



Norwegian University of  
Science and Technology

Department of Mathematical Sciences

## Examination paper for **TMA4145 Linear Methods–Solutions**

**Academic contact during examination:** Franz Luef

**Phone:** 406 14 405

**Examination date:** 21.12.2017

**Examination time (from–to):** 09:00-13:00

**Permitted examination support material:** D:No written or handwritten material. Calculator Casio fx-82ES PLUS, Citizen SR-270X, Hewlett Packard HP30S

**Other information:**

There are 5 problems on the exam and each problem counts for 20 points. All solutions should be stated in a precise and rigorous way, with any assumptions written down and arguments justified, except Problem 3.

**Language:** English

**Number of pages:** 10

**Number of pages enclosed:** 0

**Checked by:**

<b>Informasjon om trykking av eksamensoppgave</b>	
<b>Originalen er:</b>	
1-sidig <input type="checkbox"/>	2-sidig <input type="checkbox"/>
sort/hvit <input type="checkbox"/>	farger <input type="checkbox"/>
skal ha flervalgskjema <input type="checkbox"/>	

---

Date

Signature



Disclaimer: I present one way to solve these problems although there are other possible solutions.

### Problem 1

- a) (1) Find the singular value decomposition for the matrix

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

**Solution:** Let us compute the singular values of  $A$ . Recall these are the non-zero eigenvalues of the selfadjoint matrix  $AA^* = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 3 \\ 3 & 3 \end{pmatrix}$

or  $A^*A = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 2 & -2 \\ 2 & 2 & -2 \\ -2 & -2 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$ . In the first case we get a  $2 \times 2$ -matrix and

in the second case we get a  $3 \times 3$ -matrix, so we use  $AA^*$  for the computation of the singular values. The eigenvalues of  $\begin{pmatrix} 3 & 3 \\ 3 & 3 \end{pmatrix}$  are 6 and

0. For those, who have decided to use  $A^*A$ : the eigenvalues are 6, 0, 0. Hence  $\sigma_1 = \sqrt{6}$  is the only singular value of  $A$ , which fits very well with the fact that  $A$  has rank one.

Consequently  $\Sigma$  is given by

$$\Sigma = \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{6} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let us look at the eigenvectors of  $A^*A$ . A little bit of computation yields

$$v_1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad v_2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad v_3 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The set  $\{v_1, v_2, v_3\}$  is an orthonormal basis of  $\mathbb{R}^3$  and yield the columns of  $V$ :

$$V = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} & \frac{-1}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} \\ \frac{-1}{\sqrt{3}} & 0 & \frac{2}{\sqrt{6}} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Now we get the columns of  $U$ , which is an orthonormal basis for  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , by

$$u_1 = \frac{1}{\sigma_1} Av_1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

and choosing another vector orthogonal to  $v_1$ , such as  $u_2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}$  and thus

$$U = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{pmatrix}.$$

$$\text{Hence } A = U\Sigma V^* = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{6} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} & \frac{-1}{\sqrt{3}} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} & \frac{2}{\sqrt{6}} \end{pmatrix}$$

(2) The linear system:

$$\begin{aligned} x_1 + x_2 - x_3 &= 1 \\ x_1 + x_2 - x_3 &= 1 \end{aligned}$$

has infinitely many solutions. Determine the one with the minimal Euclidean norm  $\|\cdot\|_2$ .

The linear system

$$\begin{aligned} x_1 + x_2 - x_3 &= 1 \\ x_1 + x_2 - x_3 &= 2 \end{aligned}$$

has no solution. Determine the least squares solution of the linear system.

Hint: The pseudoinverse of the matrix related to the linear system might be useful.

**Solution:** We first compute the pseudoinverse of  $A$ : The pseudoinverse in terms of the SVD is given by  $A^+ = V\Sigma^+U^*$ , which gives

$$A^+ = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 \\ -\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} & \frac{2}{\sqrt{6}} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{2}} & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{6} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ -1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The system

$$\begin{aligned}x_1 + x_2 - x_3 &= 1 \\x_1 + x_2 - x_3 &= 1\end{aligned}$$

has infinitely many solutions and we have learned that  $A^+ \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{3} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}$  is the solution of minimal  $\|\cdot\|_2$ -norm. The system

$$\begin{aligned}x_1 + x_2 - x_3 &= 1 \\x_1 + x_2 - x_3 &= 2\end{aligned}$$

has no solution, but  $A^+ \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}$  is the best approximation to a solution having minimal norm.

- b)** Given a  $n \times n$ -matrix  $A$  of rank  $n$ . Prove that  $A$  has a polar decomposition using the singular value decomposition of  $A$ . Hence, show that there exist an  $n \times n$  unitary matrix  $W$  and a positive definite  $n \times n$  matrix  $P$  such that  $A = WP$ .

**Solution:** The SVD decomposition gives us unitary  $n \times n$  matrices  $U$  and  $V$  such that

$$A = U\Sigma V^* = UV^*V\Sigma V^*.$$

Note that  $UV^*$  is unitary as a product of two unitary matrices and  $V\Sigma V^*$  is positive definite, since  $\Sigma$  is positive definite. Hence  $V\Sigma V^*$  is the replacement of the length of a complex number and  $UV^*$  the one for the phase factor.

## Problem 2

- a)** Let  $T$  be the linear transformation  $T(x) = Ax$  on  $\mathbb{R}^3$  for the matrix

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1/2 & 1/3 \\ 1/4 & 0 & 1/5 \\ 1/5 & \alpha & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $\alpha$  is a real number.

- (1) Determine the operator norm of  $T : (\mathbb{R}^3, \|\cdot\|_1) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}^3, \|\cdot\|_1)$ . Note that the result depends on the parameter  $\alpha$ .

**Solution:** The operator norm of  $T(x) = Ax$  as a mapping on  $(\mathbb{R}^3, \|\cdot\|_1)$  is given by the maximal column sum of a matrix  $A$ . Let  $A = (a_1|a_2|a_3)$  be partitioned into its columns. Then we have for the operator norm

$$\|T\| = \max_{1 \leq j \leq 3} \|a_j\|_1 = \max_{1 \leq j \leq 3} \sum_{i=1}^3 |a_{ij}|.$$

By definition of the operator norm we have

$$\|T\| = \max_{\|x\|_1=1} \|Ax\|_1 = \max_{\|x\|_1=1} \|x_1 a_1 + \cdots + x_3 a_3\|_1.$$

By the triangle inequality and the homogeneity for the  $\|\cdot\|_1$ -norm we get

$$\max_{\|x\|_1=1} \|Ax\|_1 \leq \max_{\|x\|_1=1} (|x_1| \|a_1\|_1 + \cdots + |x_3| \|a_3\|_1).$$

Let  $j$  be chosen such that  $\max_{1 \leq i \leq 3} \|a_i\|_1 = \|a_j\|_1$ . Then we get

$$\max_{\|x\|_1=1} \|Ax\|_1 \leq \max_{\|x\|_1=1} (|x_1| + \cdots + |x_3|) \|a_j\|_1 = \|a_j\|_1.$$

We denote by  $\{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$  the standard basis for  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . Then  $\|a_j\|_1 = \|Ae_j\|_1 \leq \max_{\|x\|_1=1} \|Ax\|_1$ . Let us combine our two inequalities:

$$\|a_j\|_1 \leq \max_{\|x\|_1=1} \|Ax\|_1 \leq \|a_j\|_1.$$

Consequently, we have

$$\|T\| = \max_{1 \leq j \leq 3} \sum_{i=1}^3 |a_{ij}|.$$

Now, we apply this statement to the given linear transformation:

$$\left\| \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1/2 & 1/3 \\ 1/4 & 0 & 1/5 \\ 1/5 & \alpha & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\| = \max\{9/20, 1/2 + |\alpha|, 8/15\}$$

. Hence for  $|\alpha| \leq 1/30$  we have  $\|T\| = 8/15$  and for  $|\alpha| > 1/30$  we have  $\|T\| = 1/2 + |\alpha|$ .

- (2) Determine those  $\alpha$ 's such that  $T$  is a contraction on  $(\mathbb{R}^3, \|\cdot\|_1)$ .

**Solution:** By our computation in (1) we have that this is the case for  $|\alpha| < 1/2$ .

b) Rewrite the linear system

$$\begin{aligned} 3x_1 - \frac{3}{2}x_2 - x_3 &= 1 \\ -x_1 + 4x_2 - \frac{4}{5}x_3 &= 2 \\ -\frac{2}{5}x_1 - \frac{1}{2}x_2 + 2x_3 &= 4 \end{aligned}$$

as a fixed point problem and show that one can use Banach's fixed point theorem to prove the existence of a solution. Compute the first three iterations

$$x^{(1)}, x^{(2)}, x^{(3)} \text{ for the starting point } x_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

**Solution:** The system of equations is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned} x_1 &= 0 \cdot x_1 + \frac{1}{2}x_2 + \frac{1}{3}x_3 + \frac{1}{3} \\ x_2 &= \frac{1}{4}x_1 + 0 \cdot x_2 + \frac{1}{5}x_3 + \frac{1}{2} \\ x_3 &= \frac{1}{5}x_1 + \frac{1}{4}x_2 + 0 \cdot x_3 + 2 \end{aligned}$$

which we may write in matrix form as

$$\begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1/2 & 1/3 \\ 1/4 & 0 & 1/5 \\ 1/5 & 1/4 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 1/3 \\ 1/2 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

If we define

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1/2 & 1/3 \\ 1/4 & 0 & 1/5 \\ 1/5 & 1/4 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } b = \begin{bmatrix} 1/3 \\ 1/2 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

our problem becomes solving  $x = Ax + b$  – a fixed point problem. In order to apply Banach's fixed point theorem, we need to have a contraction. In this case we need that

$$\|Ax + b - (Ay + b)\| = \|A(x - y)\| \leq K\|x - y\|$$

for any  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^3$  in some norm  $\|\cdot\|$  on  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . Let us use the  $\|\cdot\|_1$  on  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . From the first part of the problem, we know that the operator norm of the operator  $T : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$  given by  $Tx = Ax$  is the maximal column sum of the matrix  $A$ . In this case the

maximal row sum appears in row 2 and equals  $1/2 + 1/4 = 3/4$ . Hence  $\|T\| = 3/4$ . But this means that

$$\|A(x - y)\|_1 = \|T(x - y)\|_1 \leq \|T\| \|x - y\|_1 = \frac{3}{4} \|x - y\|_1.$$

Hence we have a contraction with  $K = \frac{3}{4}$ . By Banach's fixed point theorem we may choose any  $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^3$ , and the iteration procedure  $x_n = Ax_{n-1} + b$  will always converge to a solution  $x$  of  $x = Ax + b$ . Let us for instance pick

$$x_0 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then the first few iterations give

$$x_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1/3 \\ 3/4 \\ 11/5 \end{bmatrix}, \quad x_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1.3694 \\ 1.0233 \\ 2.6166 \end{bmatrix}, \quad x_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 1.717183 \\ 1.36568 \\ 2.59705 \end{bmatrix}.$$

### Problem 3

- a) (1) Suppose  $(X, \|\cdot\|_X)$  and  $(Y, \|\cdot\|_Y)$  are normed spaces. Define the notions of a *continuous* and of a *Lipschitz continuous* function  $f : X \rightarrow Y$ .

**Solution:** (i) We discussed several definitions and we just state the one in terms of  $\epsilon - \delta$ : We say that  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is **continuous** if for each  $x_0 \in X$  and each  $\epsilon > 0$  there is a  $\delta > 0$  such that

$$\|x - x_0\|_X < \delta \Rightarrow \|f(x) - f(x_0)\|_Y < \epsilon.$$

(ii) A function  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is called **Lipschitz continuous** if there exists a finite constant  $L$  such that

$$\|f(x) - f(x')\|_Y \leq L \|x - x'\|_X \quad \text{for all } x, x' \in X.$$

- (2) Let  $X$  be a vector space and  $T$  a linear map between the vector spaces  $T : X \rightarrow X$ . Define the notion of a *T-invariant subspace* of  $X$ .

**Solution:** A subspace  $M$  of  $X$  is called **T-invariant** if for any  $x \in M$  we have also that  $Tx \in M$ .



- (3) Let  $(X, \|\cdot\|)$  be a normed space. Define the notion of a *dense subset* of  $X$  and define when  $X$  is *separable*.

**Solution:** (i) A subset  $A$  of  $(X, \|\cdot\|)$  is said to be *dense* in  $X$  if for each  $x \in X$  and each  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists a vector  $y \in A$  such that  $\|x - y\| < \varepsilon$ .

(ii) A normed space  $X$  is called *separable*, if it contains a countable dense subset.

- (4) Let  $X$  be a vector space and  $T : X \rightarrow X$  a linear transformation. Define the notion of a *generalized eigenspace* for an eigenvalue  $\lambda$  of  $T$  and the *minimal polynomial* of a  $n \times n$ -matrix  $A$ .

**Solution:** (i) A **generalized eigenspace** of  $\lambda$  is  $\ker(T - \lambda I)^k$  for some  $k > 1$ .

The **minimal polynomial** of  $A$  is the among all annihilating polynomials of  $A$  the one with the smallest degree.

- (5) Define the notions of a *Cauchy sequence* and of *completeness* for normed space.

**Solution:** (i) Let  $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a sequence in  $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ . Then we call  $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  a **Cauchy sequence** if for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists an  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for all  $m, n \geq N$  we have

$$\|x_n - x_m\| < \varepsilon.$$

(ii) A normed space  $(X, \|\cdot\|)$  is **complete** if every Cauchy sequence in  $X$  converges to an element in  $X$ .

- b) Determine if the following statements are true or false and if the statement is not true, give a counterexample.

- (1) Any linear map on a normed space is bounded.

**Solution:** No. For example, the multiplication operator  $Tx = (x_1, 2x_2, 3x_3, \dots)$  is unbounded on  $\ell^p$  for  $p \in [1, \infty]$ . Another well-known example is the differentiation operator  $Tf = f'$  on  $(C[0, 1], \|\cdot\|_\infty)$ .

- (2) Any linear transformation on a finite-dimensional complex vector space has a non-trivial invariant subspace.

**Solution:** Yes.

- (3) The set of sequences with finitely many non-zero elements is dense in the space of bounded sequences  $\ell^\infty$ .

**Solution:** No. For example, take the constant sequence  $(1, 1, 1, \dots)$  cannot be approximated arbitrarily closely by elements from  $c_f$ .

- (4) The orthogonal complement of any subset of an innerproduct space is closed.

**Solution:** Yes.

- (5) The range of any bounded linear map on an infinite-dimensional vector space is closed.

**Solution:** No. Example: The operator  $T : \ell^2 \rightarrow \ell^2$  defined by  $T(x_1, x_2, \dots) = (x_1, \frac{x_2}{2}, \frac{x_3}{3}, \dots)$  does not have closed range.

**Problem 4** For  $a = (a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \ell^\infty$  we define the linear operator  $T_a : \ell^2 \rightarrow \ell^2$  by  $T_a(x_1, x_2, \dots) = (a_1x_1, 0, a_3x_3, 0, \dots)$  for  $(x_n) \in \ell^2$ .

- (1) Show that  $T_a$  is bounded on  $\ell^2$ .

**Solution:**  $\|T_a x\|_2^2 = |a_1x_1|^2 + |a_3x_3|^2 + \dots \leq \|(a_{2n-1})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}\|_\infty^2 \|x\|_2^2$  and hence

$$\|T_a x\|_2 \leq \|(a_{2n-1})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}\|_\infty \|x\|_2.$$

Here  $(a_{2n-1})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is the odd part of the sequence  $a$ , i.e. the sequence  $(a_1, a_3, a_5, \dots)$ .

- (2) Determine the operator norm of  $T_a$ .

**Solution:**  $\|T_a\| \leq \|(a_{2n-1})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}\|_\infty$ , because

$$\|T_a\| = \sup_{\|x\|_2=1} \|T_a x\|_2 \leq \sup_{\|x\|_2=1} (\|(a_{2n-1})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}\|_\infty \|x\|_2) = \|(a_{2n-1})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}\|_\infty.$$

Hence  $\|(a_{2n-1})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}\|_\infty$  is an upper bound for  $\{\|T_a x\|_2 : \|x\|_2 = 1\}$ . Now we show that it is the least upper bound for  $\{\|T_a x\|_2 : \|x\|_2 = 1\}$ . Namely, for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists some  $x^\varepsilon \in \ell^2$  with  $\|x^\varepsilon\|_2 = 1$  such that

$$\|T_a x^\varepsilon\|_2 > \|x^\varepsilon\|_2 - \varepsilon.$$

For every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists a index  $k_\varepsilon$  such that  $|a_{2k_\varepsilon-1}| > \|(a_{2n-1})\|_\infty - \varepsilon$  (which follows from the definition of the supremum of the sequence  $(a_{2n-1})$ ) and take  $x^\varepsilon = (0, \dots, 0, 1, 0, \dots)$  where the 1 is in the  $(2k_\varepsilon - 1)$ th component. Then  $T_a x^\varepsilon = |a_{2k_\varepsilon-1}| > \|(a_{2n-1})\|_\infty - \varepsilon$ . Hence we have  $\|T_a\| = \|(a_{2n-1})\|_\infty$ .

(3) Show that the range of  $T_a$  is closed.

**Solution:** The range of  $T_a$  is  $\{x \in \ell^2 : (x_1, 0, x_3, 0, \dots)\}$ . There are (at least) two strategies: (i) show directly that  $\{x \in \ell^2 : (x_1, 0, x_3, 0, \dots)\}$  is closed; or (ii) note that  $\{x \in \ell^2 : (x_1, 0, x_3, 0, \dots)\}$  is the kernel of a the operator  $P$  given by  $Px = (0, x_2, 0, x_4, 0, \dots)$ :  $P$  is linear and bounded:  $\|Px\|_2 \leq \|x\|_2$  and we have  $\ker(P) = \text{range}(T_a)$ .

(4) Determine the orthogonal complement of  $\ker(T_a)$ .

**Solution:**  $\ker(T_a)$  is the subspace  $\{x \in \ell^2 : (0, x_2, 0, x_4, 0, \dots)\}$ . By definition

$$\ker(T_a)^\perp = \{y \in \ell^2 : \langle y, x \rangle = 0 \text{ for all } x \in \ker(T_a)\},$$

i.e. we have

$$\ker(T_a)^\perp = \{y \in \ell^2 : \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} x_{2i} \overline{y_{2i}} = 0 \text{ for all } x \in \ell^2\}.$$

The expression  $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} x_{2i} \overline{y_{2i}} = 0$  for all  $x \in \ell^2$  if and only if  $y = (y_1, 0, y_3, 0, y_5, \dots)$ . Consequently,  $\ker(T_a)^\perp = \{x \in \ell^2 : x = (x_1, 0, x_3, 0, x_5, \dots)\}$ .

(5) Determine for which sequences  $a \in \ell^\infty$  the operator  $T_a$  satisfies  $T_a^2 = T_a$ .

**Solution:**  $T_a^2 x = (a_1^2 x_1, 0, a_3^2 x_3, 0, \dots)$  and thus  $T_a^2 = T_a$  is equivalent to  $a_i^2 = a_i$  for all  $i = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ , which holds only for  $a_{2i-1} \in \{0, 1\}$  for all  $i = 1, 2, 3, \dots$

**Problem 5** Let  $\{e_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be an orthonormal system in a Hilbert space  $X$  and  $(\alpha_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  a sequence of complex numbers.

Show that the series  $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \alpha_n e_n$  converges in  $X$  if and only if  $(\alpha_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \ell^2$ .

**Solution:** For any finite orthonormal system  $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$  we have

$$\begin{aligned}\left\|\sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j e_j\right\|^2 &= \left\langle \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j e_j, \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j e_j \right\rangle \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_i \overline{\alpha_j} \langle e_i, e_j \rangle \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^n |\alpha_j|^2.\end{aligned}$$

for any scalars  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ . Hence the partial sums  $s_n = \sum_{k=1}^n \alpha_k e_k$  satisfy  $(s_n)_n$  for  $n > m$

$$\|s_n - s_m\|^2 = \sum_{k=m+1}^n |\alpha_k|^2.$$

Hence  $(s_n)$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $X$  if and only if  $(\|\alpha_n\|^2)_n$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $\mathbb{R}$ . Since  $X$  and  $\mathbb{R}$  are both complete, these two sequences converge or diverge simultaneously. In the case of convergence, we take the limit  $n \rightarrow \infty$  and obtain the desired claim.