

Notes for: Noether's normalization lemma & Hilbert's nullstellensatz

→ compare w/ exercises 16, 17 in ch. 5.

We will prove Noether normalization and then deduce a (weak form) of Hilbert's nullstellensatz (or "zero locus theorem"), a result important in algebraic geometry that relates algebraic sets in alg. geometry to ideals in polynomial rings (over an alg. closed field).

We start with the following lemma that will be key in the proof of Noether normalization:

Lemma: Let  $k$  be a field and let  $f \in k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ . Let  $N =$  ~~the~~ highest degree ~~of~~ a variable appearing in  $f$ .

~~$k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$~~

Let  $\varphi: k[x_1, \dots, x_n] \rightarrow k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  be  $k$ -homomorphism defined by  $x_i \mapsto x_i + x_n^{Ni}$  for  $i=1, \dots, n-1$  and  $x_n \mapsto x_n$ .

[This is an iso. by alg. ind. of  $x_i$  over  $k$ , hence is a change of variables.]

Then  $\varphi(f) \in (k[x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}])[x_n]$  is a nonzero scalar times a monic polynomial in  $x_n$  (i.e. other coefficients in  $k[x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}]$ ).

Example to give idea of the lemma:

Consider  $f = x_1 x_2 \in k[x_1, x_2]$ . This is not monic in  $x_1$  or  $x_2$ .

Let  $\varphi$  be the automorphism:  $\begin{cases} x_1 \mapsto x_1 + x_2 \\ x_2 \mapsto x_2 \end{cases}$  (here  $N=1$ ).

Then  $\varphi(f) = (x_1 + x_2)x_2 = x_2^2 + x_1 x_2$ , which, as a polynomial in  $x_2$  over  $k[x_1]$ , is monic.

Pf of Lemma:

• Each nonzero term of  $f$  will have the form

$$C_\alpha X_1^{a_1} X_2^{a_2} \cdots X_n^{a_n}$$

where  $\alpha = (a_1, \dots, a_n)$  and  $C_\alpha \in K \setminus \{0\}$ .

The image of this term under the change of variables  $\phi$

$$\text{is: } \phi(C_\alpha X_1^{a_1} \cdots X_n^{a_n}) = C_\alpha (X_1 + X_n^{N^{n-1}})^{a_1} (X_2 + X_n^{N^{n-2}})^{a_2} \cdots (X_{n-1} + X_n^{N^1})^{a_{n-1}} X_n^{a_n}$$

This contains a unique highest degree term:

$$C_\alpha (X_n^{N^{n-1}})^{a_1} (X_n^{N^{n-2}})^{a_2} \cdots (X_n^{N^1})^{a_{n-1}} X_n^{a_n} \\ = C_\alpha X_n^{a_n + a_1 N + a_2 N^2 + \cdots + a_{n-1} N^{n-1}}$$

• As  $\alpha = (a_1, \dots, a_n)$  ranges over ~~all~~ all possible values corresponding to the terms in  $f$ , these exponents  $a_n + a_1 N + a_2 N^2 + \cdots + a_{n-1} N^{n-1}$  of the variable  $X_n$  are all distinct by uniqueness of representation of integers in base N.

Thus no two exponents of  $X_n$  are the same, so we have no cancellation.

$$\text{Set } m = \max \left\{ a_n + a_1 N + a_2 N^2 + \cdots + a_{n-1} N^{n-1} \right\}_{\alpha = (a_1, \dots, a_n) \text{ occurring as exponent in nonzero term of } f}$$

Say  $m$  corresponds to exponent  $\alpha_0$ .

Hence  $m = \text{degree of } \phi(f)$ , and  $C_{\alpha_0} X_n^m$  is only term in  $\phi(f)$  of degree  $m$ ,

and  $C_{\alpha_0} \in K \setminus \{0\}$ , that is  $C_{\alpha_0}^{-1} \phi(f)$  is a monic polynomial in  $X_n$  over  $K[X_1, \dots, X_{n-1}]$ .  $\blacksquare$

A reminder on definitions:

- a) If  $k \hookrightarrow A$  is a ring homomorphism, then
- $A$  is a finitely generated  $k$ -module if there is a finite set  $x_1, \dots, x_n \in A$  s.t. every element of  $A$  can be written as  $\sum_{i=1}^n c_i x_i$  for  $c_i \in k$  (i.e.,  $\exists$  surjection  $k^n \rightarrow A$ )
  - $A$  is a finitely generated  $k$ -algebra if there is a finite set  $x_1, \dots, x_n \in A$  s.t. every element of  $A$  can be written as a polynomial in  $x_i$  with coefficients in  $k$  (i.e.,  $\exists$  surjection  $k[t_1, \dots, t_n] \rightarrow A$ )

(For more, see page 30 of A-M.)

b) Elements  $y_1, \dots, y_n \in A$  are algebraically independent over a field  $k$  if they do not satisfy a nontrivial polynomial equation with coefficients in  $k$ , that is, the homomorphism  $k[x_1, \dots, x_n] \rightarrow A$  is an injection.

$x_i \mapsto y_i$

Noether's Normalization Lemma

Let  $k$  be a field, and let  $A \neq 0$  be a finitely generated  $k$ -algebra. Then there exist algebraically independent (over  $k$ ) elements  $y_1, \dots, y_r \in A$  such that  $A$  is integral over  $k[y_1, \dots, y_r]$ . (i.e.,  $k[y_1, \dots, y_r] \subseteq A$  is an integral extension.)

That is, if  $k$  is a field, ~~every~~ every finitely generated  $k$ -algebra  $A$  is isomorphic to a f.g. extension over  $k[y_1, \dots, y_r]$ .  
 that is,  $k[y_1, \dots, y_r] \hookrightarrow A$  is finitely generated as a module.  
 (see Remark page 60).

# Proof of Noether normalization

(4)

- Induct on the number  $n$  of generators for  $A$  as a  $k$ -algebra. If  $n=0$ , then  $A=k$  and we can take  $r=0$  (hence  $A=k$  is clearly integral over itself.)
- Suppose  $n \geq 1$  and suppose the result holds for  $k$ -algebras generated by  $n-1$  or fewer elements.

Suppose  $A = k[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n]$ ,  $\alpha_i \in A$ , has  $n$ -generators (there might be relations between these elmts  $\alpha_i$ !)

If  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$  are algebraically independent over  $k$ , then we are done: take  $r=n$  and  $y_i = \alpha_i k_i$ .

Otherwise, suppose  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$  are not alg. independent, so that we have a nonzero polynomial  $f(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  such that  $f(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) = 0$ .

~~Apply the previous Lemma to this polynomial~~  
Take  $N$  as in the previous Lemma for this polynomial, and set 
$$\begin{cases} \alpha'_i = \alpha_i - \alpha_n^{N_i} & \text{for } i=1, \dots, n-1 \\ \alpha'_n = \alpha_n \end{cases}$$

The elements  $\alpha'_1, \dots, \alpha'_n$  are also generators for  $A$  as a  $k$ -algebra. (5)

With  $\varphi$  as defined in the previous Lemma,

$$\varphi(f) = f(x_1 + x_n^N, \dots, x_{n-1} + x_n^{N^{n-1}}, x_n) = c \cdot g$$

for some  $c \in k \setminus \{0\}$  and  $g \in (k[x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}])[x_n]$  a polynomial that is monic in  $x_n$  (by the Lemma).

Moreover,  $g(\alpha'_1, \dots, \alpha'_{n-1}, \alpha'_n) = 0$

$$= c^{-1} f(\alpha_1 - \alpha_n^N + \alpha_n^N, \dots, \alpha_{n-1} - \alpha_n^{N^{n-1}} + \alpha_n^{N^{n-1}}, \alpha_n)$$

$$= c^{-1} f(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n-1}, \alpha_n) = 0.$$

Thus  $\alpha'_n$  is integral over  $k[\alpha'_1, \dots, \alpha'_{n-1}]$ .

$k$ -alg with  $n-1$  generators, so inductive hypothesis applies.

By (5.3),  $A = k[\alpha'_1, \dots, \alpha'_n] = (k[\alpha'_1, \dots, \alpha'_{n-1}][\alpha'_n])$

is integral over  $k[\alpha'_1, \dots, \alpha'_{n-1}]$ .

By inductive hypothesis,  $k[\alpha'_1, \dots, \alpha'_{n-1}]$  is integral over  $k[y_1, \dots, y_r]$  for some alg independent elements  $y_1, \dots, y_r \in A$ .

By transitivity (5.4), we thus have  $A$  is integral over  $k[y_1, \dots, y_r]$ . ■

## Corollary (Zariski's lemma)

(6)

Let  $A$  be a f.g.  $k$ -algebra, where  $A$  and  $k$  are fields.  
Then  $A$  is a f.g.  $k$ -module (i.e., fin. dim.  $k$ -vector space).

Pf.:

By Noether normalization,  $A$  is integral over a subring  $k[y_1, \dots, y_r] \subseteq A$ .

If  $r \geq 1$ , then  $\mathfrak{p} = (y_1) \subseteq k[y_1, \dots, y_r]$  is a nonzero ~~max~~ prime ideal. As  $A$  is integral over  $k[y_1, \dots, y_r]$ ,

the Going-up theorem ~~(5.11)~~ (5.11)

implies that there exists a nonzero prime  $\mathfrak{q} \subseteq A$  such that  $\mathfrak{q} \cap k[y_1, \dots, y_r] = \mathfrak{p}$ . (check this yourself!)

But as  $A$  is a field, this is a contradiction (fields only have 0 ideal and entire field).

Thus  $r=0$ , and  $A$  is f.g.  $k$ -module as desired. //

Corollary: If  $k$  is alg. closed field and  $A$  f.g.  $k$ -algebra, and  $\mathfrak{m} \subseteq A$  is max ideal, then the composition  $k \rightarrow A \rightarrow A/\mathfrak{m}$  is a  $\cong$  isomorphism,

Pf.:  $A$  f.g.  $k$ -alg  $\Rightarrow A/\mathfrak{m}$  f.g.  $k$ -alg that is a field.  
Thus  $k \rightarrow A/\mathfrak{m}$  is a f.g.  $k$ -mod (by previous ~~lemma~~ Cor), that is, a finite algebraic extension of  $k$ .  
But  $k$  alg closed  $\Rightarrow$  no proper alg extension  $\Rightarrow k \rightarrow A/\mathfrak{m}$  iso. //

Corollary (Hilbert's nullstellensatz, weak form) (7)

Let  $A = k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  be a poly ring over an alg. closed field  $k$ . Every max ideal  $m \subseteq A$  has the form  $m = (x_1 - \lambda_1, \dots, x_n - \lambda_n) \subseteq A$ ,  $\lambda_i \in k$ .

PF: Since  $\gamma: k \cong A/m$  by previous corollary,

the  $k$ -algebra map  $\pi: A \rightarrow A/m$  gives

$\gamma^{-1} \pi: A \rightarrow k$  whose kernel is  $m$  and

is of the form  $(x_1 - \lambda_1, \dots, x_n - \lambda_n)$  some  $\lambda_i \in k$ .

Corollary (Hilbert's nullstellensatz, alternate weak form)

Let  $f_1, \dots, f_n$  be polynomials in  $k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ ,

$k = \text{alg. closed field}$ . Then  $f_1, \dots, f_n$  generate

the unit ideal of  $k[x_1, \dots, x_n] \iff$  the polynomials

do not vanish simultaneously (alg set  $V(f_1, \dots, f_n) = \emptyset$ )

PF: If  $(f_1, \dots, f_n) \neq (1)$ , then  $(f_1, \dots, f_n) \subseteq m \subseteq k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$

for some max ideal  $m$ . But the functions in  $m$  all vanish at one point of  $k^n$ , a contradiction

On the other hand, if  $f_1, \dots, f_n$  all vanish

at a point  $(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \in k^n$ , they are then all in the max ideal of polys that vanish at this point,

$(x_1 - \lambda_1, \dots, x_n - \lambda_n)$ .

Some more details about:

Hilbert's nullstellensatz, weak form

8

If  $A = k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ ,  $k = \text{alg. closed field}$ ,  
then every max ideal of  $A$  has the form  $m = (x_1 - \lambda_1, \dots, x_n - \lambda_n)$   
 $\lambda_i \in k$ .

PF:

Let  $m \in A$  be a max ideal.

Let  $\varphi: A \rightarrow k$  be the composition of  $A \rightarrow A/m$  with  
the isomorphism (from previous corollary)  ~~$A/m \cong k$~~   $A/m \cong k$ .

Note that  $\ker(\varphi) = m$ .

Let  $\lambda_i = \varphi(x_i) \in k$ , and so we have

$$\begin{aligned} \ker(\varphi) &= \text{kernel of evaluation map } f(x_1, \dots, x_n) \mapsto f(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \\ &= \{ f(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in A \mid f(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) = 0 \}. \end{aligned}$$

Clearly  $(x_1 - \lambda_1, \dots, x_n - \lambda_n) \subseteq \ker(\varphi)$ ,

and since  $(x_1 - \lambda_1, \dots, x_n - \lambda_n)$  is itself a maximal  
ideal (check:  $A/(x_1 - \lambda_1, \dots, x_n - \lambda_n) \cong k$  also), we

must have equality:  $(x_1 - \lambda_1, \dots, x_n - \lambda_n) = \ker \varphi = m$ .