



Norwegian University of Science
and Technology
Department of Mathematical
Sciences

MA8404 Numerical
solution of time
dependent differential
equations
Autumn 2019

Exercise set 2

You may very well use some kind of software like Maple or Mathematica to solve some of the problems.

1 In this exercise, consider methods given by:

$$\begin{array}{c|cc} \gamma & \gamma & 0 \\ c_2 & a_{21} & \gamma \\ \hline 0 & b_1 & b_2 \end{array}$$

- a)
 - Find all 2nd order methods. (use c_2 and γ as free parameters).
 - Find all 3th order methods.
 - Find all 2nd order stiffly accurate methods.
- b) Show that the stability function $R(z)$ for this method is given by

$$R(z) = \frac{P(z)}{(1 - \gamma z)^2}.$$

What is $P(z)$ for the methods (all order 2, order 3, stiffly accurate) from point a).

- c) For which γ 's is the method A -stable? Are the 3th order and/or the stiffly accurate methods A -stable?
- d) Plot the stability regions for the method for some different values of γ . Use some appropriate software.
- e) (*Optional*)

Since the linear test problem $y' = \lambda y$ has $y(t + h) = e^{h\lambda}y(t)$ as exact solution, the stability function $R(z)$ is a rational approximation to the function e^z . Will the numerical solution grow faster or slower than the exact solution, that is: when will $|R(z)| > |e^z|$ (or vice versa).

To answer this questions, plot

$$A = \{z \in \mathbb{C}; \quad |R(z)| > |e^z|\}$$

The domain A is called an *order star*, you may understand why when observing the plots. (see SODEII IV.4 for more).

- 2 a) Prove that a method with an explicit first stage and $b_1 \neq 0$ can not be algebraically stable.

b) Are any of the methods discussed in Problem 1 algebraically stable?

3 Prove that the Gauss methods are B-stable.

4 Find the index and the exact solution of the following DAE:

$$\begin{aligned} y_1' &= y_1 & y_1(0) &= 1 \\ y_2' &= z - y_2, & y_2(0) &= 0 \\ z' &= z + y_2 - 2w & z(0) &= 1 \\ 0 &= y_1 - \exp(y_2), & w(0) &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

5 Given the linear DAE

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & \eta t \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} y' \\ z' \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \eta t \\ 0 & 1 + \eta \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} y \\ z \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} f(t) \\ g(t) \end{pmatrix}$$

where f, g are two given, smooth functions, and $\eta \in \mathbb{R}$ is a constant.

- Find the exact solution of the problem.
- Apply the implicit Euler method to the problem, and show that numerical solution will not converge to the exact solution for all values of η .
- Transform the problem by introducing a new set of variables: $\hat{y} = y + \eta tz$, $\hat{z} = z$, and apply the implicit Euler on the transformed problem. Will the numerical solution converge to the exact solution now?

6 Consider the three equations

$$\begin{array}{lll} x' = y - ax^2 + \cos t, & y = ax^2 & \text{Index 1 DAE} \\ x' = \cos t & & \text{State space form} \\ x' = y - ax^2 + \cos t, & y' = 2ax(y - ax^2 + \cos t) & \text{ODE} \end{array}$$

of which the first is our originally index 1 DAE, the second is the state space form, and the last is the ODE obtained from differentiating the algebraic constraint of the original problem, sometimes called the underlying ODE. The aim of this exercise is to demonstrate that solving the underlying ODE rather than the original problem is not necessarily a good idea.

Use some DAE solver (I have used MATLABs ODE15si, I could not find an appropriate python solver) and solve the three problems over the interval $[0, 10\pi]$ with $x(0) = y(0) = 0$.

- Solve the three problems using e.g. $a = 1$, $a = 10$ and $a = 100$, using the same tolerances (e.g. the default tolerances in MATLAB.) Comment on the result.

- b) For $a = 100$, adjust the tolerances such that the error at the end of the interval is approximately 10^{-6} . How much computational work in terms of number of steps, number of function evaluations and number of jacobians do you need in each case?
- c) Discuss the stability of the problems in terms of eigenvalues of the Jacobians on the constraint given by $y = ax^2$.

- 7 a) Implement the Bogacki-Shampine pair (including error estimation and a step-size control). Test it on some appropriate problem, for example the Lotka-Volterra equation

$$\begin{aligned} y_1'(x) &= \alpha y_1(x) - \beta y_1(x)y_2(x), & y_1(0) &= y_{1,0}, \\ y_2'(x) &= \delta y_1(x)y_2(x) - \gamma y_2(x), & y_2(0) &= y_{2,0}. \end{aligned}$$

with

$$\alpha = 2, \quad \beta = 1, \quad \delta = 0.5, \quad \gamma = 1, \quad y_{1,0} = 2, \quad y_{2,0} = 0.5.$$

or some other non-stiff problem of your own liking.

- b) In this exercise, you are simply asked to repeat the step control stability analysis HW, p.24-25, but now on the Bogacki-Shampine pair.
- Solve the problem (2.27), and monitor the stepsizes.
 - Plot the stability domain of the order 3 method, and mark the part of the boundary which is SC-stable.
- c) Solve the index 3 pendulum problem (HW VII, eq. 2.1) by index reduction and projections. Use your Bogacki-Shampine implementation to solve for one step of the reduced system.

(Warning: I have not implemented this myself yet, so I have no idea of what kind of unexpected behaviour that may pop up).