

repeating this procedure, we can construct maps $\overset{-2}{h}: \overset{-2}{P} \rightarrow \overset{-3}{Q}$, $\overset{-3}{h}: \overset{-3}{P} \rightarrow \overset{-4}{Q}, \dots$

giving a null-homotopy of f^n .

Corollary: Let \mathcal{A} abelian cat with enough projectives. Then taking projective resolutions defines a functor

$$p: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow K(\mathcal{A})$$

such that $H^0 p = \text{id}_{\mathcal{A}}$ and $H^n p = 0 \quad n \neq 0$.

Proof: This follows from the previous result.

The dual result gives a functor $c: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow K(\mathcal{A})$ where cA -injective resolution of A , satisfying $H^0 c = \text{id}_{\mathcal{A}}$ & $H^n c = 0 \quad \forall n \neq 0$.

Lemma (Horseshoe lemma) \mathcal{A} abelian cat, and

let $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$ be a short exact sequence in \mathcal{A} . Assume P and Q are projective resolutions of A and C respectively. Then there exists a proj resolution R of B with

$R = P \oplus Q \quad \forall n$, s.t. the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 \dots & P^{-2} & \longrightarrow & P^{-1} & \longrightarrow & P^0 & \longrightarrow & A \\
 & \downarrow \gamma \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} & & \downarrow \gamma \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} & & \downarrow \gamma \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} & & \downarrow \gamma \\
 \dots & P \oplus Q^{-2} & \longrightarrow & P \oplus Q^{-1} & \longrightarrow & P \oplus Q^0 & \longrightarrow & B \\
 & \downarrow (0,1) & & \downarrow (0,1) & & \downarrow (0,1) & & \downarrow \\
 \dots & Q^{-2} & \longrightarrow & Q^{-1} & \longrightarrow & Q^0 & \longrightarrow & C
 \end{array}$$

Proof: Consider the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 \text{Ker } p_0 & \longrightarrow & P^0 & \xrightarrow{p_0} & A \\
 \vdots & & \downarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} & & \downarrow f \\
 \text{Ker } r_0 & \dashrightarrow & P^0 \oplus Q^0 & \xrightarrow{r_0} & B \\
 \vdots & & \downarrow (0,1) & & \downarrow g \\
 \text{Ker } q_0 & \longrightarrow & Q^0 & \xrightarrow{q_0} & C
 \end{array}$$

Since $g: B \rightarrow C$ is an epi and Q^0 is proj
 can find map $q_0': Q^0 \rightarrow B$ s.t. $g \circ q_0' = q_0$

Set $r_0 := (f \circ p_0, q_0'): P^0 \oplus Q^0 \rightarrow B$

Then get commutative diagram as indicated
 above. Now by the snake lemma the sequence
 $0 \rightarrow \text{Ker } p_0 \rightarrow \text{Ker } r_0 \rightarrow \text{Ker } q_0 \rightarrow 0$ is exact

Hence we can repeat the procedure with
 $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$ replaced by
 $0 \rightarrow \text{Ker } p_0 \rightarrow \text{Ker } r_0 \rightarrow \text{Ker } q_0 \rightarrow 0$
 This proves the claim. ▀

Derived functors

$0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$ exact, F right exact
 $\Rightarrow F(A) \rightarrow F(B) \rightarrow F(C) \rightarrow 0$ exact

Q: Can we continue the sequence on the left
 Answer: Yes! Using derived functors

Definition and first properties

Let $F: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ be additive functor
 $\Rightarrow F$ induce additive functor

$$F_{\text{ch}}: \text{Ch}(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \text{Ch}(\mathcal{B})$$

$$F_{\text{ch}} \left(\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & \overset{-2}{d} & \xrightarrow{-1} & \overset{-1}{d} & \xrightarrow{0} & \overset{0}{d} \\ & & & & & & \\ \dots & \longrightarrow & A_{-2} & \longrightarrow & A_{-1} & \longrightarrow & \dots \end{array} \right)$$

$$= \left(\dots \xrightarrow{F(d^{-2})} F(A_{-2}) \xrightarrow{F(d^{-1})} F(A_{-1}) \xrightarrow{F(d^0)} \dots \right)$$

$f = (f^n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}: A^\bullet \rightarrow B^\bullet$ morphism in $\text{Ch}(\mathcal{A})$, then

$$F_{\text{ch}}(f) = (F(f^n))_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}: F_{\text{ch}}(A^\bullet) \rightarrow F_{\text{ch}}(B^\bullet)$$

Can check that F_{ch} preserves null-homotopic maps, so descends to a functor

$$F_K: K(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow K(\mathcal{B})$$

s.t.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Ch(\mathcal{A}) & \xrightarrow{F_{ch}} & Ch(\mathcal{B}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ K(\mathcal{A}) & \xrightarrow{F_K} & K(\mathcal{B}) \end{array}$$

commutes.

- \mathcal{A} abelian category. Recall that if
- \mathcal{A} has enough proj's, then taking proj resolutions gives a functor $p: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow K(\mathcal{A})$
- \mathcal{A} has enough inj's, then taking inj resolutions induce a functor $i: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow K(\mathcal{A})$

Definition: $F: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ additive functor between abelian categories

- If \mathcal{A} has enough projectives & F is right exact, then the n th left derived functor of F is

$$L_n F := H^{-n} \circ F_K \circ p: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$$

• If \mathcal{A} has enough injectives and F is left exact then the n 'th right derived functor of F is

$$R^n F = H^n \circ F \circ i: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$$

- $L_n F(A)$ is computed as follows:

• Choose proj resolution of A

$$P^\bullet = \dots \rightarrow P^{-2} \xrightarrow{d^{-2}} P^{-1} \xrightarrow{d^{-1}} P^0$$

• apply F pointwise

$$\dots \rightarrow F(P^{-2}) \xrightarrow{F(d^{-2})} F(P^{-1}) \xrightarrow{F(d^{-1})} F(P^0) = F_{\text{ch}}(P^\bullet)$$

• Take homology in degree $-n$:

$$H^{-n} F_{\text{ch}}(P^\bullet)$$

Lecture 16

- $L_n(f)$ for a morphism $f: A \rightarrow B$ is computed as follows:

• Choose proj resolutions P^\bullet and Q^\bullet of A and B , and a lift $f^\bullet: P^\bullet \rightarrow Q^\bullet$ of f

• Apply F pointwise to get

$$g^\bullet = F_{\text{ch}}(f^\bullet): F_{\text{ch}}(P^\bullet) \rightarrow F_{\text{ch}}(Q^\bullet)$$

• Take homology in degree $-n$: $L_n(f) = H^{-n}(g^\bullet)$