

## ENERGY IN THE SWE

RECALL THE SHALLOW  
WATER EQUATION:

$$h_t + (hv)_x = 0 \quad (1a)$$

$$(hv)_t + (hv^2 + \frac{1}{2}h^2)_x = 0 \quad (1b)$$

First, simplify (1b) using (1a). Expand it:

$$hv_t + h_t v + (hv)_x v + hv v_x + h h_x = 0$$

→ these terms cancel by (1a)

Divide the rest by  $h$ :

$$v_t + v v_x + h_x = 0 \quad (2)$$

Now introduce ENERGY DENSITY

$$E = \frac{1}{2} h v^2 + \frac{1}{2} h^2. \quad \text{Then}$$

$$\begin{aligned} E_t &= h v v_t + (\frac{1}{2} v^2 + h) h_t \\ &= -h v (v v_x + h_x) - (\frac{1}{2} v^2 + h) (v h_x + h v_x) \end{aligned}$$

by (1a) and (2). Rearrange:

$$\begin{aligned} -E_t &= \frac{3}{2} h v^2 v_x + \frac{1}{2} v^3 h_x + 2v h h_x + h^2 v_x \\ &= (\frac{1}{2} h v^3 + h^2 v)_x \end{aligned}$$

That is,

$$E_t + Q_x = 0 \quad \text{where } Q = \frac{1}{2} h v^3 + h^2 v.$$

This is ENERGY CONSERVATION for  
smooth solutions of the SWE.

Fact of life: SWE are Galilei-invariant:

$$\text{If } h, v \text{ solve it, so do } \begin{cases} \tilde{h}(x,t) = h(x-st, t) \\ \tilde{v}(x,t) = v(x-st, t) + s \end{cases}$$

(skip the proof)

Take a stationary shock ( $s=0$ ):

Then  $[hv] = 0$ , so  $hv = q$   
is constant across the shock.

$$\begin{aligned} [Q] &= [\tfrac{1}{2}hv^3 + h^2v] = q[\tfrac{1}{2}v^2 + h] \\ &= q(\langle v \rangle [v] + [h]) \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Use } [v] = \pm \sqrt{\langle h^{-1} \rangle} [h], \quad \langle v \rangle = \mp \langle h \rangle \sqrt{\langle h^{-1} \rangle}$$

(see the rh-sw note - this  $\uparrow$  because  $s=0$ )

$$[Q] = q \underbrace{(-\langle h \rangle \langle h^{-1} \rangle + 1)}_{< 0 \text{ ? (if } [h] \neq 0)}$$

$< 0 \text{ ? (if } [h] \neq 0)$

$[Q] > 0$  means energy is created

$[Q] < 0$  means energy is lost

- so we must have  $q [h] > 0$

in a stationary shock.

NEXT  
PAGE

The condition  $q[h] > 0$  can also be written  $\langle v \rangle [h] > 0$ .

Using  $[v] = \pm \sqrt{\langle h^{-1} \rangle} [h]$ ,  $\langle v \rangle = \mp \langle h \rangle \sqrt{\langle h^{-1} \rangle}$  we conclude  $[v] < 0$

This inequality no longer depends on the shock being stationary, so it is universally valid, for shocks of both families (- and +, called 1 and 2 in the book).

Proof of inequality  $\langle h^{-1} \rangle \langle h \rangle > 1$  (if  $h_R \neq h_L$ )

Inequality:  $(a-b)^2 > 0$  (if  $a \neq b$ )

yields  $a^2 + b^2 > 2ab$ . Replace  $a, b$  by  $\sqrt{a}, \sqrt{b}$ :

$$\frac{a+b}{2} > \sqrt{ab} \text{ if } a, b > 0 \text{ and } a \neq b.$$

Replace  $a, b$  by  $a^{-1}, b^{-1}$ .

$$\frac{a^{-1} + b^{-1}}{2} > \sqrt{a^{-1} b^{-1}}.$$

Multiplying these yields

$$\frac{a+b}{2} \cdot \frac{a^{-1} + b^{-1}}{2} > 1$$