

## MA3203 - Problem Set 12 Hints and Answers

- (3a) The projective dimensions of  $\Lambda e_1$  and  $\Lambda e_2$  are 0. The projective dimension of  $S_1$  is 1.
- (3b) Both simple modules have projective dimension infinity.
- (3d) Suppose that  $\Lambda$  is left hereditary and let  $M$  be an arbitrary left  $\Lambda$ -module. Then there exists a free module  $F$  and a surjection  $F \xrightarrow{f} M$ . Now since  $\Lambda$  is left hereditary, we know that  $\ker(f)$  is projective and so  $0 \rightarrow \ker(f) \rightarrow F \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$  is a projective resolution for  $M$  of length 1.<sup>1</sup> We conclude that the projective dimension of  $M$  is at most 1.
- (3e) Suppose that  $\Lambda$  has left global dimension 0. This means every left  $\Lambda$ -module is projective. Now since  $\Lambda$  is finitely generated, it contains a maximal submodule  $M \subseteq \Lambda$ . We then have that  $\Lambda/M \cong S$  is simple and projective. This means  $\Lambda \cong S \oplus M$ , so in particular  $\Lambda$  contains a simple submodule.

Now let  $N$  be the sum (and hence the direct sum) of all of the simple submodules of  $\Lambda$ . Then  $\Lambda \cong N \oplus (\Lambda/N)$  since  $\Lambda/N$  is projective. Moreover, we have that  $\Lambda/N$  contains no simple submodule by assumption. However, any nonzero finitely generated submodule of  $\Lambda/N$  will contain a simple submodule by the same argument as before. This means  $\Lambda/N = 0$  and so  $\Lambda$  is semisimple.

Now suppose that  $\Lambda$  is semisimple and let  $M$  be a left  $\Lambda$ -module. Then  $M$  is isomorphic to a direct sum of simple modules, all of which are projective. In particular,  $M$  has projective dimension 0.

- (4a) *Hint: Suppose  $\text{Hom}(P, M) \neq 0$ . Since  $M$  has finite length, there exists a nonnegative integer  $m$  so that  $\text{Hom}_\Lambda(P, \text{rad}^m M) \neq 0$  and  $\text{Hom}_\Lambda(P, \text{rad}^{m+1} M) = 0$ . This means that  $P/\text{rad} P$  is a direct summand of  $\text{rad}^m M/\text{rad}^{m+1} M$ . In particular, there exists  $\text{rad}^{m+1} M \subseteq N \subseteq \text{rad}^m M$  so that  $N/\text{rad}^{m+1} M \cong P/\text{rad} P$ . Now argue that  $N$  and  $\text{rad}^{m+1} M$  appear together in a composition series for  $M$ .*

*For the reverse direction, use the fact that  $P$  is projective to show that if  $N/L \cong P/\text{rad} P$  then  $\text{Hom}_\Lambda(P, N) \neq 0$ .*

- (4b) *Hint: Recall that  $M(i) = e_i M$ . Now consider  $\phi : \text{Hom}_\Lambda(\Lambda e_i, M) \rightarrow e_i M$  given by  $\phi(f) = f(e_i)$ . Since  $e_i$  is idempotent, we have  $\phi(f) = f(e_i) = f(e_i^2) = e_i f(e_i) \in e_i M$ , so  $\phi$  is well defined as a map on sets. Show that  $\phi$  is in fact an isomorphism of vector spaces.*

---

<sup>1</sup>When a projective resolution has finite length, it is usually not written as an infinite series of morphisms. So in this particular example, the projective resolution we are referring to has  $P_i = 0$  for all  $i \geq 2$ .