



- 1 a) Starting from  $\hat{f}(\xi)$ , we find its Fourier inverse transform

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{f}(\xi) e^{ix\xi} d\xi \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-|\xi|+ix\xi} d\xi \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left( \int_{-\infty}^0 e^{(1+ix)\xi} d\xi + \int_0^{\infty} e^{(-1+ix)\xi} d\xi \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{1}{1+ix} + \frac{1}{1-ix} \right) = \frac{1}{1+x^2}. \end{aligned}$$

- b) Using Plancherel's theorem, we find that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-x^2}}{1+x^2} dx &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathcal{F}(e^{-x^2})(\xi) \mathcal{F}\left(\frac{1}{1+x^2}\right)(\xi) d\xi \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} e^{-\xi^2/4} \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}} e^{-|\xi|} d\xi \\ &= \sqrt{\pi} \int_0^{\infty} e^{-(\xi^2+4\xi)/4} d\xi \\ &= \sqrt{\pi} e \int_0^{\infty} e^{-(\xi+2)^2/4} d\xi \\ &= 2\sqrt{\pi} e \int_1^{\infty} e^{-x^2} dx, \end{aligned}$$

with a change of variables (and slight abuse of notation)  $x = (\xi + 2)/2$ . From this, we can see that  $C = 2\sqrt{\pi}e$ .

- 2 A pretty straightforward calculation gives us:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}[x * y]_k &= \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} (x * y)_j \bar{w}^{jk} = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \sum_{l=0}^{n-1} x_l y_{j-l} \bar{w}^{jk} = \sum_{l=0}^{n-1} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} x_l \bar{w}^{lk} y_{j-l} \bar{w}^{(j-l)k} \\ &= \sum_{l=0}^{n-1} x_l \bar{w}^{lk} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} y_{j-l} \bar{w}^{(j-l)k} = \sum_{l=0}^{n-1} x_l \bar{w}^{lk} \sum_{m=0}^{n-1} y_m \bar{w}^{mk} = \mathcal{F}[x]_k \mathcal{F}[y]_k \end{aligned}$$

- 3 Using the definitions of Fourier transform and derivatives for distributions, we find

$$\begin{aligned}\widehat{\delta^{(k)}}(\phi) &= \delta^{(k)}(\hat{\phi}) = (-1)^k \delta(\hat{\phi}^{(k)}) = (-1)^k \hat{\phi}^{(k)}(0) \\ &= (-1)^k \frac{d^k}{d\xi^k} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi(x) e^{-i\xi x} dx \Big|_{\xi=0} \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{(ix)^k}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \phi(x) dx.\end{aligned}$$

So, in the sense of distributions,  $\widehat{\delta^{(k)}} = (ix)^k / \sqrt{2\pi}$ .

- 4 a) We can compute  $\phi(x)$  using the inverse Fourier transform:

$$\phi(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{\phi}(\xi) e^{i\xi x} d\xi = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{a-\pi}^{a+\pi} e^{i\xi x} d\xi = \frac{1}{i2\pi x} (e^{i(a+\pi)x} - e^{i(a-\pi)x}) = e^{iax} \frac{\sin(\pi x)}{\pi x}.$$

- b) It is easier to work with the Fourier transform in this case, so we find

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi(x) dx = \sqrt{2\pi} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi(x) e^{i0x} dx = \sqrt{2\pi} \hat{\phi}(0) = 1.$$

- c) Using the equivalent condition in Theorem 5.18 in B&N, we see that

$$2\pi \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} |\hat{\phi}(\xi + 2\pi k)|^2 = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} |\chi_K(\xi + 2\pi k)|^2 = 1,$$

since  $K$  is an interval of width  $2\pi$ , so only one of the indices gives an argument for which  $\chi_K$  is nonzero.

- d) Once again, we turn to the Fourier criteria since the scaling function may be hard to work with. By theorem 5.19 in B&N, the scaling condition is equivalent to

$$\hat{\phi}(\xi) = \hat{\phi}\left(\frac{\xi}{2}\right) P\left(e^{-i\xi/2}\right),$$

where

$$P(z) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} p_k z^k.$$

In our case, this means

$$\chi_K(\xi) = \chi_K\left(\frac{\xi}{2}\right) P\left(e^{-i\xi/2}\right).$$

Since  $\chi_K(\xi/2)$  is nonzero on an interval containing  $K$ , this is equivalent to

$$\chi_K(\xi) = P\left(e^{-\frac{i\xi}{2}}\right) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} p_k e^{-ik\xi/2},$$

or

$$2\chi_K(-2\xi) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} p_k e^{ik\xi}.$$

With  $K = [a - \pi, a + \pi]$ , one can see that  $-2\xi \in K$  iff  $\xi \in [-1/2(a + \pi), -1/2(a - \pi)]$ , and so the above is again equivalent to

$$2\chi_{[-\frac{1}{2}(a+\pi), -\frac{1}{2}(a-\pi)]}(\xi) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} p_k e^{ik\xi}.$$

Using the supplied Fourier series components, it is evident that

$$p_0 = 1, \quad p_k = \frac{2}{\pi k} e^{-ia/2} \sin\left(\frac{\pi k}{2}\right), \quad k = \pm 1, \pm 2, \pm 3, \dots$$

Alternatively, one may write, with  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ :

$$p_k = \begin{cases} 1, & k = 0 \\ \frac{2(-1)^m}{\pi(2m+1)} e^{-ia/2}, & k = 2m+1 \\ 0, & k = 2m. \end{cases}$$

e) The wavelet is defined as

$$\psi(x) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} (-1)^k \overline{p_{1-k}} \phi(2x - k),$$

and using the scaling constants from the previous exercise, we get

$$\overline{p_{1-k}} = \begin{cases} 1, & k = 1 \\ \frac{2(-1)^n}{\pi(2n+1)} e^{ia/2}, & k = -2n \\ 0, & k = 2n+1. \end{cases}$$

From this, we can see that

$$\psi(x) = -\phi(2x - 1) + e^{ia/2} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{2(-1)^n}{\pi(2n+1)} \phi(2x + 2n).$$

We can continue and find a closed form for  $\psi$ . First, let us change the summation variable to  $m = -n - 1$ . The expression for the wavelet becomes

$$\psi(x) = -\phi(2x - 1) + e^{ia/2} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{2(-1)^m}{\pi(2m+1)} \phi(2x - 2m - 2).$$

Next, consider the scaling relation for  $\phi$ , which with the  $p_k$  inserted becomes

$$\phi(x) = \phi(2x) + e^{-ia/2} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{2(-1)^m}{\pi(2m+1)} \phi(2x - 2m - 1).$$

Then, compare the two expressions:

$$\begin{aligned} e^{-ia/2} \psi(x) &= -e^{-ia/2} \phi(2x - 1) + \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{2(-1)^m}{\pi(2m+1)} \phi(2x - 2m - 2) \\ e^{ia/2} \phi(x - 1/2) &= e^{ia/2} \phi(2x - 1) + \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{2(-1)^m}{\pi(2m+1)} \phi(2x - 2m - 2) \end{aligned}$$

and observe that

$$e^{-ia/2} \psi(x) + e^{-ia/2} \phi(2x - 1) = e^{ia/2} \phi(x - 1/2) - e^{ia/2} \phi(2x - 1).$$

Solving this for  $\psi(x)$  gives us

$$\begin{aligned}\psi(x) &= e^{ia} \phi(x-1/2) - (e^{ia} + 1) \phi(2x-1) \\ &= e^{ia} e^{ia(x-1/2)} \frac{\sin(\pi x - \pi/2)}{\pi x - \pi/2} - (e^{ia} + 1) e^{ia(2x-1)} \frac{\sin(2\pi x - \pi)}{2\pi x - \pi} \\ &= \frac{(1 + e^{-ia}) e^{i2ax} \sin(2\pi x) - 2e^{ia/2} e^{iax} \cos(\pi x)}{2\pi x - \pi}\end{aligned}$$