Correspondence Theory and Toeplitz operators on Fock spaces

Robert Fulsche Leibniz Universität Hannover, Germany

Workshop on Quantum Harmonic Analysis and Applications to Operator Theory 2021

August 3rd 2021

Outline

- Basics of Fock spaces and their (Toeplitz) operators
- QHA in the Fock space setting
- How does the theory of Toeplitz operators benefit from QHA?
- How does QHA benefit from the theory of Toeplitz operators?

Let μ_t , t > 0, be the family of Gaussian measures on \mathbb{C}^n :

$$d\mu_t(z)=\frac{1}{(\pi t)^n}e^{-\frac{|z|^2}{t}} dz.$$

Let μ_t , t > 0, be the family of Gaussian measures on \mathbb{C}^n :

$$d\mu_t(z)=\frac{1}{(\pi t)^n}e^{-\frac{|z|^2}{t}} dz.$$

For $p \in (1,\infty)$ set

$$L_t^p := L^p(\mathbb{C}^n, \mu_{2t/p}).$$

Let μ_t , t > 0, be the family of Gaussian measures on \mathbb{C}^n :

$$d\mu_t(z)=\frac{1}{(\pi t)^n}e^{-\frac{|z|^2}{t}} dz.$$

For $p \in (1,\infty)$ set

$$L^p_t := L^p(\mathbb{C}^n, \mu_{2t/p}).$$

The Fock spaces are defined as $F_t^p := L_t^p \cap Hol(\mathbb{C}^n)$, that is $f \in Hol(\mathbb{C}^n)$ is in F_t^p iff

$$fe^{-\frac{|\cdot|^2}{2t}} \in L^p(\mathbb{C}^n).$$

Fock spaces: The standard reference

The standard reference on Fock spaces (and operator theory on them) is: Kehe Zhu: *Analysis on Fock spaces*, 2012, Springer Verlag.



• F_t^p is a closed subspace of L_t^p

 \rightsquigarrow Consequence of Cauchy's integral formula

- F_t^p is a closed subspace of L_t^p
 - \rightsquigarrow Consequence of Cauchy's integral formula
 - \rightsquigarrow Cauchy's integral formula actually gives a stronger implication, which we defer for a moment.

- F_t^p is a closed subspace of L_t^p
 - \rightsquigarrow Consequence of Cauchy's integral formula
 - \rightsquigarrow Cauchy's integral formula actually gives a stronger implication, which we defer for a moment.
- F_t^2 is a Hilbert space with the inner product

$$\langle f,g\rangle_t = \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} f(z)\overline{g(z)} \ d\mu_t(z).$$

- F_t^p is a closed subspace of L_t^p
 - \rightsquigarrow Consequence of Cauchy's integral formula
 - \rightsquigarrow Cauchy's integral formula actually gives a stronger implication, which we defer for a moment.
- F_t^2 is a Hilbert space with the inner product

$$\langle f,g\rangle_t = \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} f(z)\overline{g(z)} \ d\mu_t(z).$$

• $(F_t^p)' \cong F_t^q$ under the above inner product (with equivalent norms), where $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$.

The standard basis

For $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$ set

$$e^t_lpha(z)=rac{1}{\sqrt{lpha!t^{|lpha|}}}z^lpha,\quad z\in\mathbb{C}^n.$$

The standard basis

For $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$ set

$$e^t_lpha(z)=rac{1}{\sqrt{lpha!t^{|lpha|}}}z^lpha,\quad z\in\mathbb{C}^n.$$

Then, $\{e_{\alpha}^{t}; \alpha \in \mathbb{N}_{0}^{n}\}$ is a Schauder basis for F_{t}^{p} , being orthonormal in F_{t}^{2} .

The standard basis

For $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$ set

$$e^t_lpha(z)=rac{1}{\sqrt{lpha!t^{|lpha|}}}z^lpha,\quad z\in\mathbb{C}^n.$$

Then, $\{e_{\alpha}^{t}; \alpha \in \mathbb{N}_{0}^{n}\}\$ is a Schauder basis for F_{t}^{p} , being orthonormal in F_{t}^{2} . In particular, $\mathcal{P}[z_{1}, \ldots, z_{m}]$ is dense in F_{t}^{p} .

Let $f \in Hol(\mathbb{C}^n)$ and r > 0. Then, Cauchy's integral formula yields

$$f(z) = rac{1}{(\pi r^2)^n} \int_{P(z,r)} f(w) \ dw, \quad ext{ every } z \in \mathbb{C}^n.$$

Let $f \in Hol(\mathbb{C}^n)$ and r > 0. Then, Cauchy's integral formula yields

$$f(z) = rac{1}{(\pi r^2)^n} \int_{P(z,r)} f(w) \ dw, \quad ext{ every } z \in \mathbb{C}^n.$$

Let $f \in Hol(\mathbb{C}^n)$ and r > 0. Then, Cauchy's integral formula yields

$$f(z) = rac{1}{(\pi r^2)^n} \int_{P(z,r)} f(w) \ dw, \quad ext{ every } z \in \mathbb{C}^n.$$

$$|f(z)| \lesssim \int_{P(z,r)} |f(w)| dw$$

Let $f \in Hol(\mathbb{C}^n)$ and r > 0. Then, Cauchy's integral formula yields

$$f(z) = rac{1}{(\pi r^2)^n} \int_{P(z,r)} f(w) \ dw, \quad ext{ every } z \in \mathbb{C}^n.$$

$$|f(z)| \lesssim \int_{P(z,r)} |f(w)| \, dw$$

$$\lesssim \int_{P(z,r)} |f(w)| e^{-\frac{|w|^2}{2t}} \, dw$$

Let $f \in Hol(\mathbb{C}^n)$ and r > 0. Then, Cauchy's integral formula yields

$$f(z) = rac{1}{(\pi r^2)^n} \int_{P(z,r)} f(w) \ dw, \quad ext{ every } z \in \mathbb{C}^n.$$

$$\begin{aligned} |f(z)| &\lesssim \int_{P(z,r)} |f(w)| \, dw \\ &\lesssim \int_{P(z,r)} |f(w)| e^{-\frac{|w|^2}{2t}} \, dw \\ &\leq \left(\int_{\mathbb{C}^n} |f(w)|^p e^{-\frac{p|w|^2}{2t}} \, dw \right)^{1/p} \left(\int_{P(z,r)} e^{-\frac{q|w|^2}{2t}} \, dw \right)^{1/q} \end{aligned}$$

Let $f \in Hol(\mathbb{C}^n)$ and r > 0. Then, Cauchy's integral formula yields

$$f(z) = rac{1}{(\pi r^2)^n} \int_{P(z,r)} f(w) \ dw, \quad ext{ every } z \in \mathbb{C}^n.$$

$$\begin{array}{ll} f(z)| &\lesssim \int_{P(z,r)} |f(w)| \ dw \\ &\lesssim \int_{P(z,r)} |f(w)| e^{-\frac{|w|^2}{2t}} \ dw \\ &\leq \left(\int_{\mathbb{C}^n} |f(w)|^p e^{-\frac{p|w|^2}{2t}} \ dw \right)^{1/p} \left(\int_{P(z,r)} e^{-\frac{q|w|^2}{2t}} \ dw \right)^{1/q} \\ &\lesssim \|f\|_{F_t^p}. \end{array}$$

This shows that point evaluation are continuous linear functionals on F_t^p . In particular, F_t^2 is a *reproducing kernel Hilbert space*:

This shows that point evaluation are continuous linear functionals on F_t^p . In particular, F_t^2 is a *reproducing kernel Hilbert space*: By Riesz' representation theorem, there exist $K_z^t \in F_t^2$ such that

 $f(z) = \langle f, K_z^t \rangle_t, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}^n.$

This shows that point evaluation are continuous linear functionals on F_t^p . In particular, F_t^2 is a *reproducing kernel Hilbert space*: By Riesz' representation theorem, there exist $K_z^t \in F_t^2$ such that

$$f(z) = \langle f, K_z^t \rangle_t, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}^n.$$

One can show that the reproducing kernel functions K_z^t are given by

$$K_z^t(w) = e^{\frac{w\cdot \overline{z}}{t}}.$$

This shows that point evaluation are continuous linear functionals on F_t^p . In particular, F_t^2 is a *reproducing kernel Hilbert space*: By Riesz' representation theorem, there exist $K_z^t \in F_t^2$ such that

$$f(z) = \langle f, K_z^t \rangle_t, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}^n.$$

One can show that the reproducing kernel functions K_z^t are given by

$$K_z^t(w) = e^{\frac{w \cdot \overline{z}}{t}}.$$

Explicit computations show that $K_z^t \in F_t^p$ for any p. In particular,

$$f(z) = \langle f, K_z^t \rangle_t$$

extends to any $f \in F_t^p$.

.

A neat thing to know is the following: Every $A \in \mathcal{L}(F_t^p)$ is actually an integral operator:

$$Af(z) = \langle Af, K_z^t \rangle_t = \langle f, A^* K_z^t \rangle_t$$

A neat thing to know is the following: Every $A \in \mathcal{L}(F_t^p)$ is actually an integral operator:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathsf{A}f(z) &= \langle \mathsf{A}f, \mathsf{K}_z^t \rangle_t = \langle f, \mathsf{A}^* \mathsf{K}_z^t \rangle_t \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} f(w) \overline{\mathsf{A}^* \mathsf{K}_z^t(w)} \ d\mu_t(w) \end{aligned}$$

A neat thing to know is the following: Every $A \in \mathcal{L}(F_t^p)$ is actually an integral operator:

$$\begin{aligned} Af(z) &= \langle Af, K_z^t \rangle_t = \langle f, A^* K_z^t \rangle_t \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} f(w) \overline{A^* K_z^t(w)} \ d\mu_t(w) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} f(w) \overline{\langle A^* K_z^t, K_w^t \rangle_t} \ d\mu_t(w) \end{aligned}$$

A neat thing to know is the following: Every $A \in \mathcal{L}(F_t^p)$ is actually an integral operator:

$$\begin{aligned} Af(z) &= \langle Af, K_z^t \rangle_t = \langle f, A^* K_z^t \rangle_t \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} f(w) \overline{A^* K_z^t(w)} \ d\mu_t(w) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} f(w) \overline{\langle A^* K_z^t, K_w^t \rangle_t} \ d\mu_t(w) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} f(w) \langle AK_w^t, K_z^t \rangle_t \ d\mu_t(w). \end{aligned}$$

This will turn out useful later!

The Bargmann transform is the isometric isomorphism $B_t: L^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \to F_t^2$ given by

$$B_t f(z) = \left(\frac{2}{\pi t}\right)^{n/4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x) e^{2\frac{x \cdot z}{t} - \frac{x \cdot x}{t} - \frac{z \cdot z}{t}} dx$$

The Bargmann transform is the isometric isomorphism $B_t: L^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \to F_t^2$ given by

$$B_t f(z) = \left(\frac{2}{\pi t}\right)^{n/4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x) e^{2\frac{x \cdot z}{t} - \frac{x \cdot x}{t} - \frac{z \cdot z}{t}} dx$$

The inverse can be explicitly written as

$$B_t^{-1}g(x) = \left(\frac{2}{\pi t}\right)^{n/4} \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} g(z) e^{2\frac{x\cdot\overline{z}}{t} - \frac{x\cdot x}{t} - \frac{\overline{z}\cdot\overline{z}}{2t}} d\mu_t(z).$$

The Bargmann transform is the isometric isomorphism $B_t: L^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \to F_t^2$ given by

$$B_t f(z) = \left(\frac{2}{\pi t}\right)^{n/4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x) e^{2\frac{x \cdot z}{t} - \frac{x \cdot x}{t} - \frac{z \cdot z}{t}} dx.$$

The inverse can be explicitly written as

$$B_t^{-1}g(x) = \left(\frac{2}{\pi t}\right)^{n/4} \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} g(z) e^{2\frac{x\cdot\overline{z}}{t} - \frac{x\cdot x}{t} - \frac{\overline{z}\cdot\overline{z}}{2t}} d\mu_t(z).$$

How do the F_t^p spaces transform under B_t^{-1} ?

The Bargmann transform is the isometric isomorphism $B_t : L^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \to F_t^2$ given by

$$B_t f(z) = \left(\frac{2}{\pi t}\right)^{n/4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x) e^{2\frac{x \cdot z}{t} - \frac{x \cdot x}{t} - \frac{z \cdot z}{t}} dx.$$

The inverse can be explicitly written as

$$B_t^{-1}g(x) = \left(\frac{2}{\pi t}\right)^{n/4} \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} g(z) e^{2\frac{x\cdot\overline{z}}{t} - \frac{x\cdot x}{t} - \frac{\overline{z}\cdot\overline{z}}{2t}} d\mu_t(z).$$

How do the F_t^p spaces transform under B_t^{-1} ?

Theorem (Bargmann, Feichtinger, Gröchenig, Toft) B_t^{-1} is an isometric isomorphism from F_t^p to the modulation space $M^{p,p}$.

The orthogonal projection $P_t: L^2_t \to F^2_t$ is given by

$$P_t f(z) = \langle P_t f, K_z^t \rangle_t = \langle f, P_t K_z^t \rangle_t$$

The orthogonal projection $P_t: L^2_t \to F^2_t$ is given by

$$P_t f(z) = \langle P_t f, K_z^t \rangle_t = \langle f, P_t K_z^t \rangle_t = \langle f, K_z^t \rangle_t$$

The orthogonal projection $P_t: L^2_t \to F^2_t$ is given by

$$P_t f(z) = \langle P_t f, K_z^t \rangle_t = \langle f, P_t K_z^t \rangle_t = \langle f, K_z^t \rangle_t = \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} f(w) e^{\frac{z \cdot \overline{w}}{t}} d\mu_t(w).$$

The orthogonal projection $P_t: L^2_t \to F^2_t$ is given by

$$P_t f(z) = \langle P_t f, K_z^t \rangle_t = \langle f, P_t K_z^t \rangle_t \\ = \langle f, K_z^t \rangle_t \\ = \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} f(w) e^{\frac{z \cdot \overline{w}}{t}} d\mu_t(w).$$

Theorem

 P_t , considered as the above integral operator, gives a bounded projection from L_t^p onto F_t^p .

For appropriate $f : \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{C}$, say $f \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{C}^n)$, the Toeplitz operator $T_f^t \in \mathcal{L}(F_t^p)$ is given by

$$T_f^t g = P_t(fg).$$
For appropriate $f : \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{C}$, say $f \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{C}^n)$, the Toeplitz operator $T_f^t \in \mathcal{L}(F_t^p)$ is given by

$$T_f^t g = P_t(fg).$$

We will also encounter the *Berezin transform*: For $A \in \mathcal{L}(F_t^p)$, set

$$\widetilde{A}(z) := \langle Ak_z^t, k_z^t \rangle_t.$$

For appropriate $f : \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{C}$, say $f \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{C}^n)$, the Toeplitz operator $T_f^t \in \mathcal{L}(F_t^p)$ is given by

$$T_f^t g = P_t(fg).$$

We will also encounter the *Berezin transform*: For $A \in \mathcal{L}(F_t^p)$, set

$$\widetilde{A}(z) := \langle Ak_z^t, k_z^t \rangle_t.$$

Here, k_z^t is the normalized reproducing kernel:

$$k_z^t(w) = \frac{K_z^t(w)}{\|K_z^t\|} = e^{\frac{w\cdot\overline{z}}{t} - \frac{|z|^2}{2t}}$$

For appropriate $f : \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{C}$, say $f \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{C}^n)$, the Toeplitz operator $T_f^t \in \mathcal{L}(F_t^p)$ is given by

$$T_f^t g = P_t(fg).$$

We will also encounter the *Berezin transform*: For $A \in \mathcal{L}(F_t^p)$, set

$$\widetilde{A}(z) := \langle Ak_z^t, k_z^t \rangle_t.$$

Here, k_z^t is the normalized reproducing kernel:

$$k_z^t(w) = \frac{K_z^t(w)}{\|K_z^t\|} = e^{\frac{w\cdot\overline{z}}{t} - \frac{|z|^2}{2t}}$$

 $A \mapsto \widetilde{A}$ is injective!

The Weyl operators W_z^t $(z\in\mathbb{C}^n)$ are given by

$$W_z^t g(w) = k_z^t(w)g(w-z).$$

The Weyl operators W_z^t $(z\in\mathbb{C}^n)$ are given by

$$W_z^t g(w) = k_z^t(w)g(w-z).$$

They are isometric on F_t^p and satisfy $(W_z^t)^* = W_{-z}^t$ and

$$W_z^t W_w^t = e^{-\frac{i \operatorname{Im}(z \cdot \overline{w})}{t}} W_{z+w}^t.$$

The Weyl operators W_z^t ($z \in \mathbb{C}^n$) are given by

$$W_z^t g(w) = k_z^t(w)g(w-z).$$

They are isometric on F_t^p and satisfy $(W_z^t)^* = W_{-z}^t$ and

$$W_z^t W_w^t = e^{-\frac{i \operatorname{Im}(z \cdot \overline{w})}{t}} W_{z+w}^t.$$

Finally, we will encounter the parity operator Uf(z) = f(-z).

On the classical side, QHA in the Fock space picture works completely analogous on $L^1(\mathbb{C}^n) = L^1(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$ and $L^{\infty}(\mathbb{C}^n) = L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$, respectively.

On the classical side, QHA in the Fock space picture works completely analogous on $L^1(\mathbb{C}^n) = L^1(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$ and $L^\infty(\mathbb{C}^n) = L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$, respectively. On the "quantum side", we will use the group action of \mathbb{C}^n on $\mathcal{L}(F_t^p)$ by

 $\alpha_z(A) = W_z^t A W_{-z}^t.$

An operator $A \in \mathcal{L}(F_t^p)$ is called *nuclear*, write $A \in \mathcal{N}(F_t^p)$, if there are $f_j \in F_t^p$, $g_j \in F_t^q$ such that

An operator $A \in \mathcal{L}(F_t^p)$ is called *nuclear*, write $A \in \mathcal{N}(F_t^p)$, if there are $f_j \in F_t^p$, $g_j \in F_t^q$ such that

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \|f_j\|_{F_t^p} \|g_j\|_{F_t^q} < \infty$$
 (1)

An operator $A \in \mathcal{L}(F_t^p)$ is called *nuclear*, write $A \in \mathcal{N}(F_t^p)$, if there are $f_j \in F_t^p$, $g_j \in F_t^q$ such that

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \|f_j\|_{F_t^p} \|g_j\|_{F_t^q} < \infty$$
(1)

and

$$Ah = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \langle h, g_j \rangle_t f_j.$$
⁽²⁾

An operator $A \in \mathcal{L}(F_t^p)$ is called *nuclear*, write $A \in \mathcal{N}(F_t^p)$, if there are $f_j \in F_t^p$, $g_j \in F_t^q$ such that

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \|f_j\|_{F_t^p} \|g_j\|_{F_t^q} < \infty \tag{1}$$

and

$$Ah = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \langle h, g_j \rangle_t f_j.$$
⁽²⁾

 $\mathcal{N}(F_t^p)$ is a normed ideal in $\mathcal{L}(F_t^p)$ under the norm $\|A\|_{\mathcal{N}} := \inf\{(1); f_j, g_j \text{ satisfy } (2)\}.$

An operator $A \in \mathcal{L}(F_t^p)$ is called *nuclear*, write $A \in \mathcal{N}(F_t^p)$, if there are $f_j \in F_t^p$, $g_j \in F_t^q$ such that

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \|f_j\|_{F_t^p} \|g_j\|_{F_t^q} < \infty \tag{1}$$

and

$$Ah = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \langle h, g_j \rangle_t f_j.$$
⁽²⁾

 $\mathcal{N}(F_t^p)$ is a normed ideal in $\mathcal{L}(F_t^p)$ under the norm

$$\|A\|_{\mathcal{N}} := \inf\{(1); f_j, g_j \text{ satisfy } (2)\}.$$

 $\mathcal{N}(F_t^p)$ comes with the *nuclear trace*:

$$tr(A) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \langle f_j, g_j \rangle_t.$$

$$f * g(z) := \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} f(w)g(z-w) dw,$$

$$f * g(z) := \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} f(w)g(z-w) dw,$$

$$f * A := \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} f(w)\alpha_w(A) dw,$$

$$f * g(z) := \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} f(w)g(z-w) dw,$$

$$f * A := \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} f(w)\alpha_w(A) dw,$$

$$A * f := f * A,$$

$$f * g(z) := \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} f(w)g(z - w) dw,$$

$$f * A := \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} f(w)\alpha_w(A) dw,$$

$$A * f := f * A,$$

$$A * B(z) := tr(AW_z^t UBUW_{-z}^t).$$

On this level, all the properties of QHA we have encountered in the Schrödinger picture carry over. Almost all properties follow the same proof.

On this level, all the properties of QHA we have encountered in the Schrödinger picture carry over. Almost all properties follow the same proof. One notable exception: The properties of A * B,

$$\|A * B\|_{L^1} \leq (\pi t)^n \|A\|_{\mathcal{N}} \|B\|_{\mathcal{N}},$$

 $\int_{\mathbb{C}^n} A * B(z) \ dz = (\pi t)^n tr(A) tr(B),$

need a new proof (unless p = 2, then the same proof can be utilized).

On this level, all the properties of QHA we have encountered in the Schrödinger picture carry over. Almost all properties follow the same proof. One notable exception: The properties of A * B,

$$\|A * B\|_{L^1} \leq (\pi t)^n \|A\|_{\mathcal{N}} \|B\|_{\mathcal{N}},$$

 $\int_{\mathbb{C}^n} A * B(z) \ dz = (\pi t)^n tr(A) tr(B),$

need a new proof (unless p = 2, then the same proof can be utilized). Idea: Verify the identity by direct computations for $A = f_1 \otimes g_1$ and $B = f_2 \otimes g_2$, where $f_j, g_j \in \mathcal{P}[z_1, \ldots, z_n]$. This is done by somewhat lengthy computations involving the reproducing kernel structure.

On this level, all the properties of QHA we have encountered in the Schrödinger picture carry over. Almost all properties follow the same proof. One notable exception: The properties of A * B,

$$\|A * B\|_{L^1} \le (\pi t)^n \|A\|_{\mathcal{N}} \|B\|_{\mathcal{N}},$$
$$\int_{\mathbb{C}^n} A * B(z) \ dz = (\pi t)^n tr(A) tr(B),$$

need a new proof (unless p = 2, then the same proof can be utilized). Idea: Verify the identity by direct computations for $A = f_1 \otimes g_1$ and $B = f_2 \otimes g_2$, where $f_j, g_j \in \mathcal{P}[z_1, \ldots, z_n]$. This is done by somewhat lengthy computations involving the reproducing kernel structure. Then, use that finite rank operators are dense in $\mathcal{N}(F_t^p)$ and polynomials are dense in F_t^p .

Since F_t^p is reflexive, we can identify $\mathcal{N}(F_t^p)' \cong \mathcal{L}(F_t^p)$ under the trace duality:

Since F_t^p is reflexive, we can identify $\mathcal{N}(F_t^p)' \cong \mathcal{L}(F_t^p)$ under the trace duality: Given $A \in \mathcal{L}(F_t^p)$,

$$B \mapsto tr(AB)$$

is a bounded linear functional on $\mathcal{N}(F_t^p)$, and this is all of $\mathcal{N}(F_t^p)'$.

Since F_t^p is reflexive, we can identify $\mathcal{N}(F_t^p)' \cong \mathcal{L}(F_t^p)$ under the trace duality: Given $A \in \mathcal{L}(F_t^p)$,

$$B \mapsto tr(AB)$$

is a bounded linear functional on $\mathcal{N}(F_t^p)$, and this is all of $\mathcal{N}(F_t^p)'$. In particular, we can continue the convolution operators of QHA to one factor being in $L^{\infty}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ or $\mathcal{L}(F_t^p)$ by the same duality arguments.

As in the Schrödinger picture, we consider the strongly continuous elements of the group actions:

As in the Schrödinger picture, we consider the strongly continuous elements of the group actions:

$$C_0 := \{ f \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{C}^n); \ z \mapsto \alpha_z(f) \text{ is } \| \cdot \|_{\infty}\text{-cont.} \}$$

= BUC(\mathbb{C}^n)
$$C_1^{p,t} := \{ A \in \mathcal{L}(F_t^p); z \mapsto \alpha_z(A) \text{ is } \| \cdot \|_{op}\text{-cont.} \}$$

Correspondence Theory

Let $\mathcal{D}_0 \subset L^{\infty}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ and $\mathcal{D}_1 \subset \mathcal{L}(F_t^p)$ be α -invariant. $(\mathcal{D}_0, \mathcal{D}_1)$ is said to be a pair of *Corresponding Spaces* if:

Correspondence Theory

Let $\mathcal{D}_0 \subset L^{\infty}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ and $\mathcal{D}_1 \subset \mathcal{L}(F_t^p)$ be α -invariant. $(\mathcal{D}_0, \mathcal{D}_1)$ is said to be a pair of *Corresponding Spaces* if:

 $\mathcal{N}(F_t^p) * \mathcal{D}_0 \subset \mathcal{D}_1, \quad \mathcal{N}(F_t^p) * \mathcal{D}_1 \subset \mathcal{D}_0.$

Correspondence Theory

Let $\mathcal{D}_0 \subset L^{\infty}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ and $\mathcal{D}_1 \subset \mathcal{L}(F_t^p)$ be α -invariant. $(\mathcal{D}_0, \mathcal{D}_1)$ is said to be a pair of *Corresponding Spaces* if:

$$\mathcal{N}(F_t^{p}) * \mathcal{D}_0 \subset \mathcal{D}_1, \quad \mathcal{N}(F_t^{p}) * \mathcal{D}_1 \subset \mathcal{D}_0.$$

Besides some general properties of such pairs, R. F. Werner proved that there is a 1:1 correspondence between certain spaces on the classical and operator side in the above sense.

Let $\mathcal{D}_0 \subset L^{\infty}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ and $\mathcal{D}_1 \subset \mathcal{L}(F_t^p)$ be α -invariant. $(\mathcal{D}_0, \mathcal{D}_1)$ is said to be a pair of *Corresponding Spaces* if:

$$\mathcal{N}(F_t^{\rho}) * \mathcal{D}_0 \subset \mathcal{D}_1, \quad \mathcal{N}(F_t^{\rho}) * \mathcal{D}_1 \subset \mathcal{D}_0.$$

Besides some general properties of such pairs, R. F. Werner proved that there is a 1:1 correspondence between certain spaces on the classical and operator side in the above sense. For this, recall that $A \in \mathcal{N}(F_t^p)$ is called a *regular operator* if span{ $\alpha_z(A)$; $z \in \mathbb{C}^n$ } is dense in $\mathcal{N}(F_t^p)$.

Theorem (R.F. Werner, 1984)

Theorem (R.F. Werner, 1984)

• If $\mathcal{D}_0 \subset BUC(\mathbb{C}^n)$ is a closed and α -invariant subspace, then there is exactly one closed, α -invariant subspace $\mathcal{D}_1 \subset \mathcal{C}_1^{p,t}$ such that \mathcal{D}_0 and \mathcal{D}_1 are corresponding spaces.

Theorem (R.F. Werner, 1984)

- If $\mathcal{D}_0 \subset BUC(\mathbb{C}^n)$ is a closed and α -invariant subspace, then there is exactly one closed, α -invariant subspace $\mathcal{D}_1 \subset \mathcal{C}_1^{p,t}$ such that \mathcal{D}_0 and \mathcal{D}_1 are corresponding spaces.
- If D₁ ⊂ C₁^{p,t} is a closed and α-invariant subspace, then there is exactly one closed, α-invariant subspace D₀ ⊂ BUC(ℂⁿ) such that D₀ and D₁ are corresponding spaces.

Theorem (R.F. Werner, 1984)

- If $\mathcal{D}_0 \subset BUC(\mathbb{C}^n)$ is a closed and α -invariant subspace, then there is exactly one closed, α -invariant subspace $\mathcal{D}_1 \subset \mathcal{C}_1^{p,t}$ such that \mathcal{D}_0 and \mathcal{D}_1 are corresponding spaces.
- If D₁ ⊂ C₁^{p,t} is a closed and α-invariant subspace, then there is exactly one closed, α-invariant subspace D₀ ⊂ BUC(ℂⁿ) such that D₀ and D₁ are corresponding spaces.
- Let A be a regular operator. Then, the corresponding spaces above are given by

$$\mathcal{D}_1 = \overline{A * \mathcal{D}_0}, \quad \mathcal{D}_0 = \overline{A * \mathcal{D}_1}$$

• Let A be a regular operator, \mathcal{D}_0 , \mathcal{D}_1 as above and $f \in BUC(\mathbb{C}^n)$, $B \in \mathcal{C}_1^{p,t}$. Then, we have:

 $f \in \mathcal{D}_0 \Leftrightarrow A * f \in \mathcal{D}_1, \quad B \in \mathcal{D}_1 \Leftrightarrow B * A \in \mathcal{D}_0.$

Toeplitz operators and QHA

The connection between Toeplitz operators and QHA is now given as follows: For $f \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ it is:

$$(1\otimes 1)*f=(\pi t)^nT_f^t.$$
The connection between Toeplitz operators and QHA is now given as follows: For $f \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ it is:

$$(1\otimes 1)*f=(\pi t)^nT_f^t.$$

In particular, $T_f^t \in \mathcal{C}_1^{p,t}$!

The connection between Toeplitz operators and QHA is now given as follows: For $f \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ it is:

 $(1 \otimes 1) * f = (\pi t)^n T_f^t.$ In particular, $T_f^t \in \mathcal{C}_1^{p,t}$! Further, for $A \in \mathcal{L}(F_t^p)$: $(1 \otimes 1) * A = \widetilde{A}.$

The connection between Toeplitz operators and QHA is now given as follows: For $f \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ it is:

$$(1 \otimes 1) * f = (\pi t)^n T_f^t.$$

In particular, $T_f^t \in \mathcal{C}_1^{p,t}!$ Further, for $A \in \mathcal{L}(F_t^p)$:
 $(1 \otimes 1) * A = \widetilde{A}.$

Since the Berezin transform

$$\mathcal{L}(F^p_t) o L^\infty(\mathbb{C}^n) \ A \mapsto \widetilde{A} = (1 \otimes 1) * A$$

is injective,

The connection between Toeplitz operators and QHA is now given as follows: For $f \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ it is:

$$(1 \otimes 1) * f = (\pi t)^n T_f^t.$$

In particular, $T_f^t \in \mathcal{C}_1^{p,t}!$ Further, for $A \in \mathcal{L}(F_t^p)$:
 $(1 \otimes 1) * A = \widetilde{A}.$

Since the Berezin transform

$$\mathcal{L}(F_t^p) o L^\infty(\mathbb{C}^n)$$

 $A \mapsto \widetilde{A} = (1 \otimes 1) * A$

is injective, its pre-dual

$$L^{1}(\mathbb{C}^{n}) \to \mathcal{N}(F_{t}^{p})$$
$$f \mapsto (1 \otimes 1) * f$$

has dense range.

It is not hard to see that

 $\{(1 \otimes 1) * f; f \in L^1(\mathbb{C}^n)\} \subset \overline{\operatorname{span}}\{\alpha_z(1 \otimes 1); z \in \mathbb{C}^n\}.$

It is not hard to see that

 $\{(1 \otimes 1) * f; f \in L^1(\mathbb{C}^n)\} \subset \overline{\operatorname{span}}\{\alpha_z(1 \otimes 1); z \in \mathbb{C}^n\}.$

Hence, the operator $1\otimes 1$ is regular. This shows the Toeplitz version of the Correspondence Theorem:

It is not hard to see that

 $\{(1 \otimes 1) * f; f \in L^1(\mathbb{C}^n)\} \subset \overline{\operatorname{span}}\{\alpha_z(1 \otimes 1); z \in \mathbb{C}^n\}.$

Hence, the operator $1\otimes 1$ is regular. This shows the Toeplitz version of the Correspondence Theorem:

Theorem (Correspondence Theorem - Toeplitz operator version) Let $A \in C_1^{p,t}$ and $\mathcal{D}_0 \subset BUC(\mathbb{C}^n)$ an α -invariant and closed subspace. Then,

 $A \in \mathcal{T}_{lin}^{p,t}(\mathcal{D}_0) \Leftrightarrow \widetilde{A} \in \mathcal{D}_0.$

It is not hard to see that

 $\{(1 \otimes 1) * f; f \in L^1(\mathbb{C}^n)\} \subset \overline{\operatorname{span}}\{\alpha_z(1 \otimes 1); \ z \in \mathbb{C}^n\}.$

Hence, the operator $1 \otimes 1$ is regular. This shows the Toeplitz version of the Correspondence Theorem:

Theorem (Correspondence Theorem - Toeplitz operator version) Let $A \in C_1^{p,t}$ and $\mathcal{D}_0 \subset BUC(\mathbb{C}^n)$ an α -invariant and closed subspace. Then,

$$A \in \mathcal{T}_{lin}^{p,t}(\mathcal{D}_0) \Leftrightarrow \widetilde{A} \in \mathcal{D}_0.$$

Here, we used the notation

$$\mathcal{T}_{lin}^{p,t}(\mathcal{D}_0) := \overline{\{T_f^t \in \mathcal{L}(F_t^p); \ f \in \mathcal{D}_0\}}.$$

It is not hard to see that

 $\{(1 \otimes 1) * f; f \in L^1(\mathbb{C}^n)\} \subset \overline{\operatorname{span}}\{\alpha_z(1 \otimes 1); z \in \mathbb{C}^n\}.$

Hence, the operator $1 \otimes 1$ is regular. This shows the Toeplitz version of the Correspondence Theorem:

Theorem (Correspondence Theorem - Toeplitz operator version) Let $A \in C_1^{p,t}$ and $\mathcal{D}_0 \subset BUC(\mathbb{C}^n)$ an α -invariant and closed subspace. Then,

$$A \in \mathcal{T}_{lin}^{p,t}(\mathcal{D}_0) \Leftrightarrow \widetilde{A} \in \mathcal{D}_0.$$

Here, we used the notation

$$\mathcal{T}_{lin}^{p,t}(\mathcal{D}_0) := \overline{\{T_f^t \in \mathcal{L}(F_t^p); \ f \in \mathcal{D}_0\}}.$$

Let us give some simple applications of QHA to the theory of Toeplitz operators!

We set $\mathcal{T}^{p,t} := \overline{\operatorname{Alg}} \{ T_f^t \in \mathcal{L}(F_t^p); f \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{C}^n) \} \subset \mathcal{C}_1^{p,t}.$

We set
$$\mathcal{T}^{p,t} := \overline{\text{Alg}} \{ T_f^t \in \mathcal{L}(F_t^p); f \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{C}^n) \} \subset \mathcal{C}_1^{p,t}.$$

Theorem (J. Xia '15, RF '20)
It is

$$\mathcal{T}^{p,t} = \mathcal{T}_{lin}^{p,t}(\mathsf{BUC}(\mathbb{C}^n)).$$

We set
$$\mathcal{T}^{p,t} := \overline{\operatorname{Alg}} \{ T_f^t \in \mathcal{L}(F_t^p); f \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{C}^n) \} \subset \mathcal{C}_1^{p,t}.$$

Theorem (J. Xia '15, RF '20)
It is

$$\mathcal{T}^{p,t} = \mathcal{T}^{p,t}_{lin}(\mathsf{BUC}(\mathbb{C}^n)).$$

Proof.

Let
$$A \in \mathcal{T}^{p,t}$$
. Then, $\widetilde{A} = (1 \otimes 1) * A \in \mathsf{BUC}(\mathbb{C}^n)$, hence $A \in \mathcal{T}_{lin}^{p,t}(\mathsf{BUC}(\mathbb{C}^n))$.

We set
$$\mathcal{T}^{p,t} := \overline{\operatorname{Alg}} \{ T_f^t \in \mathcal{L}(F_t^p); f \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{C}^n) \} \subset \mathcal{C}_1^{p,t}.$$

Theorem (J. Xia '15, RF '20)

lt is

$$\mathcal{T}^{p,t} = \mathcal{T}_{lin}^{p,t}(\mathsf{BUC}(\mathbb{C}^n)).$$

Proof.

Let
$$A \in \mathcal{T}^{p,t}$$
. Then, $\widetilde{A} = (1 \otimes 1) * A \in \mathsf{BUC}(\mathbb{C}^n)$, hence $A \in \mathcal{T}_{lin}^{p,t}(\mathsf{BUC}(\mathbb{C}^n))$.

The initial proof by Xia only worked for p = 2 and filled a somewhat lengthy, very technical paper¹

¹J. Xia: Localization and the Toeplitz algebra on the Bergman space, 2015, J. Funct. Anal. 269:781-814

Note that the previous result implies that $\mathcal{T}^{p,t} = \mathcal{C}_1^{p,t}!$

Note that the previous result implies that $\mathcal{T}^{p,t} = \mathcal{C}_1^{p,t}$!

Theorem (W. Bauer, J. Isralowitz '12) Let $A \in \mathcal{L}(F_t^p)$. Then, $A \in \mathcal{K}(F_t^p) \Leftrightarrow A \in \mathcal{T}^{p,t} \text{ and } \widetilde{A} \in C_0(\mathbb{C}^n).$

QHA shows that $T_f^t \in \mathcal{K}(F_t^p)$ whenever $f \in C_c(\mathbb{C}^n)$.

QHA shows that $T^t_f \in \mathcal{K}(F^p_t)$ whenever $f \in C_c(\mathbb{C}^n)$. Hence, we obtain

 $\mathcal{T}_{lin}^{p,t}(C_0(\mathbb{C}^n)) \subset \mathcal{K}(F_t^p).$

QHA shows that $T_f^t \in \mathcal{K}(F_t^p)$ whenever $f \in C_c(\mathbb{C}^n)$. Hence, we obtain

$$\mathcal{T}_{lin}^{p,t}(C_0(\mathbb{C}^n)) \subset \mathcal{K}(F_t^p).$$

Further, $\widetilde{A} \in C_0(\mathbb{C}^n)$ whenever $A \in \mathcal{K}(F_t^p)$: This is because $k_z^t \xrightarrow{w} 0$ as $|z| \to \infty$.

QHA shows that $T_f^t \in \mathcal{K}(F_t^p)$ whenever $f \in C_c(\mathbb{C}^n)$. Hence, we obtain

$$\mathcal{T}_{lin}^{p,t}(C_0(\mathbb{C}^n)) \subset \mathcal{K}(F_t^p).$$

Further, $\widetilde{A} \in C_0(\mathbb{C}^n)$ whenever $A \in \mathcal{K}(F_t^p)$: This is because $k_z^t \xrightarrow{w} 0$ as $|z| \to \infty$. Since $\mathcal{K}(F_t^p) \subset C_1^{p,t}$,

QHA shows that $T_f^t \in \mathcal{K}(F_t^p)$ whenever $f \in C_c(\mathbb{C}^n)$. Hence, we obtain

$$\mathcal{T}_{lin}^{p,t}(C_0(\mathbb{C}^n)) \subset \mathcal{K}(F_t^p).$$

Further, $\widetilde{A} \in C_0(\mathbb{C}^n)$ whenever $A \in \mathcal{K}(F_t^p)$: This is because $k_z^t \xrightarrow{w} 0$ as $|z| \to \infty$. Since $\mathcal{K}(F_t^p) \subset C_1^{p,t}$, we obtain that

$$\mathcal{K}(F_t^p) = \mathcal{T}_{lin}^{p,t}(C_0(\mathbb{C}^n)).$$

QHA shows that $T_f^t \in \mathcal{K}(F_t^p)$ whenever $f \in C_c(\mathbb{C}^n)$. Hence, we obtain

$$\mathcal{T}_{lin}^{p,t}(C_0(\mathbb{C}^n)) \subset \mathcal{K}(F_t^p).$$

Further, $\widetilde{A} \in C_0(\mathbb{C}^n)$ whenever $A \in \mathcal{K}(F_t^p)$: This is because $k_z^t \xrightarrow{w} 0$ as $|z| \to \infty$. Since $\mathcal{K}(F_t^p) \subset \mathcal{C}_1^{p,t}$, we obtain that

$$\mathcal{K}(F_t^p) = \mathcal{T}_{lin}^{p,t}(C_0(\mathbb{C}^n)).$$

Now, apply the Correspondence Theorem to finish the proof.

QHA shows that $T_f^t \in \mathcal{K}(F_t^p)$ whenever $f \in C_c(\mathbb{C}^n)$. Hence, we obtain

$$\mathcal{T}_{lin}^{p,t}(C_0(\mathbb{C}^n)) \subset \mathcal{K}(F_t^p).$$

Further, $\widetilde{A} \in C_0(\mathbb{C}^n)$ whenever $A \in \mathcal{K}(F_t^p)$: This is because $k_z^t \xrightarrow{w} 0$ as $|z| \to \infty$. Since $\mathcal{K}(F_t^p) \subset C_1^{p,t}$, we obtain that

$$\mathcal{K}(F_t^p) = \mathcal{T}_{lin}^{p,t}(C_0(\mathbb{C}^n)).$$

Now, apply the Correspondence Theorem to finish the proof.

Note that this argument involving the Correspondence Theorem is also significantly shorter than the original proof²

 $^{^{2}}$ W. Bauer, J. Isralowitz: Compactness characterization of operators in the Toeplitz algebra of the Fock space F^{p}_{α} , 2012, J. Funct. Anal. 263:1323-1355

There are several other things QHA can contribute to the theory of Toeplitz operators. Now we want to ask: What can Toeplitz operators provide to the theory of QHA?

There are several other things QHA can contribute to the theory of Toeplitz operators. Now we want to ask: What can Toeplitz operators provide to the theory of QHA?

There are several simple properties that "go through the correspondence": If $\mathcal{D}_0 \subset BUC(\mathbb{C}^n)$, $\mathcal{D}_1 \subset \mathcal{C}_1^{p,t}$ closed, α -invariant corresponding spaces, then:

There are several other things QHA can contribute to the theory of Toeplitz operators. Now we want to ask: What can Toeplitz operators provide to the theory of QHA?

There are several simple properties that "go through the correspondence": If $\mathcal{D}_0 \subset BUC(\mathbb{C}^n)$, $\mathcal{D}_1 \subset \mathcal{C}_1^{p,t}$ closed, α -invariant corresponding spaces, then:

• \mathcal{D}_0 is *U*-invariant iff \mathcal{D}_1 is *U*-invariant;

There are several other things QHA can contribute to the theory of Toeplitz operators. Now we want to ask: What can Toeplitz operators provide to the theory of QHA?

There are several simple properties that "go through the correspondence": If $\mathcal{D}_0 \subset BUC(\mathbb{C}^n)$, $\mathcal{D}_1 \subset \mathcal{C}_1^{p,t}$ closed, α -invariant corresponding spaces, then:

- \mathcal{D}_0 is *U*-invariant iff \mathcal{D}_1 is *U*-invariant;
- \mathcal{D}_0 is self-adjoint iff \mathcal{D}_1 is self-adjoint (p = 2);

There are several other things QHA can contribute to the theory of Toeplitz operators. Now we want to ask: What can Toeplitz operators provide to the theory of QHA?

There are several simple properties that "go through the correspondence": If $\mathcal{D}_0 \subset BUC(\mathbb{C}^n)$, $\mathcal{D}_1 \subset \mathcal{C}_1^{p,t}$ closed, α -invariant corresponding spaces, then:

- \mathcal{D}_0 is *U*-invariant iff \mathcal{D}_1 is *U*-invariant;
- \mathcal{D}_0 is self-adjoint iff \mathcal{D}_1 is self-adjoint (p = 2);
- \mathcal{D}_0 contains 1 iff \mathcal{D}_1 contains 1;

• . . .

There are several other things QHA can contribute to the theory of Toeplitz operators. Now we want to ask: What can Toeplitz operators provide to the theory of QHA?

There are several simple properties that "go through the correspondence": If $\mathcal{D}_0 \subset BUC(\mathbb{C}^n)$, $\mathcal{D}_1 \subset \mathcal{C}_1^{p,t}$ closed, α -invariant corresponding spaces, then:

- \mathcal{D}_0 is *U*-invariant iff \mathcal{D}_1 is *U*-invariant;
- \mathcal{D}_0 is self-adjoint iff \mathcal{D}_1 is self-adjoint (p = 2);
- \mathcal{D}_0 contains 1 iff \mathcal{D}_1 contains 1;

• . . .

Werner's original paper contains a long list off properties that are "correspondence invariant". Nevertheless, he struggled with one particular property:

There are several other things QHA can contribute to the theory of Toeplitz operators. Now we want to ask: What can Toeplitz operators provide to the theory of QHA?

There are several simple properties that "go through the correspondence": If $\mathcal{D}_0 \subset BUC(\mathbb{C}^n)$, $\mathcal{D}_1 \subset \mathcal{C}_1^{p,t}$ closed, α -invariant corresponding spaces, then:

- \mathcal{D}_0 is *U*-invariant iff \mathcal{D}_1 is *U*-invariant;
- \mathcal{D}_0 is self-adjoint iff \mathcal{D}_1 is self-adjoint (p = 2);
- \mathcal{D}_0 contains 1 iff \mathcal{D}_1 contains 1;

• . . .

Werner's original paper contains a long list off properties that are

"correspondence invariant". Nevertheless, he struggled with one particular property:

It would be particularly interesting with what qualifications (if any) "a C*-algebra" can be added to the above list.

Theorem (RF '20, S. Wu - X. Zhao '21)

Let $\mathcal{D}_0 \subset BUC(\mathbb{C}^n)$ be closed and α -invariant. Then, the following are equivalent:

Theorem (RF '20, S. Wu - X. Zhao '21)

Let $\mathcal{D}_0 \subset BUC(\mathbb{C}^n)$ be closed and α -invariant. Then, the following are equivalent:

• \mathcal{D}_0 is a Banach algebra;

Theorem (RF '20, S. Wu - X. Zhao '21)

Let $\mathcal{D}_0 \subset \mathsf{BUC}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ be closed and α -invariant. Then, the following are equivalent:

- \mathcal{D}_0 is a Banach algebra;
- $\mathcal{T}_{lin}^{p,t}(\mathcal{D}_0)$ is a Banach algebra for all p and all t > 0;

Theorem (RF '20, S. Wu - X. Zhao '21)

Let $\mathcal{D}_0 \subset \mathsf{BUC}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ be closed and α -invariant. Then, the following are equivalent:

- \mathcal{D}_0 is a Banach algebra;
- $\mathcal{T}_{lin}^{p,t}(\mathcal{D}_0)$ is a Banach algebra for all p and all t > 0;
- $\mathcal{T}_{lin}^{2,t}(\mathcal{D}_0)$ is a Banach algebra for all t > 0.

Theorem (RF '20, S. Wu - X. Zhao '21)

Let $\mathcal{D}_0 \subset BUC(\mathbb{C}^n)$ be closed and α -invariant subalgebra. Further, let $\mathcal{I}_0 \subset \mathcal{D}_0$ be closed and α -invariant. Then, the following are equivalent:

Theorem (RF '20, S. Wu - X. Zhao '21)

Let $\mathcal{D}_0 \subset \mathsf{BUC}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ be closed and α -invariant subalgebra. Further, let $\mathcal{I}_0 \subset \mathcal{D}_0$ be closed and α -invariant. Then, the following are equivalent:

• \mathcal{I}_0 is an ideal in \mathcal{D}_0 ;

Theorem (RF '20, S. Wu - X. Zhao '21)

Let $\mathcal{D}_0 \subset BUC(\mathbb{C}^n)$ be closed and α -invariant subalgebra. Further, let $\mathcal{I}_0 \subset \mathcal{D}_0$ be closed and α -invariant. Then, the following are equivalent:

- \mathcal{I}_0 is an ideal in \mathcal{D}_0 ;
- $\mathcal{T}_{lin}^{p,t}(\mathcal{I}_0)$ is a left- or right-ideal in $\mathcal{T}_{lin}^{p,t}(\mathcal{D}_0)$ for all p and all t > 0;
Theorem (RF '20, S. Wu - X. Zhao '21)

Let $\mathcal{D}_0 \subset BUC(\mathbb{C}^n)$ be closed and α -invariant subalgebra. Further, let $\mathcal{I}_0 \subset \mathcal{D}_0$ be closed and α -invariant. Then, the following are equivalent:

- \mathcal{I}_0 is an ideal in \mathcal{D}_0 ;
- $\mathcal{T}_{lin}^{p,t}(\mathcal{I}_0)$ is a left- or right-ideal in $\mathcal{T}_{lin}^{p,t}(\mathcal{D}_0)$ for all p and all t > 0;
- $\mathcal{T}_{lin}^{2,t}(\mathcal{I}_0)$ is a left- or right-ideal in $\mathcal{T}_{lin}^{2,t}(\mathcal{D}_0)$ for all t > 0;

Theorem (RF '20, S. Wu - X. Zhao '21)

Let $\mathcal{D}_0 \subset BUC(\mathbb{C}^n)$ be closed and α -invariant subalgebra. Further, let $\mathcal{I}_0 \subset \mathcal{D}_0$ be closed and α -invariant. Then, the following are equivalent:

- \mathcal{I}_0 is an ideal in \mathcal{D}_0 ;
- $\mathcal{T}_{lin}^{p,t}(\mathcal{I}_0)$ is a left- or right-ideal in $\mathcal{T}_{lin}^{p,t}(\mathcal{D}_0)$ for all p and all t > 0;
- $\mathcal{T}_{lin}^{2,t}(\mathcal{I}_0)$ is a left- or right-ideal in $\mathcal{T}_{lin}^{2,t}(\mathcal{D}_0)$ for all t > 0;

For an improvement of the theorem, we introduce the following group action of \mathbb{R}_+ on $L^{\infty}(\mathbb{C}^n)$:

$$\delta_{\lambda}f(z) = f(\lambda z), \quad \lambda > 0.$$

Theorem (RF '20, S. Wu - X. Zhao '21)

Let $\mathcal{D}_0 \subset BUC(\mathbb{C}^n)$ be closed and α -invariant subalgebra. Further, let $\mathcal{I}_0 \subset \mathcal{D}_0$ be closed and α -invariant. Then, the following are equivalent:

- \mathcal{I}_0 is an ideal in \mathcal{D}_0 ;
- *T*^{p,t}_{lin}(*I*₀) is a left- or right-ideal in *T*^{p,t}_{lin}(*D*₀) for all p and all t > 0; *T*^{2,t}_{lin}(*I*₀) is a left- or right-ideal in *T*^{2,t}_{lin}(*D*₀) for all t > 0;

For an improvement of the theorem, we introduce the following group action of \mathbb{R}_+ on $L^{\infty}(\mathbb{C}^n)$:

$$\delta_{\lambda}f(z) = f(\lambda z), \quad \lambda > 0.$$

We say that a subspace $\mathcal{D}_0 \subset L^{\infty}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ is δ -invariant if $\delta_{\lambda} f \in \mathcal{D}_0$ whenever $f \in \mathcal{D}_0$, $\lambda > 0$.

Theorem (RF '21)

Let $\mathcal{D}_0 \subset \mathsf{BUC}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ be closed and both α - and δ -invariant. Then, TFAE:

Theorem (RF '21)

Let $\mathcal{D}_0 \subset \mathsf{BUC}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ be closed and both α - and δ -invariant. Then, TFAE:

• \mathcal{D}_0 is a Banach algebra;

Theorem (RF '21)

Let $\mathcal{D}_0 \subset \mathsf{BUC}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ be closed and both α - and δ -invariant. Then, TFAE:

- \mathcal{D}_0 is a Banach algebra;
- $\mathcal{T}_{lin}^{2,t_0}(\mathcal{D}_0)$ is a Banach algebra for one $t_0 > 0$.

Theorem (RF '21)

Let $\mathcal{D}_0 \subset \mathsf{BUC}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ be closed and both α - and δ -invariant. Then, TFAE:

- \mathcal{D}_0 is a Banach algebra;
- $\mathcal{T}_{lin}^{2,t_0}(\mathcal{D}_0)$ is a Banach algebra for one $t_0 > 0$.
- $\mathcal{T}_{lin}^{p,t}(\mathcal{D}_0)$ is a Banach algebra for all p and all t > 0

Theorem (RF '21)

Let $\mathcal{D}_0 \subset \mathsf{BUC}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ be closed and both α - and δ -invariant. Then, TFAE:

- \mathcal{D}_0 is a Banach algebra;
- $\mathcal{T}_{lin}^{2,t_0}(\mathcal{D}_0)$ is a Banach algebra for one $t_0 > 0$.
- $\mathcal{T}_{lin}^{p,t}(\mathcal{D}_0)$ is a Banach algebra for all p and all t > 0

If further $\mathcal{I}_0 \subset \mathcal{D}_0$ is closed and both α - and δ -invariant, then TFAE:

Theorem (RF '21)

Let $\mathcal{D}_0 \subset \mathsf{BUC}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ be closed and both α - and δ -invariant. Then, TFAE:

- \mathcal{D}_0 is a Banach algebra;
- $\mathcal{T}_{lin}^{2,t_0}(\mathcal{D}_0)$ is a Banach algebra for one $t_0 > 0$.
- $\mathcal{T}_{lin}^{p,t}(\mathcal{D}_0)$ is a Banach algebra for all p and all t > 0

If further $\mathcal{I}_0 \subset \mathcal{D}_0$ is closed and both α - and δ -invariant, then TFAE:

• \mathcal{I}_0 is an ideal in \mathcal{D}_0 ;

Theorem (RF '21)

Let $\mathcal{D}_0 \subset \mathsf{BUC}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ be closed and both α - and δ -invariant. Then, TFAE:

- \mathcal{D}_0 is a Banach algebra;
- $\mathcal{T}_{lin}^{2,t_0}(\mathcal{D}_0)$ is a Banach algebra for one $t_0 > 0$.
- $\mathcal{T}_{lin}^{p,t}(\mathcal{D}_0)$ is a Banach algebra for all p and all t > 0

If further $\mathcal{I}_0 \subset \mathcal{D}_0$ is closed and both α - and δ -invariant, then TFAE:

- \mathcal{I}_0 is an ideal in \mathcal{D}_0 ;
- $\mathcal{T}_{lin}^{2,t_0}(\mathcal{I}_0)$ is an ideal (left or right) in $\mathcal{T}_{lin}^{2,t_0}(\mathcal{D}_0)$ for one $t_0 > 0$;

Theorem (RF '21)

Let $\mathcal{D}_0 \subset \mathsf{BUC}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ be closed and both α - and δ -invariant. Then, TFAE:

- \mathcal{D}_0 is a Banach algebra;
- $\mathcal{T}_{lin}^{2,t_0}(\mathcal{D}_0)$ is a Banach algebra for one $t_0 > 0$.
- $\mathcal{T}_{lin}^{p,t}(\mathcal{D}_0)$ is a Banach algebra for all p and all t > 0

If further $\mathcal{I}_0 \subset \mathcal{D}_0$ is closed and both α - and δ -invariant, then TFAE:

- \mathcal{I}_0 is an ideal in \mathcal{D}_0 ;
- $\mathcal{T}_{lin}^{2,t_0}(\mathcal{I}_0)$ is an ideal (left or right) in $\mathcal{T}_{lin}^{2,t_0}(\mathcal{D}_0)$ for one $t_0 > 0$;
- $\mathcal{T}_{lin}^{p,t}(\mathcal{I}_0)$ is an ideal in $\mathcal{T}_{lin}^{p,t}(\mathcal{D}_0)$ for all p and all t > 0;

Theorem (RF '20, S. Wu - X. Zhao '21)

Let $\mathcal{D}_0 \subset \mathsf{BUC}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ be closed and α -invariant. Then, the following are equivalent:

- \mathcal{D}_0 is a Banach algebra;
- $\mathcal{T}_{lin}^{p,t}(\mathcal{D}_0)$ is a Banach algebra for any p and all t > 0;
- $\mathcal{T}_{lin}^{2,t}(\mathcal{D}_0)$ is a Banach algebra for all t > 0.

Let us sketch the proof of one of the statements. We want to show that if \mathcal{D}_0 is an α -invariant closed subalgebra of BUC(\mathbb{C}^n), then $\mathcal{T}_{lin}^{p,t}(\mathcal{D}_0)$ is a Banach algebra.

Let us sketch the proof of one of the statements. We want to show that if \mathcal{D}_0 is an α -invariant closed subalgebra of BUC(\mathbb{C}^n), then $\mathcal{T}_{lin}^{p,t}(\mathcal{D}_0)$ is a Banach algebra.

We present the proof of Wu and Zhao³ in the context of QHA.

³S. Wu and X. Zhao: Toeplitz algebras over Fock and Bergman spaces, arXiv:2105.03950

Let us sketch the proof of one of the statements. We want to show that if \mathcal{D}_0 is an α -invariant closed subalgebra of BUC(\mathbb{C}^n), then $\mathcal{T}_{lin}^{p,t}(\mathcal{D}_0)$ is a Banach algebra.

We present the proof of Wu and Zhao³ in the context of QHA. Recall that each $A \in \mathcal{L}(F_t^p)$ is an integral operator:

$$Af(z) = \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} f(w) \langle AK_w^t, K_z^t \rangle_t \ d\mu_t(w).$$

³S. Wu and X. Zhao: Toeplitz algebras over Fock and Bergman spaces, arXiv:2105.03950

Let us sketch the proof of one of the statements. We want to show that if \mathcal{D}_0 is an α -invariant closed subalgebra of BUC(\mathbb{C}^n), then $\mathcal{T}_{lin}^{p,t}(\mathcal{D}_0)$ is a Banach algebra.

We present the proof of Wu and Zhao³ in the context of QHA. Recall that each $A \in \mathcal{L}(F_t^p)$ is an integral operator:

$$Af(z) = \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} f(w) \langle AK_w^t, K_z^t \rangle_t \ d\mu_t(w).$$

There is a well-known formula on the kernel for the product of integral operators:

$$k_{AB}(w,z) = \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} k_A(w,\xi) k_B(\xi,z) \ d\mu_t(\xi).$$

³S. Wu and X. Zhao: Toeplitz algebras over Fock and Bergman spaces, arXiv:2105.03950

Hence, for $A, B \in \mathcal{L}(F_t^p)$, AB is given as an integral operator with integral kernel

$$k_{AB}(w,z) = \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} \langle AK_w^t, K_{\xi}^t \rangle_t \langle BK_{\xi}^t, K_z^t \rangle_t \ d\mu_t(\xi).$$

Hence, for $A, B \in \mathcal{L}(F_t^p)$, AB is given as an integral operator with integral kernel

$$k_{AB}(w,z) = \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} \langle AK_w^t, K_{\xi}^t \rangle_t \langle BK_{\xi}^t, K_z^t \rangle_t \ d\mu_t(\xi).$$

Comparing this expression with the Berezin transform of AB, it is not hard to see that the Berezin transform of AB can be computed as

Hence, for $A, B \in \mathcal{L}(F_t^p)$, AB is given as an integral operator with integral kernel

$$k_{AB}(w,z) = \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} \langle AK_w^t, K_{\xi}^t \rangle_t \langle BK_{\xi}^t, K_z^t \rangle_t \ d\mu_t(\xi).$$

Comparing this expression with the Berezin transform of AB, it is not hard to see that the Berezin transform of AB can be computed as

$$\widetilde{AB}(z) = \frac{1}{(\pi t)^n} \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} \langle Ak_z^t, k_\xi^t \rangle_t \langle Bk_\xi^t, k_z^t \rangle_t \ d\xi.$$

$$\widetilde{AT_f^t}(z)$$

$$\widetilde{AT_f^t}(z) \cong \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} \langle Ak_z^t, k_\xi^t \rangle_t \langle T_f^t k_\xi^t, k_z^t \rangle_t d\xi$$

$$\widetilde{AT_f^t}(z) \cong \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} \langle Ak_z^t, k_\xi^t \rangle_t \langle T_f^t k_\xi^t, k_z^t \rangle_t d\xi \\ = \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} \langle Ak_z^t, k_{\xi+z}^t \rangle_t \langle T_f^t k_{\xi+z}^t, k_z^t \rangle_t d\xi$$

$$\widetilde{AT_f^t}(z) \cong \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} \langle Ak_z^t, k_\xi^t \rangle_t \langle T_f^t k_\xi^t, k_z^t \rangle_t \, d\xi
= \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} \langle Ak_z^t, k_{\xi+z}^t \rangle_t \langle T_f^t k_{\xi+z}^t, k_z^t \rangle_t \, d\xi
= \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} \langle AW_z^t 1, W_z^t k_\xi^t \rangle_t \langle T_f^t W_z^t k_\xi^t, W_z^t 1 \rangle_t \, d\xi$$

Now, for $A \in \mathcal{T}_{lin}^{p,t}(\mathcal{D}_0)$ and $f \in \mathcal{D}_0$:

$$\widetilde{AT_f^t}(z) \cong \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} \langle Ak_z^t, k_\xi^t \rangle_t \langle T_f^t k_\xi^t, k_z^t \rangle_t \, d\xi
= \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} \langle Ak_z^t, k_{\xi+z}^t \rangle_t \langle T_f^t k_{\xi+z}^t, k_z^t \rangle_t \, d\xi
= \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} \langle AW_z^t 1, W_z^t k_\xi^t \rangle_t \langle T_f^t W_z^t k_\xi^t, W_z^t 1 \rangle_t \, d\xi$$

Observe that

$$\langle AW_z^t k_v^t, W_z^t k_w^t \rangle_t = A * (k_{-v}^t \otimes k_{-w}^t)(z)$$

Now, for $A \in \mathcal{T}_{lin}^{p,t}(\mathcal{D}_0)$ and $f \in \mathcal{D}_0$:

$$\widetilde{AT_f^t}(z) \cong \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} \langle Ak_z^t, k_\xi^t \rangle_t \langle T_f^t k_\xi^t, k_z^t \rangle_t \, d\xi
= \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} \langle Ak_z^t, k_{\xi+z}^t \rangle_t \langle T_f^t k_{\xi+z}^t, k_z^t \rangle_t \, d\xi
= \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} \langle AW_z^t 1, W_z^t k_\xi^t \rangle_t \langle T_f^t W_z^t k_\xi^t, W_z^t 1 \rangle_t \, d\xi$$

Observe that

$$\langle AW_z^t k_v^t, W_z^t k_w^t \rangle_t = A * (k_{-v}^t \otimes k_{-w}^t)(z)$$

(and similarly for the other inner product), hence

$$\langle AW_{(\cdot)}^t 1, W_{(\cdot)}^t k_{\xi}^t \rangle_t, \langle T_f^t W_{(\cdot)}^t k_{\xi}^t, W_{(\cdot)}^t 1 \rangle_t \in \mathcal{D}_0.$$

Since we assumed that \mathcal{D}_0 is an algebra,

$$\langle AW_{(\cdot)}^t 1, W_{(\cdot)}^t k_{\xi}^t \rangle_t \cdot \langle T_f^t W_{(\cdot)}^t k_{\xi}^t, W_{(\cdot)}^t 1 \rangle_t \in \mathcal{D}_0$$

Since we assumed that \mathcal{D}_0 is an algebra,

$$\langle AW_{(\cdot)}^t 1, W_{(\cdot)}^t k_{\xi}^t \rangle_t \cdot \langle T_f^t W_{(\cdot)}^t k_{\xi}^t, W_{(\cdot)}^t 1 \rangle_t \in \mathcal{D}_0$$

Further, we have the estimate

 $|\langle AW_z^t 1, W_z^t k_{\xi}^t \rangle_t \cdot \langle T_f^t W_z^t k_{\xi}^t, W_z^t 1 \rangle_t| \lesssim \|A\|_{op}|\langle T_{\alpha_{-z}(f)} k_{\xi}^t, 1 \rangle_t|$

Since we assumed that \mathcal{D}_0 is an algebra,

$$\langle AW_{(\cdot)}^t 1, W_{(\cdot)}^t k_{\xi}^t \rangle_t \cdot \langle T_f^t W_{(\cdot)}^t k_{\xi}^t, W_{(\cdot)}^t 1 \rangle_t \in \mathcal{D}_0$$

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle AW_z^t 1, W_z^t k_{\xi}^t \rangle_t \cdot \langle T_f^t W_z^t k_{\xi}^t, W_z^t 1 \rangle_t| &\lesssim \|A\|_{op} |\langle T_{\alpha_{-z}(f)} k_{\xi}^t, 1 \rangle_t| \\ &= \|A\|_{op} |\langle \alpha_{-z}(f) k_{\xi}^t, 1 \rangle_t| \end{aligned}$$

Since we assumed that \mathcal{D}_0 is an algebra,

$$\langle AW_{(\cdot)}^t 1, W_{(\cdot)}^t k_{\xi}^t \rangle_t \cdot \langle T_f^t W_{(\cdot)}^t k_{\xi}^t, W_{(\cdot)}^t 1 \rangle_t \in \mathcal{D}_0$$

$$\begin{split} |\langle AW_z^t 1, W_z^t k_{\xi}^t \rangle_t \cdot \langle T_f^t W_z^t k_{\xi}^t, W_z^t 1 \rangle_t| &\lesssim \|A\|_{op} |\langle T_{\alpha_{-z}(f)} k_{\xi}^t, 1 \rangle_t| \\ &= \|A\|_{op} |\langle \alpha_{-z}(f) k_{\xi}^t, 1 \rangle_t| \\ &= \|A\|_{op} |\int_{\mathbb{C}^n} \alpha_{-z} f(w) e^{\frac{w \cdot \overline{\xi}}{t}} d\mu_t(w) |e^{-\frac{|\xi|^2}{2t}} \end{split}$$

Since we assumed that \mathcal{D}_0 is an algebra,

$$\langle AW_{(\cdot)}^t 1, W_{(\cdot)}^t k_{\xi}^t \rangle_t \cdot \langle T_f^t W_{(\cdot)}^t k_{\xi}^t, W_{(\cdot)}^t 1 \rangle_t \in \mathcal{D}_0$$

$$\begin{split} \langle AW_{z}^{t}1, W_{z}^{t}k_{\xi}^{t}\rangle_{t} \cdot \langle T_{f}^{t}W_{z}^{t}k_{\xi}^{t}, W_{z}^{t}1\rangle_{t}| &\lesssim \|A\|_{op}|\langle T_{\alpha_{-z}(f)}k_{\xi}^{t}, 1\rangle_{t}|\\ &= \|A\|_{op}|\langle \alpha_{-z}(f)k_{\xi}^{t}, 1\rangle_{t}|\\ &= \|A\|_{op}|\int_{\mathbb{C}^{n}} \alpha_{-z}f(w)e^{\frac{w\cdot\overline{\xi}}{t}}d\mu_{t}(w)|e^{-\frac{|\xi|^{2}}{2t}}\\ &\leq \|A\|_{op}\|f\|_{\infty}\int_{\mathbb{C}^{n}} e^{\frac{\operatorname{Re}(w\cdot\overline{\xi})}{t}}d\mu_{t}(w)e^{-\frac{|\xi|^{2}}{2t}} \end{split}$$

Since we assumed that \mathcal{D}_0 is an algebra,

$$\langle AW_{(\cdot)}^t 1, W_{(\cdot)}^t k_{\xi}^t \rangle_t \cdot \langle T_f^t W_{(\cdot)}^t k_{\xi}^t, W_{(\cdot)}^t 1 \rangle_t \in \mathcal{D}_0$$

$$\begin{split} \langle AW_z^t 1, W_z^t k_{\xi}^t \rangle_t \cdot \langle T_f^t W_z^t k_{\xi}^t, W_z^t 1 \rangle_t | &\lesssim \|A\|_{op} |\langle T_{\alpha_{-z}(f)} k_{\xi}^t, 1 \rangle_t | \\ &= \|A\|_{op} |\langle \alpha_{-z}(f) k_{\xi}^t, 1 \rangle_t | \\ &= \|A\|_{op} |\int_{\mathbb{C}^n} \alpha_{-z} f(w) e^{\frac{w \cdot \overline{\xi}}{t}} d\mu_t(w) |e^{-\frac{|\xi|^2}{2t}} \\ &\leq \|A\|_{op} \|f\|_{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} e^{\frac{\operatorname{Re}(w \cdot \overline{\xi})}{t}} d\mu_t(w) e^{-\frac{|\xi|^2}{2t}} \\ &= \|A\|_{op} \|f\|_{\infty} \langle K_{\xi/2}^t, K_{\xi/2}^t \rangle_t e^{-\frac{|\xi|^2}{2t}} \end{split}$$

Since we assumed that \mathcal{D}_0 is an algebra,

$$\langle AW_{(\cdot)}^t 1, W_{(\cdot)}^t k_{\xi}^t \rangle_t \cdot \langle T_f^t W_{(\cdot)}^t k_{\xi}^t, W_{(\cdot)}^t 1 \rangle_t \in \mathcal{D}_0$$

$$\begin{split} |\langle AW_{z}^{t}1, W_{z}^{t}k_{\xi}^{t}\rangle_{t} \cdot \langle T_{f}^{t}W_{z}^{t}k_{\xi}^{t}, W_{z}^{t}1\rangle_{t}| &\lesssim \|A\|_{op}|\langle T_{\alpha_{-z}(f)}k_{\xi}^{t}, 1\rangle_{t}| \\ &= \|A\|_{op}|\langle \alpha_{-z}(f)k_{\xi}^{t}, 1\rangle_{t}| \\ &= \|A\|_{op}|\int_{\mathbb{C}^{n}} \alpha_{-z}f(w)e^{\frac{w\cdot\overline{\xi}}{t}}d\mu_{t}(w)|e^{-\frac{|\xi|^{2}}{2t}} \\ &\leq \|A\|_{op}\|f\|_{\infty}\int_{\mathbb{C}^{n}} e^{\frac{\operatorname{Re}(w\cdot\overline{\xi})}{t}}d\mu_{t}(w)e^{-\frac{|\xi|^{2}}{2t}} \\ &= \|A\|_{op}\|f\|_{\infty}\langle K_{\xi/2}^{t}, K_{\xi/2}^{t}\rangle_{t}e^{-\frac{|\xi|^{2}}{2t}} \\ &= \|A\|_{op}\|f\|_{\infty}e^{-\frac{|\xi|^{2}}{4t}}. \end{split}$$

We therefore obtain

$$\widetilde{AT_f^t} = \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} \langle AW_{(\cdot)}^t 1, W_{(\cdot)}^t k_{\xi}^t \rangle_t \langle T_f^t W_{(\cdot)}^t k_{\xi}^t, W_{(\cdot)}^t 1 \rangle_t \ d\xi$$

and the right-hand side exists as a Bochner integral in $\mathcal{D}_{0}.$

We therefore obtain

$$\widetilde{AT_f^t} = \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} \langle AW_{(\cdot)}^t 1, W_{(\cdot)}^t k_{\xi}^t \rangle_t \langle T_f^t W_{(\cdot)}^t k_{\xi}^t, W_{(\cdot)}^t 1 \rangle_t \ d\xi$$

and the right-hand side exists as a Bochner integral in \mathcal{D}_0 . Hence, by the Correspondence Theorem, $AT_f^t \in \mathcal{T}_{lin}^{p,t}(\mathcal{D}_0)$. Analogously, one can show that $T_f^t A \in \mathcal{T}_{lin}^{p,t}(\mathcal{D}_0)$. Therefore, $\mathcal{T}_{lin}^{p,t}(\mathcal{D}_0)$ is an algebra.

Thank you for your attention!
