

$\ell^{q,1}$ cohomology in Heisenberg groups

F. Tripaldi
(joint work with P. Pansu)

Nordfjordeid Summer School

2.7.2019

Sobolev Inequality in \mathbb{R}^n

Given $1 \leq p < n$, and $q \in \mathbb{N}$ as $\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q} = \frac{1}{n}$, then if $u \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we have

$$\|u\|_q \leq C(n, p) \|du\|_p \quad (1)$$

The most important of the Sobolev inequalities in (1), is the one where $p = 1$:

- it implies the others;
- it is equivalent to the isoperimetric inequality.

We will focus on a generalisations of such inequalities.

Definition

Given X a manifold, define

$$L^{q,p}H^k(X) = \{L^p \text{ closed } k\text{-forms } \omega\} / d\{L^q(k-1)\text{-forms } \phi \text{ s.t. } d\phi \in L^p\}.$$

Question:

Why are we considering $L^{q,p}$ forms?

Theorem by Pansu and Rumin (2018)

Given X a contractible Lie group, then

$$\ell^{q,p} H^k(X) = L^{q,p} H^k(X)$$

where $\ell^{q,p}$ is cohomology of simplicial complexes.

Definition

Given X a simplicial complex. Cochains are functions on simplices, then

$$\ell^{q,p} H^k(X) = \{k\text{-cocycles in } \ell^p\} / d\{(k-1)\text{-cochains in } \ell^q\}.$$

- by definition, the $\ell^{q,p}$ cohomology is a quasi-isometry invariant

Proposition

$X = \mathbb{R}^n$, then $L^{q,p}H^k(X) = 0$ if $1 < p \leq q < \infty$ and $\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q} = \frac{1}{n}$

Proof

- Laplace-Beltrami $\Delta = d^*d + dd^*$ has a pseudo-differential inverse that commutes with d ;
- $T := d^*\Delta^{-1}$ has a homogeneous kernel of degree $1 - n$
- $T : L^p \rightarrow W^{1,q}$ is bounded provided $\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q} = \frac{1}{n}$
(Calderon-Zygmund 1952)
- Finally $Id = dT + Td$

We need a homogeneous Laplacian

Use homogeneous groups, and in particular Carnot groups, where

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathfrak{g}_s, \delta_t = t^i \text{ on } \mathfrak{g}_i$$

Kohn's Laplacian on functions

For a function $u \in \Omega^0$, we define

$$d_c u := du|_{\mathfrak{g}_1} \text{ and } \Delta := d_c^* d_c.$$

This is homogeneous of degree 2 under Carnot dilations δ_t .

In general on Ω^k

In general, given $\alpha \in \Omega^k$, there is no differential homogeneous Laplacian on α , since left-invariant forms split under δ_t into several weight spaces.

Easiest example: Heisenberg groups \mathbb{H}^{2n+1}

On the Heisenberg groups, any k -form with $0 < k < 2n + 1$ has two different weights, k and $k + 1$:

$$\Lambda^k \mathfrak{g} = \Lambda^k \mathfrak{g}_1 \oplus \Lambda^{k-1} \mathfrak{g}_1 \otimes \Lambda^1 \mathfrak{g}_2$$

Possible homogeneous Laplacian

A pseudodifferential homogeneous Laplacian

- let $|\nabla| = \Delta^{1/2}$;
- let $|\nabla|^N$ be the operator acting componentwise, which is $|\nabla|^w$ on forms of weight w
- $d^\nabla := |\nabla|^{-N} d |\nabla|^N$ pseudodifferential operator of order 0, hence
- $\Delta^\nabla := (d^\nabla)^* d^\nabla + d^\nabla (d^\nabla)^*$ is a homogeneous Laplacian of order 0

- Δ^∇ admits a pseudodifferential inverse (Helffer-Nourrigat 1979, Christ-Geller-Glowacki-Polin 1992), hence d^∇ admits a homotopy
- let $K^\nabla := (d^\nabla)^* (\Delta^\nabla)^{-1}$, we have $1 = d^\nabla K^\nabla + K^\nabla d^\nabla$
- hence $K := |\nabla|^N K^\nabla |\nabla|^{-N}$ is a homogeneous homotopy for d ,
- K^∇ is bounded on L^p (Folland 1975), hence K is bounded on

$$L^{N,p} := \{\alpha; |\nabla|^{-N} \alpha \in L^p\},$$

and on $L^{N-m,p}$ for every constant m .

Proposition

$$\ell^{q,p} H^k(G) = 0 \text{ if } 1 < p, q < \infty, \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q} \geq \frac{b-a}{Q},$$

- b is the maximal weight in degree k ,
- a is the minimal weight in degree $k - 1$,
- $Q = \sum_{i=1}^s i \cdot \dim(V_i)$.

In the Heisenberg groups \mathbb{H}^{2n+1}

For any $0 < k < 2n + 1$

$$b = \max\{k, k + 1\} = k + 1 \text{ and } a = \min\{k - 1, k\} = k - 1$$

which means

$$\frac{b-a}{Q} = \frac{2}{2n+2} = \frac{1}{n+1} \quad \text{NOT SHARP!}$$

Rumin complex

Strategy

Replace (Ω^*, d) with a subcomplex (\mathcal{E}_0^*, d_c) that

- has forms of fewer weights;
- is homotopic to the de Rham complex

Weight of a 1-form $\alpha \in \Lambda^1 \mathfrak{g}$

α has (pure) weight p , i.e. $w(\alpha) = p \iff \alpha^\natural \in V_p$

Weight of an h -form $\beta \in \Lambda^h \mathfrak{g}$

β has (pure) weight p , i.e. $w(\beta) = p \iff \beta = \text{lin. comb. of } h\text{-form } \theta_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \theta_{i_h} \text{ s.t. } w(\theta_{i_1}) + \cdots + w(\theta_{i_h}) = p.$

Rumin Complex

$$d = d_0 + d_1 + \cdots + d_k$$

Let $\alpha = \sum_i f_i \theta_i^h$ be an arbitrary h -form of pure weight p as before, then we can write:

$$d\alpha = d_0\alpha + d_1\alpha + \cdots + d_k\alpha$$

d_i is the part of d which **increases the weight** of the form α by i :

- $d_0\alpha = \sum_i f_i d\theta_i^h \in \Omega^{h+1,p}$;
- $d_l\alpha = \sum_i \sum_{X_j \in V_l} X_j(f_i) \theta_j \wedge \theta_i^h \in \Omega^{h+1,p+l}$ for $l \in \{1, \dots, k\}$.

The operator d_0 is an algebraic operator: it involves no differentiation and does not increase the weight.

Rumin Complex

Proposition

Two h -covectors $\alpha, \beta \in \Lambda^h \mathfrak{g}$ with $w(\alpha) \neq w(\beta)$ are orthogonal.

$$d_0^2 = 0$$

Let us write down d explicitly according to the weight increase and let $\alpha = f \theta_j^h \in \Omega^{h,p}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= d^2 \alpha = d(d_0 \alpha + d_1 \alpha + \cdots + d_k \alpha) \\ &= (d_0 + d_1 + \cdots + d_k)(d_0 \alpha + d_1 \alpha + \cdots + d_k \alpha) \\ &= \underbrace{d_0^2 \alpha}_{\text{weight } p} + \underbrace{d_0 d_1 \alpha + d_1 d_0 \alpha}_{\text{weight } p+1} + \underbrace{(d_0 d_2 + d_1 d_1 + d_2 d_0) \alpha}_{\text{weight } p+2} + \cdots \end{aligned}$$

Rumin Complex

Corollary

(Ω^*, d_0) is a complex, so we define

$$\mathcal{E}_0^h := \text{Ker } d_0 \cap (\text{Im } d_0)^\perp \subset \Omega^h.$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \overbrace{\text{Im } d_0 + \mathcal{E}_0}^{\text{Ker } d_0} + (\text{Ker } d_0)^\perp & & \\
 \downarrow \quad \downarrow \quad \downarrow & \Leftarrow \text{This isomorphism defines } d_0^{-1} & \\
 0 \quad 0 \quad \text{Im } d_0 + \mathcal{E}_0 + (\text{Ker } d_0)^\perp & & \\
 \downarrow \quad \downarrow \quad \downarrow & & \\
 0 \quad 0 \quad \text{Im } d_0 & &
 \end{array}$$

Constructing the Rumin complex

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \Omega^* & \xrightarrow{d} & \Omega^* \\
 \Pi_E \updownarrow \iota & & \Pi_E \updownarrow \iota \\
 E^* & \xrightarrow{d} & E^* \\
 \Pi_{\mathcal{E}_0} \updownarrow \Pi_E & & \Pi_{\mathcal{E}_0} \updownarrow \Pi_E \\
 \mathcal{E}_0^* & \xrightarrow{d_C} & \mathcal{E}_0^*
 \end{array}$$

3-dimensional Heisenberg group

- $\mathcal{E}_0^1 = \Omega^{1,1}$ horizontal 1-forms;
- $d_c: \mathcal{E}_0^0 \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_0^1$ is the horizontal gradient;
- $\mathcal{E}_0^2 = \Omega^{2,3}$ vertical 2-forms;
- $d_c: \mathcal{E}_0^1 \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_0^2$ has order 2

Heisenberg groups \mathbb{H}^{2n+1}

- $\mathcal{E}_0^k \subset \Omega^{k,k}$ (subspace of horizontal forms) for $k \leq n$;
- $\mathcal{E}_0^k \subset \Omega^{k,k+1}$ (subspace of vertical forms) for $k \geq n+1$;
- $d_c: \mathcal{E}_0^n \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_0^{n+1}$ has order 2, and
- $d_c: \mathcal{E}_0^k \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_0^{k+1}$ has order 1 otherwise ($k \neq n$).

Pansu-Rumin

Let G be a Carnot group of homogeneous dimension Q . Let $[a, b]$ be the scope of weights in Rumin k -forms, let $[a', b']$ be the scope of weights in Rumin $(k - 1)$ -forms, then

- $\ell^{q,p}H^k(G) = 0$ provided $1 < p, q < \infty$ and

$$\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q} \geq \frac{b - a'}{Q}.$$

- $\ell^{q,p}H^k(G) \neq 0$ if $1 \leq p, q \leq \infty$,

$$\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q} < \frac{\max\{1, b - a'\}}{Q}.$$

In Heisenberg groups \mathbb{H}^{2n+1}

- if $k \neq n + 1$, $b - a' = 1$, so $\ell^{q,p}H^k(\mathbb{H}^{2n+1}) = 0$ for

$$\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q} \geq \frac{1}{2n+2} \quad \text{with } p > 1$$

- if $k = n + 1$, $b - a' = 2$, so $\ell^{q,p}H^{n+1}(\mathbb{H}^{2n+1}) = 0$ for

$$\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q} \geq \frac{2}{2n+2} = \frac{1}{n+1} \quad \text{with } p > 1$$

How to tackle $p = 1$ in \mathbb{R}^n ?

Theorem (Bourgain-Brezis-Mironescu 2004)

Given ω a closed $(n - 1)$ -form with compact support in \mathbb{R}^n . Then for any 1-form α such that $\nabla\alpha \in L^n$,

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \alpha \wedge \omega \right| \leq C \|\omega\|_1 \|\nabla\alpha\|_n.$$

Definition

The averaging map of a k -form ω in L^1 is given by

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \beta \wedge \omega \text{ where } \beta \text{ has constant coefficient.}$$

How to tackle $p = 1$ in \mathbb{R}^n ?

Proposition 1 (Corollary of BBM)

$d^* \Delta^{-1}: L^1 \rightarrow L^{n/n-1}$ is bounded on closed $(n-1)$ -forms with vanishing averaging map.

Proposition 2

Given ω a closed $(n-1)$ -form in L^1 , then ω has vanishing averaging map.

Corollary (Bourgain-Brezis 2007)

$$L^{n/n-1,1} H^{n-1}(\mathbb{R}^n) = 0.$$

How to tackle $p = 1$ in \mathbb{R}^n ?

Proposition 3 (Lanzani-Stein 2005)

The BBM inequality for closed k -forms ω with compact support

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \alpha \wedge \omega \right| \leq C \|\omega_1\| \|\nabla \alpha\|_n$$

holds for all $k \leq n - 1$ (but not for $k = n$).

Corollary

$$L^{n/n-1,1} H^k(\mathbb{R}^n) = 0 \text{ for } k \leq n - 1.$$

$p = 1$ in the Heisenberg groups \mathbb{H}^{2n+1}

Homogeneous Laplacian in \mathbb{H}^{2n+1} (Baldi, Franchi, Pansu, 2019)

- $k \neq n, n + 1$: $d_c^* d_c + d_c d_c^*$ (order 2);
- $k = n$: $d_c^* d_c + (d_c d_c^*)^2$ (order 4);
- $k = n + 1$: $(d_c^* d_c)^2 + d_C d_C^*$ (order 4).

Generalisation of BBM inequality

Proved for Carnot groups by Chanillo-Van Schaftingen in 2008

Averaging map vanishes (Pansu, T, 2019)

- The averaging map of a d_c -closed L^1 form ω is given by $\int_{\mathbb{H}^{2n+1}} \omega \wedge \beta$, β left-invariant Rumin form;
- The averaging map is well defined as a map $\ell^{q,1} H^k(G) \otimes H^{n-k}(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, with $q = Q/Q - 2$ if $k = n + 1$ and $q = Q/Q - 1$ otherwise;
- The averaging map of d_c -closed L^1 forms of degree less than $2n + 1$ vanish.

Final result (Baldi, Franchi, Pansu + Pansu, T.)

$\ell^{q,1}H^k(\mathbb{H}^{2n+1}) = 0$ in the following cases:

- if $k \neq n + 1$, $1 - \frac{1}{q} \geq \frac{1}{Q}$;
- if $k = n + 1$, $1 - \frac{1}{q} \geq \frac{2}{Q}$.

Remark

This is sharp by Pansu-Rumin (2018)