

AN EASIER PATH TO THE SHOCK CURVES FOR A HYPERBOLIC SYSTEM

Recall: $M(u, u_L) = \int_0^1 f'((1-\alpha)u_L + \alpha u) d\alpha$
and so

$$f(u) - f(u_L) = s(u - u_L)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow M(u, u_L)(u - u_L) = s(u, u_L)$$

For u in a neighbourhood of u_L ,

$M(u, u_L)$ has distinct real eigenvalues

$$\mu_1(u, u_L) < \dots < \mu_n(u, u_L) \quad \downarrow \text{ [see next pages]}$$

so $u - u_L$ must be a multiple of one

of the corresponding eigenvectors:

$$u - u_L = \varepsilon v_j(u, u_L)$$

This has a solution $u = u(\varepsilon)$ by the implicit function theorem (for $|\varepsilon|$ small):

$$\text{Put } \Phi(\varepsilon, u) = u - u_L - \varepsilon v_j(u, u_L)$$

and note that $\Phi(0, u_L) = 0$ and $\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial u}(0, u_L) = \mathbb{I}$

which is invertible. We could write $\Phi(\varepsilon, u_L, a)$

instead and conclude that u is a C^1 function of (ε, u_L) .

SMOOTHNESS OF EIGENVALUES, -VECTORS:

Given an $n \times n$ real matrix A with n real and distinct eigenvalues, there is a neighbourhood N of A so that every matrix in N has the same property. Moreover, the eigenvalues and -vectors are smooth functions on N .

Proof: Let λ be an eigenvalue of A , with eigenvector v .

Define $\Phi: \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}$ by

$$\Phi(B, w, \mu) = \begin{pmatrix} Bw - \mu w \\ w \cdot v - |v|^2 \end{pmatrix}$$

Then $\Phi(A, v, \lambda) = 0$, and

$$\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial (w, \mu)}(A, v, \lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} A - \lambda I & -v \\ v^T & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

If we can show that this matrix is invertible, the implicit function theorem completes the proof.

To show this, take a vector $\begin{pmatrix} w \\ \mu \end{pmatrix}$ in its null space. ($w \in \mathbb{R}^n, \mu \in \mathbb{R}$). Thus $(A - \lambda I)w - \mu v = 0$ and $v^T w = 0$.

The range (column space) of $A - \lambda I$ is spanned by the $n-1$ eigenvectors corresponding to the $n-1$ eigenvalues $\neq \lambda$, and v is not in this space. Thus $\mu = 0$, and so w is a multiple of v - since $(A - \lambda I)w = 0$ means w is in the λ -eigenspace. But then the second equation ($v^T w = 0$) implies that $w = 0$. □