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Hence we obtain

$$\phi(x) = \phi(2x) + \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{2(-1)^k}{(2k+1)\pi} \phi(2x-2k-1).$$

(d) We have

$$\psi(x) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} (-1)^k \overline{p_{1-k}} \phi(2x-k).$$

$$\text{Now } p_{1-k} = \begin{cases} 1, & k=1 \\ 0, & k=2m+1, m \neq 0, \\ \frac{2(-1)^m}{(2m+1)\pi}, & k=-2m. \end{cases}$$

Hence

$$\psi(x) = \phi(2x-1) + \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{2(-1)^k}{(-2k+1)\pi} \phi(2x-2k).$$

(e) We get

$$l_k = \frac{1}{2} \overline{p}_k = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2}, & k=0 \\ 0, & k=2m, m \neq 0 \\ \frac{(-1)^{m+1}}{(2m+1)}, & k=2m+1. \end{cases}$$

$$h_k = \frac{1}{2} (-1)^k \overline{p}_{k+1} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2}, & k=-1 \\ 0, & k=2m+1, m \neq -1 \\ \frac{(-1)^m}{(2m+1)}, & k=2m. \end{cases}$$

(f)  $\tilde{l}_k = \overline{p}_k = \begin{cases} 1, & k=0 \\ 0, & k=2m, m \neq 0 \\ \frac{2(-1)^m}{(2m+1)\pi}, & k=2m+1 \end{cases}$

$$\tilde{h}_k = \overline{p}_{1-k} (-1)^k = \begin{cases} -1, & k=1 \\ 0, & k=2m+1, m \neq 0 \\ \frac{2(-1)}{(2m+1)\pi}, & k=-2m. \end{cases}$$

Bz N 5.17. We have

$$\varphi_m(x) = \sum \overline{p}_k \varphi_{m-1}(2x-k),$$

with  $\varphi_0(x) = \chi_{[0,1]}(x)$  and

$p_k = 0$ ,  $|k| \leq k$ , say, with  $k \geq 1$ .

We prove by induction on  $n$  that

$$\varphi_n(x) = 0 \text{ for } |x| > k.$$

This is plainly true for  $n=0$ .

Now take  $|x| > k$  and assume the statement is true for

$n = m$ . Then if  $|k| \leq k$ , we have

$$|2x - k| > 2k - k = k, \text{ so}$$

$$\varphi_{m+1}(x) = 0.$$

Bz N 5.18. If  $p_k = 0$  for

$k > N$  and  $k < 0$ , then we may prove by induction on  $n$  that

$$\varphi_n(x) = 0 \text{ for } x < 0 \text{ and } x > 0.$$

Again, it is plainly true for  $n=0$ .

If  $x < 0$ , then  $2x - k < 0$  for  $k \geq 0$  and so  $\varphi_n(x) = 0$  for  $x < 0$  implies  $\varphi_{n+1}(x) = 0$  for  $x < 0$ .

If  $x > N$ , then  $2x - k > 2N - N = N$  for  $k \leq N$ , and so  $\varphi_n(x) = 0$  for  $x > N$  implies  $\varphi_{n+1}(x) = 0$  for  $x > N$ .