

Complex K-theory as a representable functor

Recall $BU(n) := \mathcal{K}_n(\mathbb{C}^\infty)$,

$$\text{Vect}_{\mathbb{C}}^n(X) \cong [X, BU(n)]$$

I have a bit lazy with based vs unbased spaces & maps. Let us recall that Top_* denotes the category of pointed topological spaces, the forgetful functor

$$U: \text{Top}_* \longrightarrow \text{Top}$$

has a left adjoint given by adding a disjoint basepoint. Then

$$\text{Vect}_{\mathbb{C}}^n(X) \cong \underbrace{[X_+, BU(n)]}_* \text{ base-point-preserving}$$

We have maps

$$\mathbb{Z}_n: BU(n) \longrightarrow BU(n+1)$$

& we define $BU := \text{colim } BU(n)$ with the direct limit topology.

Thus For any compact space X

$$(1) \quad K(X) \cong [X_+, BU \times \mathbb{Z}]_*$$

where \mathbb{Z} is given the discrete topology.

If X is a non-degenerately based ^{compact} space (i.e., the inclusion of the base point in X is a cofibration), then

$$(2) \quad \tilde{K}(X) \cong [X, BU \times \mathbb{Z}]_*$$

Proof: We prove (1) first. First note that we can assume X is connected, because both $K(-)$, $[-, BU \times \mathbb{Z}]_*$ send disjoint unions to cartesian products.

Let X be connected, $\Delta \cong \mathbb{Z}$ an n -dim bundle over X with classifying map

$$f_2: X \longrightarrow BU(n) \subset BU$$

Every element of $K(X)$ is given as

$$[\xi] = \underbrace{\tau^q}_{\text{trivial } q\text{-dimensional bundle over } X}$$

Then the isomorphism (1) is given by sending

$$[\xi] = \tau^q \longmapsto (f_{\xi}, n-q)$$

To get (2) we use (1).

Let $S^0 \longrightarrow X_+$ be the cofibration
be the basepoint Δ a disjoint base point.

Last week we used the map $d: K(X) \rightarrow K(\mathbb{Z})$
 $\cong \mathbb{Z}$

to define reduced K -theory. This map
 d can be identified with

$$[X_+, BO \times \mathbb{Z}]_* \longrightarrow [S^0, BO \times \mathbb{Z}]_*$$

Δ so we must show that the kernel

Rem: there are other possible definitions.

For example, I could write X as the limit of its finite CW-skeletons,

$$\Delta \text{ take } |K(X)| := \varinjlim K(X^n)$$

These don't always agree.

Prop: The space $BU \times \mathbb{Z}$ is a ring up to homotopy. In particular, $K(\mathbb{Z})$ is a ring.

Proof sketch: Taking direct sums induces

$$U_n(\mathbb{C}^\infty) \times U_n(\mathbb{C}^\infty) \longrightarrow U_{n+n}(\mathbb{C}^\infty \oplus \mathbb{C}^\infty)$$

Choosing an isomorphism $\mathbb{C}^\infty \oplus \mathbb{C}^\infty \cong \mathbb{C}^\infty$

we get a map

$$BU(m) \times BU(n) \longrightarrow BU(m+n)$$

Taking colimits gives

$$\oplus: BU \times BU \longrightarrow BU$$

This map is associative & commutative up to homotopy. Using the addition on \mathbb{Z} , we extend this to $BO \times \mathbb{Z}$.

For multiplication, we start with the tensor product of canonical bundles, & show this induces

$$BO(n) \times BO(m) \longrightarrow BO(n+m)$$

With some effort show these are compatible with the colimits, & so induce

$$\otimes : BO \times BO \longrightarrow BO \quad \square.$$

K-theory as a cohomology theory

In ordinary cohomology we have a graded ring structure $H^i(X) \smile H^j(X) \in H^{i+j}(X)$

the same is true in K-theory.

If X is a pointed space, then for $i, j > 0$ there are maps $[S^{i+j} \cong S^i \wedge S^j]$

$$S^{i+j} \wedge d: S^{i+j} \wedge X \longrightarrow S^{i+j} \wedge X \wedge X \\ \cong (S^i \wedge X) \wedge (S^j \wedge X)$$

and so maps

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{K}(S^i \wedge X) \otimes \tilde{K}(S^j \wedge X) &\xrightarrow{- \otimes -} \tilde{K}(S^i \wedge X \wedge S^j \wedge X) \\ &\xrightarrow{d^X} \tilde{K}(S^{i+j} \wedge X) \end{aligned}$$

is a map

$$- \otimes - : \tilde{K}^{-i}(X) \otimes \tilde{K}^{-j}(X) \longrightarrow \tilde{K}^{-i-j}(X)$$

Using Bott-periodicity, this extends to a multiplication defined on $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Rem: This multiplication is graded-commutative.

Unreduced K-theory

Note that $\tilde{K}^0(X_+) = K(X)$, so we

just define

$$K^i(X) := \tilde{K}^i(X_+)$$

So, for example, $K^{-1}(X) \cong \tilde{K}^{-1}(X_+)$

$$\cong \tilde{K}^{-1}(S^0)$$

$= 0$, as we saw on Friday.

With this definition, we get an exact sequence

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} K^0(A) & \xleftarrow{i^*} & K^0(X) & \xleftarrow{q^*} & \tilde{K}^0(X/A) \\ \delta \downarrow & & & & \uparrow \delta \\ \tilde{K}^{-1}(X/A) & \xrightarrow{q^*} & K^{-1}(X) & \longrightarrow & K^{-1}(A) \end{array}$$

Mayer-Vietoris sequence

X is a compact Hausdorff space

$X = A \cup B$ two closed subspaces $A, B \subset X$.

Then $X/A \cong B/(A \cap B)$,

so there is a map of long exact sequences

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 \tilde{K}^{-1}(X/A) \xleftarrow{\partial} K^0(A) & \xleftarrow{i_A^*} & K^0(A) & \xleftarrow{q_X^*} & \tilde{K}^0(X/A) & \xleftarrow{\partial} & K^{-1}(A) \\
 \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \hat{j}_A & & \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \\
 \tilde{K}^{-1}(B/(A \cap B)) \xleftarrow{\partial} K^0(A \cap B) & \xleftarrow{j_B^*} & K^0(B) & \xleftarrow{q_{A \cap B}^*} & \tilde{K}^0(B/(A \cap B)) & \xleftarrow{\partial} & K^{-1}(A \cap B)
 \end{array}$$

Exercise: Suppose I have a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 \dots \rightarrow C_{n+1}^I & \xrightarrow{\delta_{n+1}} & C_n^I & \xrightarrow{P_n} & C_n & \xrightarrow{i_n} & C_n^{II} \xrightarrow{\delta_n} C_{n+1}^I \\
 f_{n+1}^{II} \downarrow \cong & & f_n^I \downarrow & & f_n \downarrow & & f_n^I \downarrow \cong f_{n+1}^I \\
 \dots \rightarrow D_{n+1}^{II} & \xrightarrow{\delta_{n+1}^I} & D_n^I & \xrightarrow{P_n^I} & D_n & \xrightarrow{\delta_n^I} & D_n^{II} \rightarrow C_{n+2}^I
 \end{array}$$

where the rows are exact, & f_n^I are

isomorphisms. Then there is a long exact sequence

$$\dots \rightarrow C_n \xrightarrow{(i_n, f_n)} C_n' \oplus D_n \xrightarrow{f_n'' - d_n'} D_n'' \xrightarrow{\Delta_n} C_{n+1}'$$

where $\Delta_n = p_{n+1} \circ (f_{n+1}')^{-1} \circ \delta_n'$

Con: (Mayer-Vietoris in K-theory)

there is a long exact sequence

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} K^0(A \cap B) & \xleftarrow{j_A^0 - j_B^0} & K^0(A) \oplus K^0(B) & \xleftarrow{i_A^0 \oplus i_B^0} & K^0(X) \\ \delta^1 \downarrow & & & & \uparrow \\ K^{-1}(X) & \xrightarrow{i_A^{-1} \oplus i_B^{-1}} & K^{-1}(A) \oplus K^{-1}(B) & \xrightarrow{j_A^{-1} - j_B^{-1}} & K^{-1}(A \cap B) \end{array}$$

Adams operations Δ Hopf invariant one

Defⁿ: An operation θ in K-theory assigns

a function $\theta_x: K(X) \rightarrow K(X)$

in such a way that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathbb{C}(Y) & \xrightarrow{\oplus_Y} & \mathbb{C}(Y) \\
 f^* \downarrow & & \downarrow f^* \\
 \mathbb{C}(X) & \xrightarrow{\oplus_X} & \mathbb{C}(X)
 \end{array}$$

$\bar{\cup}$ commutative.

Theorem: For each non-zero integer k ,
 Δ each compact Hausdorff space X ,
 there is a ring homomorphism

$$\psi^k: \mathbb{C}(X) \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}(X)$$

satisfying the following

- (1) $\psi^1 = \text{identity}$, Δ ψ^{-1} is indeed
 by conjugations of complex bundles.
- (2) $\psi^k f^* = f^* \psi^k$ for all map $f: X \rightarrow Y$
- (3) $\psi^k(L) = L^k = L \oplus \dots \oplus L$ if L is a
 line bundle
- (4) $\psi^k \circ \psi^l = \psi^{kl}$

⑤ $\psi^p(\alpha) \equiv \alpha^p \pmod{p}$ for p a prime,
 i.e. $\psi^p(\alpha) - \alpha^p = p\beta$ for some
 $\beta \in \kappa(x)$.

Rem: By (4) $\psi^{-k} = \psi^k \circ \psi^{-1}$

It we use (1) to define ψ^{-1} , then we
 need only construct ψ^k for $k > 1$.

Exterior powers

Recall that the exterior power of a vector
 space is $V \otimes \dots \otimes V$ modulo the subspace
 generated $V_1 \otimes \dots \otimes V_k - \text{sgn}(\sigma) V_{\sigma(1)} \otimes \dots \otimes V_{\sigma(k)}$

where σ is a permutation, $\text{sgn}(\sigma) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{even per} \\ 0 & \text{odd per} \end{cases}$

Extending this to bundles, we can form
 an exterior power $\lambda^k(E)$ with the following
 properties:

(i) $\lambda^k(E_1 \oplus E_2) = \bigoplus_{i+j=k} \lambda^i(E_1) \otimes \lambda^j(E_2)$

(ii) $\lambda^0(E) = 1$, the trivial bundle.

$$(iii) \lambda^2(E) = E$$

(iv) $\lambda^k(E) = 0$ for $k > \max$ dimension of the fibres of E .

Lemma: the λ^k extend to operations on

$$\mathbb{K}\text{-theory} \quad \lambda^k: \mathbb{K}(x) \longrightarrow \mathbb{K}(x).$$

Proof: Consider the ring $\mathbb{K}(x)[[t]]$ of formal power series, Δ let G the multiplicative group of $\mathbb{K}(x)[[t]]$ (formal power series whose leading coefficient $\neq 1$).

We define a function

$$\lambda: \text{Vect}(x) \longrightarrow G$$

by setting

$$\lambda(E) = 1 + \lambda^1(E)t + \lambda^2(E)t^2 + \dots + \lambda^k(E)t^k + \dots$$

Property (i) tells us that

$$\lambda(E_1 \oplus E_2) = \lambda(E_1) \cdot \lambda(E_2)$$

So we have a homomorphism of commutative monoids

$$\text{Vect}(X) \longrightarrow G$$

By the universal property of Grothendieck completion

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Vect}(X) & \xrightarrow{i} & K(X) \\ & \searrow & \vdots \\ & & \Lambda(X) \\ & & \downarrow \\ & & G \end{array}$$

We define $\psi^k(X)$ to be the coefficient of t^k in $\Lambda(X)$. □.

Returning to Adams operations, suppose $E = L_1 \oplus \dots \oplus L_n$, which is a sum of line bundles.

Then,

$$\psi^k(E) = \psi^k(L_1 \oplus \dots \oplus L_n)$$

$$= \psi^k(L_1) \oplus \dots \oplus \psi^k(L_n)$$

$$= L_1^k \oplus \dots \oplus L_n^k$$

The construction of ψ^k will be based on the construction of a polynomial Q_k with integral coefficients for which

$$L_1^k \oplus \dots \oplus L_n^k = Q_k(\lambda^1(\epsilon), \dots, \lambda^k(\epsilon))$$

We will then define

$$\psi^k(\epsilon) := Q_k(\lambda^1(\epsilon), \dots, \lambda^k(\epsilon)).$$