Galois theory - Problem Set 4 solutions

Solved on Thursday 21.03

Chapter 17.1

Problem 1. (Exercise 17.1.1 in the book.) Let $E = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[3]{2}, \omega)$ be an extension field of $\mathbb{Q}$, where $\omega^3 = 1$, $\omega \neq 1$. For each of the following subgroups S_i of the group $G(E/\mathbb{Q})$ find E_{S_i}.

(a) $S_1 = \{1, \sigma_2\}$, where σ_2 is defined by $\sigma_2(\sqrt[3]{2}) = \sqrt[3]{2}\omega^2$ and $\sigma_2(\omega) = \omega^2$.

(b) $S_2 = \{1, \sigma_3\}$, where σ_3 is defined by $\sigma_3(\sqrt[3]{2}) = \sqrt[3]{2}\omega$ and $\sigma_3(\omega) = \omega^2$.

(c) $S_3 = \{1, \sigma_4\}$, where σ_4 is defined by $\sigma_4(\sqrt[3]{2}) = \sqrt[3]{2}$ and $\sigma_4(\omega) = \omega^2$.

(d) $S_4 = \{1, \sigma_5, \sigma_6\}$ where σ_5 is defined by $\sigma_5(\sqrt[3]{2}) = \sqrt[3]{2}\omega$ and $\sigma_5(\omega) = \omega$ and σ_6 is defined by $\sigma_6(\sqrt[3]{2}) = \sqrt[3]{2}\omega^2$ and $\sigma_6(\omega) = \omega$.

Solution. We begin by finding a $\mathbb{Q}$-basis of E. We have the field extensions

$$\mathbb{Q} \subseteq \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[3]{2}) \subseteq \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[3]{2}, \omega) = E.$$

The minimal polynomial of $\sqrt[3]{2}$ over $\mathbb{Q}$ is $x^3 - 2$ (is irreducible by Eisenstein criterion for $p = 2$, is monic, and has $\sqrt[3]{2}$ as a root) and the minimal polynomial of ω over $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[3]{2})$ is $x^2 + x + 1$ (is irreducible since its roots $\omega, \omega^2 \notin \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[3]{2})$, is monic, and has ω as a root). Hence a $\mathbb{Q}$-basis of $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[3]{2})$ is given by $\{1, \sqrt[3]{2}, (\sqrt[3]{2})^2\}$ and a $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[3]{2})$-basis of $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[3]{2}, \omega)$ is given by $\{1, \omega\}$. We conclude that a $\mathbb{Q}$-basis of $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[3]{2}, \omega)$ is given by

$$\{1, \sqrt[3]{2}, \sqrt[3]{4}, \omega, \omega\sqrt[3]{2}, \omega\sqrt[3]{4}\}.$$

Hence an element $x \in E$ has the form

$$x = a + b\sqrt[3]{2} + c\sqrt[3]{4} + d\omega + e\omega\sqrt[3]{2} + f\omega\sqrt[3]{4}, \tag{1}$$

where $a, b, c, d, e, f \in \mathbb{Q}$.

(a) We have

$$\sigma_2(\sqrt[3]{4}) = \sigma_2((\sqrt[3]{2})^2) = \sigma_2(\sqrt[3]{2})^2 = (\sqrt[3]{2}\omega^2)^2 = \omega\sqrt[3]{4}.$$

Moreover since $\sigma_2 \in G(E/\mathbb{Q})$ we have $\sigma_2(k) = k$ for any $k \in \mathbb{Q}$. Now let $x \in E_{S_1}$. Then $\sigma_2(x) = x$ and so by (1) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\sigma_2(x) &= \sigma_2(a + b\sqrt[3]{2} + c\sqrt[3]{4} + d\omega + e\omega\sqrt[3]{2} + f\omega\sqrt[3]{4}) \\
&= \sigma_2(a) + \sigma_2(b)\sigma_2(\sqrt[3]{2}) + \sigma_2(c)\sigma_2(\sqrt[3]{4}) + \sigma_2(d)\sigma_2(\omega) + \sigma_2(e)\sigma_2(\omega)\sigma_2(\sqrt[3]{2}) + \sigma_2(f)\sigma_2(\omega)\sigma_2(\sqrt[3]{4}) \\
&= a + b\sqrt[3]{2}\omega^2 + c\omega\sqrt[3]{4} + d\omega^2 + e\omega^2\omega^2\sqrt[3]{2} + f\omega^2\omega\sqrt[3]{4} \\
&= a + b\omega^2\sqrt[3]{2} + c\omega\sqrt[3]{4} + d\omega^2 + e\omega\sqrt[3]{2} + f\sqrt[3]{4}.
\end{aligned}$$

Using $\omega^2 + \omega + 1 = 0$, we have $\omega^2 = -\omega - 1$. Replacing this in the above we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\sigma_2(x) &= a + b(-\omega - 1)\sqrt[3]{2} + c\omega\sqrt[3]{4} + d(-\omega - 1) + e\omega\sqrt[3]{2} + f\sqrt[3]{4} \\
&= a - b\omega\sqrt[3]{2} - b\sqrt[3]{2} + c\omega\sqrt[3]{4} - d\omega - d + e\omega\sqrt[3]{2} + f\sqrt[3]{4} \\
&= (a - d) - b\sqrt[3]{2} + f\sqrt[3]{4} - d\omega + (e - b)\omega\sqrt[3]{2} + c\omega\sqrt[3]{4}.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $x = \sigma_2(x)$, we obtain the system of equations

$$\begin{aligned} a &= a - d, \\ b &= -b, \\ c &= f, \\ d &= -d, \\ e &= e - b, \\ f &= c. \end{aligned}$$

Solving this system we obtain that $b = 0$, $d = 0$, $f = c$ and $a, c, e \in \mathbb{Q}$. Moreover, it is an immediate computation that if x in (1) satisfies $b = 0$, $d = 0$ and $f = c$ then $\sigma_2(x) = x$. Hence

$$E_{S_1} = \{a + c\sqrt[3]{4}(\omega + 1) + e\omega\sqrt[3]{2} \mid a, c, e \in \mathbb{Q}\} = \{a + e\omega\sqrt[3]{2} - c(\omega\sqrt[3]{2})^2 \mid a, c, e \in \mathbb{Q}\} = \mathbb{Q}(\omega\sqrt[3]{2}).$$

(b) We have

$$\sigma_3(\sqrt[3]{4}) = \sigma_3((\sqrt[3]{2})^2) = \sigma_3(\sqrt[3]{2})^2 = (\sqrt[3]{2}\omega)^2 = \omega^2\sqrt[3]{4}.$$

Moreover since $\sigma_3 \in G(E/\mathbb{Q})$ we have $\sigma_3(k) = k$ for any $k \in \mathbb{Q}$. Now let $x \in E_{S_2}$. Then $\sigma_3(x) = x$ and so using $\omega^2 = -\omega - 1$ and (1) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_3(x) &= \sigma_3(a + b\sqrt[3]{2} + c\sqrt[3]{4} + d\omega + e\omega\sqrt[3]{2} + f\omega\sqrt[3]{4}) \\ &= a + b\sqrt[3]{2}\omega + c\omega^2\sqrt[3]{4} + d\omega^2 + e\omega^2\omega\sqrt[3]{2} + f\omega^2\omega^2\sqrt[3]{4} \\ &= a + b\omega\sqrt[3]{2} + c\omega^2\sqrt[3]{4} + d\omega^2 + e\sqrt[3]{2} + f\omega\sqrt[3]{4} \\ &= a + b\omega\sqrt[3]{2} + c(-\omega - 1)\sqrt[3]{4} + d(-\omega - 1) + e\sqrt[3]{2} + f\omega\sqrt[3]{4} \\ &= (a - d) + e\sqrt[3]{2} - c\sqrt[3]{4} - d\omega + b\omega\sqrt[3]{2} + (f - c)\omega\sqrt[3]{4}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $x = \sigma_3(x)$, we obtain the system of equations

$$\begin{aligned} a &= a - d, \\ b &= e, \\ c &= -c, \\ d &= -d, \\ e &= b, \\ f &= f - c. \end{aligned}$$

Solving this system we obtain that $c = 0$, $d = 0$, $e = b$ and $a, b, f \in \mathbb{Q}$. Moreover, it is an immediate computation that if x in (1) satisfies $c = 0$, $d = 0$ and $e = b$ then $\sigma_3(x) = x$. Hence

$$E_{S_2} = \{a + b\sqrt[3]{2}(\omega + 1) + f\omega\sqrt[3]{4} \mid a, b, f \in \mathbb{Q}\} = \{a - b\omega^2\sqrt[3]{2} + f(\omega^2\sqrt[3]{2})^2 \mid a, b, f \in \mathbb{Q}\} = \mathbb{Q}(\omega^2\sqrt[3]{2}).$$

(c) We have

$$\sigma_4(\sqrt[3]{4}) = \sigma_4((\sqrt[3]{2})^2) = \sigma_4(\sqrt[3]{2})^2 = (\sqrt[3]{2})^2 = \sqrt[3]{4}.$$

Moreover since $\sigma_4 \in G(E/\mathbb{Q})$ we have $\sigma_4(k) = k$ for any $k \in \mathbb{Q}$. Now let $x \in E_{S_3}$. Then $\sigma_4(x) = x$ and so using $\omega^2 = -\omega - 1$ and (1) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_4(x) &= \sigma_4(a + b\sqrt[3]{2} + c\sqrt[3]{4} + d\omega + e\omega\sqrt[3]{2} + f\omega\sqrt[3]{4}) \\ &= a + b\sqrt[3]{2} + c\sqrt[3]{4} + d\omega^2 + e\omega^2\sqrt[3]{2} + f\omega^2\sqrt[3]{4} \\ &= a + b\sqrt[3]{2} + c\sqrt[3]{4} + d(-\omega - 1) + e(-\omega - 1)\sqrt[3]{2} + f(-\omega - 1)\sqrt[3]{4} \\ &= (a - d) + (b - e)\sqrt[3]{2} + (c - f)\sqrt[3]{4} - d\omega - e\omega\sqrt[3]{2} - f\omega\sqrt[3]{4}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $x = \sigma_3(x)$, we obtain the system of equations

$$\begin{aligned} a &= a - d, \\ b &= b - e, \\ c &= c - f, \\ d &= -d, \\ e &= -e, \\ f &= -f. \end{aligned}$$

Solving this system we obtain that $d = 0$, $e = 0$, $f = 0$ and $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Q}$. Moreover, it is an immediate computation that if x in (1) satisfies $d = 0$, $e = 0$ and $f = 0$ then $\sigma_4(x) = x$. Hence

$$E_{S_3} = \{a + b\sqrt[3]{2} + c\sqrt[3]{4} \mid a, b, c \in \mathbb{Q}\} = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[3]{2}).$$

(d) We have

$$\sigma_5(\sqrt[3]{4}) = \sigma_5((\sqrt[3]{2})^2) = \sigma_5(\sqrt[3]{2})^2 = (\sqrt[3]{2}\omega)^2 = \omega^2\sqrt[3]{4},$$

Moreover since $\sigma_5, \sigma_6 \in G(E/\mathbb{Q})$ we have $\sigma_5(k) = k$ and $\sigma_6(k) = k$ for any $k \in \mathbb{Q}$. Now let $x \in E_{S_4}$. Then $\sigma_5(x) = x$ and so using $\omega^2 = -\omega - 1$ and (1) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_5(x) &= \sigma_5(a + b\sqrt[3]{2} + c\sqrt[3]{4} + d\omega + e\omega\sqrt[3]{2} + f\omega\sqrt[3]{4}) \\ &= a + b\sqrt[3]{2}\omega + c\omega^2\sqrt[3]{4} + d\omega + e\omega\omega\sqrt[3]{2} + f\omega\omega^2\sqrt[3]{4} \\ &= a + b\omega\sqrt[3]{2} + c\omega^2\sqrt[3]{4} + d\omega + e\omega^2\sqrt[3]{2} + f\sqrt[3]{4} \\ &= a + b\omega\sqrt[3]{2} + c(-\omega - 1)\sqrt[3]{4} + d\omega + e(-\omega - 1)\sqrt[3]{2} + f\sqrt[3]{4} \\ &= a - e\sqrt[3]{2} + (f - c)\sqrt[3]{4} + d\omega + (b - e)\omega\sqrt[3]{2} - c\omega\sqrt[3]{4}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $x = \sigma_5(x)$, we obtain the system of equations

$$\begin{aligned} a &= a, \\ b &= -e, \\ c &= f - c, \\ d &= d, \\ e &= b - e, \\ f &= -c. \end{aligned}$$

Solving this system we obtain that $b = 0$, $c = 0$, $e = 0$, $f = 0$ and $a, d \in \mathbb{Q}$. Hence $x = a + d\omega$. Since $\sigma_6(\omega) = \omega$, it follows that $\sigma_6(x) = x$. Moreover it is an immediate computation that if x in (1) satisfies $b = 0$, $c = 0$, $e = 0$ and $f = 0$, then $\sigma_5(x) = x$ and $\sigma_6(x) = x$. Hence

$$E_{S_4} = \{a + d\omega \mid a, d \in \mathbb{Q}\} = \mathbb{Q}(\omega).$$

Problem 2. (Exam June 2015, Problem 5.) Let $E = F(\alpha_1, \alpha_2)$ be a Galois extension of a field F, and let $K_1 = F(\alpha_1)$ and $K_2 = F(\alpha_2)$. Consider the subgroups $H_1 = G(E/K_1)$ and $H_2 = G(E/K_2)$ of the Galois group $G(E/F)$.

(a) Show that $H_1 \cap H_2 = \{e\}$, that is, the intersection of H_1 with H_2 is the trivial subgroup of $G(E/F)$.

(b) Suppose that each element $g_1 \in H_1$ maps K_2 to K_2, and that each element $g_2 \in H_2$ maps K_1 to K_1. Show that $g_1 g_2 = g_2 g_1$ for all $g_1 \in H_1$, $g_2 \in H_2$.

Solution.

(a) Let $g \in H_1 \cap H_2$. Then $g \in \mathrm{Gal}(E/K_1)$ and so $g\big|_{K_1} = \mathrm{id}_{K_1}$. In particular, $g(\alpha_1) = \alpha_1$. Similarly, we have $g(\alpha_2) = \alpha_2$. Moreover, $g\big|_F = \mathrm{id}_F$ since $F \subseteq K_1$ and so $g(x) = x$ for every $x \in F$. Consider the field extensions

$$F \subseteq F(\alpha_1) \subseteq F(\alpha_1, \alpha_2).$$

Since $F \subseteq F(\alpha_1, \alpha_2)$ is a Galois extension, it is in particular a finite field extension. Hence a basis of $F(\alpha_1)$ over F is given by $\{1, \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_1^d\}$ for some $d \geq 0$ and a basis of $F(\alpha_1, \alpha_2)$ over $F(\alpha_1)$ is given by $\{1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_2^s\}$ for some $s \geq 0$. Hence a basis of $F(\alpha_1, \alpha_2)$ over F is given by

$$B = \{\alpha_1^i \alpha_2^j \mid 0 \leq i \leq d, 0 \leq j \leq s\}.$$

But $g(\alpha_1) = \alpha_1$ and $g(\alpha_2) = \alpha_2$ implies that $g\big|_B = \mathrm{id}_B$ since g is a ring homomorphism. It follows that $g : F(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) \to F(\alpha_1, \alpha_2)$ is the identity map. Since $g \in H_1 \cap H_2$ was arbitrary, we conclude that $H_1 \cap H_2 = \{\mathrm{id}_E\}$, as required.

(b) Let $\alpha \in E = F(\alpha_1, \alpha_2)$. It is enough to show that $g_1 g_2(\alpha) = g_2 g_1(\alpha)$ for any $\alpha \in E$. Since $g_1\big|_F = \mathrm{id}_F$ and $g_2\big|_F = \mathrm{id}_F$, for every $x \in F$ we have

$$g_1 g_2(x) = g_1 \mathrm{id}_F(x) = g_1(x) = \mathrm{id}_F(x) = x$$

and similarly $g_2 g_1(x) = x$. Hence $g_1 g_2(x) = g_2 g_1(x)$ for every $x \in F$. Moreover, since $g_1\big|_{F(\alpha_1)} = \mathrm{id}_{F(\alpha_1)}$, we have

$$g_2 g_1(\alpha_1) = g_2 \mathrm{id}_{F(\alpha_1)}(\alpha_1) = g_2(\alpha_1).$$

On the other hand, since $g_2(K_1) \subseteq K_1$, we have that $g_2(\alpha_1) \in K_1 = F(\alpha_1)$. Therefore

$$g_1 g_2(\alpha_1) = \mathrm{id}_{F(\alpha_1)} g_2(\alpha_1) = g_2(\alpha_1).$$

Hence we have shown that $g_2 g_1(\alpha_1) = g_1 g_2(\alpha_1)$. Similarly we have $g_2 g_1(\alpha_2) = g_1 g_2(\alpha_2)$. Therefore, and since $g_1 g_2$ and $g_2 g_1$ are ring homomorphisms, we see that $g_1 g_2\big|_B = g_2 g_1\big|_B$ where

$$B = \{\alpha_1^i \alpha_2^j \mid 0 \leq i \leq d, 0 \leq j \leq s\}.$$

is an F-basis of E as in part (a). Since $g_1 g_2$ and $g_2 g_1$ agree on both F and an F-basis of E, it readily follows that $g_1 g_2(\alpha) = g_2 g_1(\alpha)$ for every $\alpha \in E$, as required.

Chapter 17.2

Problem 3. (Exercise 17.2.1 in the book.) Find the Galois groups $G(K/\mathbb{Q})$ of the following extensions K of $\mathbb{Q}$:

(a) $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{3}, \sqrt{5})$.

(b) $K = \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$, where $\alpha = \cos 2\pi/3 + i \sin 2\pi/3$.

(c) K is the splitting field of $x^4 - 3x^2 + 4 \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$.

Solution.

(a) By Example 5.5 we have that

$$[\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{3}, \sqrt{5}) : \mathbb{Q}] = [\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{3}, \sqrt{5}) : \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{3})][\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{3}) : \mathbb{Q}] = 2 \cdot 2 = 4.$$

Moreover a $\mathbb{Q}$-basis of $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{3})$ is given by $\{1, \sqrt{3}\}$ and a $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{3})$-basis of $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{3}, \sqrt{5})$ is given by $\{1, \sqrt{5}\}$. Hence a $\mathbb{Q}$-basis of $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{3}, \sqrt{5})$ is given by $\{1, \sqrt{3}, \sqrt{5}, \sqrt{15}\}$.

In particular, $\mathbb{Q} \subseteq K$ is a finite extension. Moreover K is the splitting field of $(x^2 - 3)(x^2 - 5)$ hence $\mathbb{Q} \subseteq K$ is a normal extension. Since $\mathbb{Q}$ is a perfect field, the extension is also separable and so $\mathbb{Q} \subseteq K$ is Galois. Moreover $G(K/\mathbb{Q})$ has order 4 since $[K : \mathbb{Q}] = 4$.

Let $\sigma \in G(K/\mathbb{Q})$. Since $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{3}, \sqrt{5})$, σ is defined by its values on $\sqrt{3}$ and $\sqrt{5}$. We have

$$3 = \sigma(3) = \sigma(\sqrt{3}\sqrt{3}) = \sigma(\sqrt{3})\sigma(\sqrt{3}) = \sigma(\sqrt{3})^2$$

and so $\sigma(\sqrt{3}) \in \{\sqrt{3}, -\sqrt{3})$. Similarly, $\sigma(\sqrt{5}) \in \{\sqrt{5}, -\sqrt{5})$. Hence we have four different elements of $G(K/\mathbb{Q})$, say $\{\sigma_{++}, \sigma_{+-}, \sigma_{-+}, \sigma_{--}\}$ where

$$\begin{aligned}
\sigma_{++}(\sqrt{3}) &= \sqrt{3}, \sigma_{++}(\sqrt{5}) = \sqrt{5}, \\
\sigma_{+-}(\sqrt{3}) &= \sqrt{3}, \sigma_{+-}(\sqrt{5}) = -\sqrt{5}, \\
\sigma_{-+}(\sqrt{3}) &= -\sqrt{3}, \sigma_{-+}(\sqrt{5}) = \sqrt{5}, \\
\sigma_{--}(\sqrt{3}) &= -\sqrt{3}, \sigma_{--}(\sqrt{5}) = -\sqrt{5}.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $G(K/\mathbb{Q})$ has order 4, we conclude that $G(K/\mathbb{Q}) = \{\sigma_{++}, \sigma_{+-}, \sigma_{-+}, \sigma_{--}\} \cong \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ (since no element has order 4).

(b) We have $\alpha = e^{\frac{2\pi i}{3}}$ and so $\alpha^3 = 1$. Since the minimal polynomial of α over $\mathbb{Q}$ is $x^2 + x + 1$ (irreducible since its roots are α and α^2) we have $[\mathbb{Q}(\alpha) : \mathbb{Q}] = 2$. Hence $G(K/\mathbb{Q})$ has two elements and therefore it is the group $\mathbb{Z}_2$.

(c) Since K is the splitting field of a polynomial over $\mathbb{Q}$, the extension $\mathbb{Q} \subseteq K$ is Galois. We find the roots of $f(x) \coloneqq x^4 - 3x^2 + 4$. By setting $x^2 = y$ the equation $f(x) = 0$ becomes $y^2 - 3y + 4 = 0$. Hence

$$y = \frac{3 \pm \sqrt{9 - 16}}{2} = \frac{3 \pm i\sqrt{7}}{2},$$

and so $x^2 = \frac{3 \pm i\sqrt{7}}{2}$. To find x let us set $x = a + bi$. Then $(a + bi)^2 = \frac{3 \pm i\sqrt{7}}{2}$ gives

$$a^2 - b^2 + 2abi = \frac{3}{2} \pm i\frac{\sqrt{7}}{2}$$

and so we get the equations

$$a^2 - b^2 = \frac{3}{2} \tag{2}$$

and

$$2ab = \frac{\sqrt{7}}{2}. \tag{3}$$

On the other hand, we have

$$|(a + bi)^2| = |\frac{3 \pm i\sqrt{7}}{2}|$$

and since $|(a + bi)^2| = |a + bi|^2 = a^2 + b^2$, we conclude that

$$a^2 + b^2 = \sqrt{\left(\frac{3}{2}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{\sqrt{7}}{2}\right)^2} = \sqrt{\frac{9}{4} + \frac{7}{4}} = \sqrt{4} = 2. \tag{4}$$

Then (2) and (4) give

$$2a^2 = 2 + \frac{3}{2} = \frac{7}{2},$$

and so $a = \pm\frac{\sqrt{7}}{2}$. From (3) we obtain $b = \pm\frac{1}{2}$. We conclude that the roots of $f(x)$ are

$$\left\{\frac{\sqrt{7} + i}{2}, \frac{\sqrt{7} - i}{2}, \frac{-\sqrt{7} + i}{2}, \frac{-\sqrt{7} - i}{2}\right\}.$$

Hence $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{7}, i)$. Then

$$[K : \mathbb{Q}] = [\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{7}, i) : \mathbb{Q}] = [\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{7}, i) : \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{7})][\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{7}) : \mathbb{Q}] = 2 \cdot 2 = 4,$$

because the minimal polynomial of $\sqrt{7}$ over $\mathbb{Q}$ is $x^2 - 7$, while the minimal polynomial of i over $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{7})$ is $x^2 + 1$. Hence by the FTGT we have that $G(K/\mathbb{Q})$ is a group of order 4. An element $\sigma \in G(K/\mathbb{Q})$ is defined by its values on $\sqrt{7}$ and i. Therefore the automorphisms defined by

$$\begin{aligned}
\sigma_{++}(\sqrt{7}) &= \sqrt{7}, \quad \sigma_{++}(i) = i, \\
\sigma_{+-}(\sqrt{7}) &= \sqrt{7}, \quad \sigma_{+-}(i) = -i, \\
\sigma_{-+}(\sqrt{7}) &= -\sqrt{7}, \quad \sigma_{-+}(i) = i, \\
\sigma_{--}(\sqrt{7}) &= -\sqrt{7}, \quad \sigma_{--}(i) = -i,
\end{aligned}$$

are all the elements of $G(K/\mathbb{Q})$, and so $G(K/\mathbb{Q}) \cong \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ (since no element of $G(K/\mathbb{Q})$ has order 4).

Problem 4. (Exam May 2017, Problem 3(c)-(e).) Let E be the splitting field of $f(x) = x^{17} - 2 \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$ over $\mathbb{Q}$, that is $E = \mathbb{Q}(\omega, \sqrt[17]{2})$ where $\omega = e^{\frac{2\pi i}{17}}$. (see Problem 7 in Problem Set 3).

(a) Let $G = \mathrm{Gal}(E/\mathbb{Q})$ be the Galois group of E over $\mathbb{Q}$. Show that there exists an intermediate field L, $\mathbb{Q} \subseteq L \subseteq E$, such that L corresponds by the Galois correspondence to a normal subgroup H of G of order 17. Explain your argument.

(b) Show that there exists an intermediate field M, $\mathbb{Q} \subseteq M \subseteq E$, such that $[M : \mathbb{Q}] = 34$. [*Hint*: Use Sylow's Theorem.]

(c) Show that G is non-abelian. [*Hint*: G abelian implies that all subgroups are normal.]

Solution.

(a) Let $L = \mathbb{Q}(\omega)$. Then ω is a root of $x^{17} - 1 = (x - 1)\Phi_{17}(x)$. Moreover, the roots of $\Phi_{17}(x)$ are ω^i for $1 \leq 16$. Hence $\mathbb{Q}(\omega)$ is the splitting field of $\Phi_{17}(x) \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$. Therefore the field extension $\mathbb{Q} \subseteq \mathbb{Q}(\omega)$ is normal. By FTGT(5) we conclude $H \coloneqq \mathrm{Gal}(E/L)$ is a normal subgroup of $\mathrm{Gal}(E/\mathbb{Q})$. On the other hand, we have that the minimal polynomial of ω over $\mathbb{Q}$ is $\Phi_{17}(x)$ by Example 3.11(2). Therefore

$$[L : \mathbb{Q}] = [\mathbb{Q}(\omega) : \mathbb{Q}] = \deg(\Phi_{17}(x)) = 16.$$

By Problem 7 in Problem Set 3 we have that $[E : \mathbb{Q}] = 17 \cdot 16$. Hence

$$17 \cdot 16 = [E : \mathbb{Q}] = [E : L][L : \mathbb{Q}] = [E : L] \cdot 16$$

implies that $[E : L] = 17$. Then we obtain by FTGT(3) that

$$|\mathrm{Gal}(E/L)| = [E : L] = 17,$$

as required.

(b) By FTGT(3) we have that

$$|\mathrm{Gal}(E/\mathbb{Q})| = [E : \mathbb{Q}] = 17 \cdot 16 = 272.$$

Since $8 = 2^3$ divides 272, it follows by Sylow's first theorem (Theorem 8.4.2 in the book) that there exists a subgroup $F < \mathrm{Gal}(E/\mathbb{Q})$ with $|F| = 8$. Let $M = E_F$ so that $\mathbb{Q} \subseteq M \subseteq E$. Then $F = \mathrm{Gal}(E/M)$ by FTGT(2). By FTGT(3) we obtain

$$[E : M] = |\mathrm{Gal}(E/M)| = |F| = 8.$$

But then

$$272 = [E : \mathbb{Q}] = [E : M][M : \mathbb{Q}] = 8 \cdot [M : \mathbb{Q}]$$

implies $[M : \mathbb{Q}] = 34$, as required.

(c) Consider the field extension

$$\mathbb{Q} \subseteq \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[17]{2}) \subseteq E.$$

Then the polynomial $f(x) = x^{17} - 2$ is irreducible over $\mathbb{Q}$ (Eisenstein for $p = 2$) and has a root in $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[17]{2})$. However, it does not have all of its roots in $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[17]{2})$, since $\omega \notin \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[17]{2})$. By Theorem 8.5 we conclude that $\mathbb{Q} \subseteq \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[17]{2})$ is not a normal extension. By FTGT(5) we conclude that $\mathrm{Gal}(E/\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[17]{2}))$ is not a normal subgroup of $G = \mathrm{Gal}(E/\mathbb{Q})$. Using the hint we conclude that G is not an abelian group.

Problem 5. (Exam June 2015, Problem 7.) Let $f(x) = x^5 - x - 1 \in \mathbb{Z}_5[x]$ and $E = \mathbb{Z}_5(\beta)$, where β is a root of $f(x)$.

(a) Show that $\beta + 1$, $\beta + 2$, $\beta + 3$, $\beta + 4$ are also roots of $f(x)$. Deduce that $\beta \notin \mathbb{Z}_5$.

(b) Define $\sigma \in G(E/\mathbb{Z}_5)$ by $\sigma(\beta) = \beta + 1$. Find the order of σ in $G(E/\mathbb{Z}_5)$, and describe the action of σ on the roots of $f(x)$.

(c) Use the above and the FTGT to deduce that $f(x)$ is irreducible, and that $[E : \mathbb{Z}_5] = 5$.

Solution.

(a) Since $\mathrm{char}(E) = 5$, we have that $(a + b)^5 = a^5 + b^5$ for all $a, b \in E$. Moreover, by Fermat's little theorem we have $k^5 = k$ for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}_5$. Hence for $k \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ we have

$$f(\beta + k) = (\beta + k)^5 - (\beta + k) - 1 = \beta^5 + k^5 - \beta - k - 1 = (\beta^5 - \beta - 1) + k^5 - k = f(\beta) = 0.$$

We conclude that $\beta + k$ is a root of f for $k \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$. Assume to a contradiction that $\beta \in \mathbb{Z}_5$. Since $f(0) = -1 \neq 0$, we conclude that $\beta \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$. But then $\beta + k$ is a root of $f(x)$ for all $k \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ and since $\beta + k = 0$ for some $k \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ we obtain a contradiction (again, because 0 is not a root of $f(x)$.)

(b) We have $\sigma(k) = k$ for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}_5$, since $\sigma \in G(E/\mathbb{Z}_5)$. Since σ is a ring homomorphism, we have $\sigma(\beta + k) = \sigma(\beta) + \sigma(k) = \beta + 1 + k$ for all $k \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$. Then σ acts on the roots of $f(x)$ as

$$\beta \overset{\sigma}{\longmapsto} \beta + 1 \overset{\sigma}{\longmapsto} \beta + 2 \overset{\sigma}{\longmapsto} \beta + 3 \overset{\sigma}{\longmapsto} \beta + 4 \overset{\sigma}{\longmapsto} \beta + 5 = \beta$$

and so the order of σ is at least 5. Notice then that

$$\sigma^5(\beta^i) = (\sigma^5(\beta))^i = \beta^i.$$

Since $\mathbb{Z}_5(\beta)$ has a $\mathbb{Z}_5$-basis given by $1, \beta, \ldots, \beta^d$ for some d, we conclude that σ^5 acts as the identity on this basis. Since σ^5 acts as the identity on $\mathbb{Z}_5$, we conclude that $\sigma^5 = \mathrm{id}_E$ and so σ has order 5.

(c) The extension $\mathbb{Z}_5 \subseteq E = \mathbb{Z}_5(\beta)$ is finite since β is algebraic over $\mathbb{Z}_5$, is separable since $\mathbb{Z}_5$ is a finite field and is normal since it is the splitting field of $f(x)$ over $\mathbb{Z}_5$. Hence $\mathbb{Z}_5 \subseteq E$ is a Galois extension. Since $f(\beta) = 0$, we conclude that $[E : \mathbb{Z}_5] \leq 5$. On the other hand, since $\sigma \in G(E/\mathbb{Z}_5)$ has order 5, we conclude that $5 \leq |G(E/\mathbb{Z}_5)|$. By FTGT(3) we have that $|G(E/\mathbb{Z}_5)| = [E : \mathbb{Z}_5]$. Hence we have

$$5 \leq |G(E/\mathbb{Z}_5)| = [E : \mathbb{Z}_5] \leq 5,$$

from which we conclude that $[E : \mathbb{Z}_5] = 5$. We claim that $f(x)$ is the minimal polynomial of β over $\mathbb{Z}_5$. Indeed, if that is not the case, and since $f(\beta) = 0$ and $f(x)$ is monic, we conclude that there exists an irreducible polynomial $g(x)$ with $\deg(g) < 5$ and $g(\beta) = 0$. But then

$$5 = [E : \mathbb{Z}_5] = [\mathbb{Z}_5(\beta) : \mathbb{Z}_5] = \deg(g) = 4,$$

and we obtain a contradiction.

Problem 6. (Exam June 2015, Problem 6.) Let $F \subseteq E$ be a Galois extension of degree $[E : F]$.

(a) Is it possible that $[E : F] = 4$ and that there are precisely two proper intermediate fields between E and F?

(b) Suppose that $[E : F] = 6$ and that E is the splitting field of a polynomial of degree 3 (and a Galois extension of F.) How many proper intermediate fields are there between E and F?

Solution.

(a) Assume $[E : F] = 4$. Since $F \subseteq E$ is Galois, the Galois group $G(E/F)$ has order 4. Hence either $G(E/F) \cong \mathbb{Z}_4$ or $G(E/F) \cong \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$. The group $\mathbb{Z}_4$ has precisely one proper subgroup, namely $\{0, 2\}$. The group $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ has precisely three proper subgroups, namely $\{(0,0),(0,1)\}$, $\{(0,0),(1,0)\}$ and $\{(0,0),(1,1)\}$. By the FTGT it follows that there are either one or three proper intermediate fields between E and F and so the answer is no.

(b) Let $f(x) \in F[x]$ be the polynomial of degree 3 for which E is a splitting field. By the FTGT we have that $G(E/F)$ has order $[E : F] = 6$. Let α_1, α_2 and α_3 be the roots of $f(x)$ in E so that $E = F(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3)$. We first claim that $F(\alpha_i) \neq E$. Indeed, we have

$$[F(\alpha_i) : F] \leq \deg(f(x)) = 3 < 6 = [E : F],$$

and so $E = F(\alpha_i)$ is impossible. On the other hand, notice that

$$F(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) = F(\alpha_1, \alpha_3) = F(\alpha_2, \alpha_3) = E.$$

Indeed, let us show this for $F(\alpha_1, \alpha_2)$. In $F(\alpha_1, \alpha_2)$ we have that $(x - \alpha_1)(x - \alpha_2)$ divide $f(x)$ and so

$$\frac{f(x)}{(x - \alpha_1)(x - \alpha_2)} = x - \alpha_3 \in F(\alpha_1, \alpha_2)[x],$$

giving $\alpha_3 \in F(\alpha_1, \alpha_2)$. Then $F(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) = F(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3) = E$.

We now claim that $F(\alpha_i) \neq F(\alpha_j)$ for $i \neq j$. Indeed, if $F(\alpha_i) = F(\alpha_j)$, then

$$F(\alpha_i) = F(\alpha_i, \alpha_j) = E,$$

which we have shown to be impossible. Hence the fields $F(\alpha_1)$, $F(\alpha_2)$ and $F(\alpha_3)$ are all distinct subfields of E. We claim that $F(\alpha_i) \neq F$, that is $\alpha_i \notin F$. Indeed, say that $\alpha_1 \in F$, then $f(x) = (x - \alpha_1)p(x)$ where $p(x) \in F[x]$ has degree 2. In particular, E is the splitting field of $p(x)$ and α_2, α_3 are the roots of $p(x)$. Then $p(x)$ is divisible by $x - \alpha_2$ in $F(\alpha_2)$, implying that $\alpha_3 \in F(\alpha_2)$ which we have shown to not be true. Therefore $\alpha_1 \notin F$ and similarly $\alpha_2, \alpha_3 \notin F$.

We conclude that $F(\alpha_1)$, $F(\alpha_2)$ and $F(\alpha_3)$ are three different proper intermediate fields between E and F. Since $[G(E/F)] = 6$, we have by the FTGT that $G(E/F) = S_3$ or $G(E/F) = \mathbb{Z}_6$. Since $\mathbb{Z}_6$ has only 2 proper subgroups, we conclude that $G(E/F) = S_3$. It remains to find how many proper subgroups S_3 has. Since S_3 has order 6, any nontrivial proper subgroup of S_3 has order 2 or 3 and so its cyclic. Hence if $S_3 = \{\mathrm{id}, (12), (13), (23), (123), (132)\}$, then the subgroups are $\{\mathrm{id}, (12)\}$, $\{\mathrm{id}, (13)\}$, $\{\mathrm{id}, (23)\}$ and $\{\mathrm{id}, (123), (132)\}$. Since there are four proper nontrivial subgroups of S_3, by the FTGT it follows that there are four proper intermediate fields between F and E.

Problem 7. (Exam May 2017, Problem 5, Exam May 2013, Problem 6.) Let N be a Galois extension of K such that $G(N/K)$ is abelian. Let $\alpha \in N$ and let $p(x) \in K[x]$ be the minimal polynomial of α over K. Show that all roots of $p(x)$ lie in $K(\alpha)$.

Solution. By Theorem 8.5 it is enough to show that $K \subseteq K(\alpha)$ is a normal field extension. We have field extensions $K \subseteq K(\alpha) \subseteq N$ where $K \subseteq N$ is Galois by assumption. Let $G = \mathrm{Gal}(N/K)$ and $H = \mathrm{Gal}(N/K(\alpha))$. In particular, H is a subgroup of G. Since G is abelian by assumption, we conclude that H is a normal subgroup of G (since all subgroups of abelian groups are normal). By FTGT(5) we conclude that $K \subseteq K(\alpha)$, as required.

Problem 8. (Exercise 17.2.3 in the book.) Let $u \in \mathbb{R}$ and let $\mathbb{Q}(u)$ be a normal extension of $\mathbb{Q}$ such that $[\mathbb{Q}(u) : \mathbb{Q}] = 2^m$, where $m \geq 0$. Show that there exist intermediate fields K_i such that

$$K_0 = \mathbb{Q} \subseteq K_1 \subseteq K_2 \subseteq \cdots \subseteq K_m = \mathbb{Q}(u),$$

where $[K_i : K_{i-1}] = 2$. (Hint: Sylow's first theorem.)

Solution. We show the more general fact that if $\mathbb{Q} \subseteq K \subseteq \mathbb{Q}(u)$ and $K \subseteq \mathbb{Q}(u)$ is a normal extension of K such that $[\mathbb{Q}(u) : K] = 2^m$, then there exist intermediate fields K_i such that

$$K = K_0 \subseteq K_1 \subseteq K_2 \subseteq \cdots \subseteq K_m = \mathbb{Q}(u),$$

where $[K_i : K_{i-1}] = 2$. Then the claim in the statement of the problem follows by setting $K = \mathbb{Q}$.

The extension $K \subseteq \mathbb{Q}(u)$ is normal and finite by assumption. To show that it is separable, notice that $\mathbb{Q} \subseteq K$ implies that K has characteristic 0 and so is a perfect field. Therefore $K \subseteq \mathbb{Q}(u)$ is separable. Hence it is a Galois extension. We use induction on $m \geq 0$. For $m = 0$ there is nothing to show. For $m = 1$ we have that $[\mathbb{Q}(u) : K] = 2$ and the claim follows immediately. For the induction step, assume that the claim is true for m and we show it is true for $m+1$. Assume then that $[\mathbb{Q}(u) : K] = 2^{m+1}$. Then $G \coloneqq \mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(u)/K)$ is a group of order 2^{m+1} by FTGT(3). Hence by Sylow's first theorem (Theorem 8.4.2 in the book) there exists a subgroup H of $G = \mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(u)/K)$ of order 2^m. By FTGT(2) we have $H = G(\mathbb{Q}(u)/\mathbb{Q}(u)_H)$. Set $\mathbb{Q}(u)_H = L$, so that we have field extensions $\mathbb{Q} \subseteq K \subseteq L \subseteq \mathbb{Q}(u)$. By FTGT(3) we have that

$$[\mathbb{Q}(u) : L] = |G(\mathbb{Q}(u)/L)| = |H| = 2^m.$$

In particular we have $K \subseteq L \subseteq \mathbb{Q}(u)$ and $K \subseteq \mathbb{Q}(u)$ is normal by assumption. Then by Problem 12 in Problem Set 2 we conclude that $L \subseteq \mathbb{Q}(u)$ is also a normal extension. Since $[\mathbb{Q}(u) : L] = 2^m$, by induction hypothesis we conclude that there exist intermediate fields

$$L = L_0 \subseteq L_1 \subseteq L_2 \subseteq \cdots \subseteq L_m = \mathbb{Q}(u),$$

where $[L_i : L_{i-1}] = 2$. On the other hand, by FTGT(3) we have that

$$[L : K] = \tfrac{|\mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(u)/K)|}{|\mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(u)/L)|} = \tfrac{2^{m+1}}{2^m} = 2.$$

Hence by setting $K_0 = K$ and $K_i = L_{i-1}$ for $1 \leq i \leq m+1$, the claim follows.

Extra problems

The following problems may be a bit more challenging, in case you feel like you need something more.

Problem 9. (Chapter 17.2) (For those with a background on category theory, for example MA3204) Let $F \subseteq E$ be a field extension. Define two categories $\mathcal{F}$ and $\mathcal{G}$ by

$$\mathrm{Obj}(\mathcal{F}) = \{\text{intermediate fields } F \subseteq K \subseteq E\} \text{ and } \mathrm{Obj}(\mathcal{G}) = \{\text{subgroups } H < G(E/F)\},$$

and morphisms given by inclusion in both $\mathcal{F}$ and $\mathcal{G}$. Let $E_- : \mathcal{G} \to \mathcal{F}$ be the contravariant functor given by $E_-(H) = E_H$, and let $G(E/-) : \mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{G}$ be the contravariant functor given by $G(E/-)(K) = G(E/K)$.

(a) Show that the functors E_- and $G(E/-)$ are well-defined.

(b) Show that $(G(E/-), E_-)$ form an adjoint pair between $\mathcal{F}$ and $\mathcal{G}^{\mathrm{op}}$.

(c) Show that if $F \subseteq E$ is a Galois extension, then E_- is an isomorphism of categories with inverse given by $G(E/-)$.

Remark: This is an example of a special type of adjunction between poset categories called *Galois connection*.

Solution.

(a) First notice that if $H_1 < H_2$ are subgroups of $G(E/F)$, then $E_{H_2} \subseteq E_{H_1}$. Indeed, let $\alpha \in E_{H_2}$. Then $\sigma(\alpha) = \alpha$ for all $\sigma \in H_2$. Since $H_1 < H_2$, it follows that $\sigma(\alpha) = \sigma(\alpha)$ for all $\sigma \in H_1$ as well. Hence $\alpha \in E_{H_1}$ as required. This shows that $E_{H_2} \subseteq E_{H_1}$ and so E_- is well-defined on morphisms.

Hence if $H < G(E/F)$ is a subgroup, we have that $\{\mathrm{id}_E\} < H < G(E/F)$ and so applying E_- we obtain

$$F \subseteq E_{G(E/F)} \subseteq E_H \subseteq E_{\mathrm{id}_E} = E,$$

showing that E_H is well-defined on objects. It is also clear by the above that E_H respects identities and compositions.

Next notice that if we have field extensions $F \subseteq K_1 \subseteq K_2 \subseteq E$, then $G(E/K_2) < G(E/K_1)$. Indeed, let $\sigma \in G(E/K_2)$. Then $\sigma(\alpha) = \alpha$ for all $\alpha \in K_2$. Since $K_1 \subseteq K_2$, it follows that $\sigma(\alpha) = \alpha$ for all $\alpha \in K_1$ as well. Hence $\sigma \in G(E/K_1)$. This shows that $G(E/K_2) < G(E/K_1)$ and so $G(E/-)$ is well-defined on morphisms.

Hence if $F \subseteq K \subseteq E$ is a field extension, applying $G(E/-)$ we obtain

$$G(E/E) < G(E/K) < G(E/F),$$

showing that $G(E/-)$ is well-defined on objects. It is also clear by the above that $G(E/-)$ respects identities and compositions.

(b) Let $K \in \mathcal{F}$. We claim that

$$K \subseteq E_{G(E/K)}.$$

Indeed, if $\alpha \in K$, then for any $\sigma \in G(E/K)$ we have that $\sigma(\alpha) = \alpha$. Hence $\alpha \in E_{G(E/K)}$. Therefore, if we define for $K \in \mathcal{F}$ the map η_K to be the inclusion $K \subseteq E_{G(E/K)}$, then it is straightforward to see that this gives a natural transformation

$$\eta_K : 1_{\mathcal{F}} \to E_{G(E/-)}.$$

Similarly, if $H \in \mathcal{G}$, then we claim that

$$H < G(E/E_H).$$

Indeed, if $\sigma \in H$, then $\sigma(\alpha) = \alpha$ for every $\alpha \in E_H$. Hence $\sigma \in G(E/E_H)$. Therefore, if we define for $H \in \mathcal{G}$ the map ϵ_H to be the opposite morphism of the inclusion $H \subseteq G(E/E_H)$, then it is straightforward to see that this gives a natural transformation

$$\epsilon_H : G(E/E_-) \to 1_{\mathcal{G}^{\mathrm{op}}}.$$

We claim that ϵ and η form a unit-counit adjunction with respect to $G(E/-) : \mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{G}^{\mathrm{op}}$ and $E_- : \mathcal{G}^{\mathrm{op}} \to \mathcal{F}$.

First for every $K \in \mathcal{F}$ we have that $G(E/\eta_K)$ is the opposite morphism of the inclusion

$$G(E/E_{G(E/K)}) \subseteq G(E/K)$$

and $\epsilon_{G(E/K)}$ is the opposite morphism of the inclusion

$$G(E/K) \subseteq G(E/E_{G(E/K)}).$$

Hence the composition $\epsilon_{G(E/K)} \circ G(E/\eta_K)$ is the morphism $1_{G(E/K)} : G(E/K) \to G(E/K)$. This shows that

$$1_{G(E/K)} = \epsilon_{G(E/K)} \circ G(E/\eta_K),$$

which is the first equality for the unit-counit adjunction.

For the other equation, for every $H \in \mathcal{G}^{\text{op}}$ we have that η_{E_H} is the inclusion

$$E_H \subseteq E_{G(E/E_H)}$$

and E_{ϵ_H} is the inclusion

$$E_{G(E/E_H)} \subseteq E_H.$$

Hence the composition $E_{\epsilon_H} \circ \eta_{E_H}$ is the morphism $1_{E_H} : E_H \to E_H$. This shows that

$$1_{E_H} = E_{\epsilon_H} \circ \eta_{E_H}$$

which is the other equation needed for the unit-counit adjunction.

(c) By the fundamental theorem of Galois theory we have that if the extension is Galois, then $K = E_{G(E/K)}$ and $H = G(E/E_H)$ which is what is required.

Problem 10. (Chapter 17.2) Let F be a field and $f(x) \in F[x]$ be a polynomial of degree $n \geq 1$. Let E be the splitting field of $f(x)$. Show that $[E : F]$ divides $n!$.

Solution. We use induction on $n \geq 1$. If $n = 1$ then $f(x) = a + bx$ for some $a, b \in F$ and so $E = F$. Then $[E : F] = 1$ divides $n! = 1! = 1$.

Suppose now that the claim is true for any polynomial of degree strictly less than n and we show that it holds for $f(x) \in F[x]$ of degree n. We consider the cases where $f(x)$ is reducible and $f(x)$ is irreducible separately.

Case $f(x)$ is reducible. Then $f(x) = g(x)h(x)$ for some $g(x), h(x) \in F[x]$ with $\deg(g(x)) = l \geq 1$ and $\deg(h(x)) = m \geq 1$. Then $n = l + m$ and so $l, m < n$. Let K be the splitting field of $g(x)$ over F. Then $g(x)$ factors as a product of linear factors in $K[x]$ and

$$K = F(\{r \in K \mid g(r) = 0\}).$$

Moreover, we have that $[E : K]$ divides $l!$ by induction hypothesis. Notice that $h(x) \in K[x]$. Let L be the splitting field of $h(x)$ over K. Then $h(x)$ factors as a product of linear factors in $L[x]$ and

$$L = K(\{s \in L \mid h(s) = 0\}).$$

Again by induction hypothesis we have that $[L : K]$ divides $m!$. Now notice that $f(x)$ factors as a product of linear factors in $L[x]$ (since $g(x)$ and $h(x)$ do so) and that

$$L = K(\{s \in L \mid h(s) = 0\}) = F(\{s, r \in L \mid h(s) = 0, g(r) = 0\}) = F(\{t \in L \mid f(t) = 0\}).$$

Hence L is the splitting field of $f(x)$ over F and so $L \cong E$. Then

$$[E : F] = [L : F] = [L : K][K : F] \mid m!l! = m!(n - m)!.$$

But $m!(n - m)!$ divides $n!$ since $\binom{n}{m} = \frac{n!}{m!(n-m)!}$ is an integer. Hence $[E : F]$ divides $n!$ as required.

Case $f(x)$ is irreducible. Let $\alpha \in E$ be a root of $f(x)$. Then $[F(\alpha) : F] = \deg(f(x)) = n$. Moreover, in $F(\alpha)$ we have $f(x) = (x - \alpha)g(x)$ where $\deg(g(x)) = n - 1$. Let L be the splitting field of $g(x)$ over $F(\alpha)$. Then $g(x)$ factors as a product of linear factors in $L[x]$ and

$$L = F(\alpha)(\{r \in L \mid g(r) = 0\}).$$

Moreover we have that $[L : F(\alpha)]$ divides $(n - 1)!$ by induction hypothesis. Notice that $f(x)$ factors as a product of linear factors in $L[x]$ (since $g(x)$ does so) and that

$$L = F(\alpha)(\{r \in L \mid g(r) = 0\}) = F(\{r \in L \mid g(r) = 0\} \cup \{\alpha\}) = F(\{r \in L \mid f(r) = 0\}),$$

since $\alpha \in L$. Hence L is the splitting field of $f(x)$ over $K(\alpha)$ and so $L \cong E$. Then

$$[E : F] = [L : F] = [L : F(\alpha)][F(\alpha) : F] = [L : F(\alpha)] \cdot n.$$

Since $[L : F(\alpha)]$ divides $(n - 1)!$, we conclude that $[E : F]$ divides $n!$ as required.

Problem 11. (Chapter 17.2) Let $f(x) \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$ be an irreducible polynomial of degree 3. Let E be the splitting field of $f(x)$. What are the possible values of $[E : \mathbb{Q}]$? Provide an explicit example for each such possible value.

Solution. From Problem 9 we know that $[E : \mathbb{Q}]$ divides $3! = 6$. Hence $[E : \mathbb{Q}] \in \{1, 2, 3, 6\}$.

Case $[E : \mathbb{Q}] = 1$. In this case $f(x) = x - 1$ is an example, since the splitting field of $f(x)$ is $E = \mathbb{Q}$.

Case $[E : \mathbb{Q}] = 2$. We claim that this is impossible. Indeed, assume to a contradiction that $[E : \mathbb{Q}] = 2$. The there exists $\alpha \in E \backslash \mathbb{Q}$ which is a root of $f(x)$. Since $f(x)$ is irreducible, we have $[\mathbb{Q}(\alpha) : \mathbb{Q}] = \deg(f(x)) = 3$. But then $\mathbb{Q} \subseteq \mathbb{Q}(\alpha) \subseteq E$ gives

$$2 = [E : \mathbb{Q}] = [E : \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)][\mathbb{Q}(\alpha) : \mathbb{Q}] = [E : \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)] \deg(f(x)) = [E : \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)] \cdot 3 \geq 3,$$

which is a contradiction.

Case $[E : \mathbb{Q}] = 3$. Our aim is to find a Galois field extension $\mathbb{Q} \subseteq L$ with $[L : \mathbb{Q}] = 6$ and a normal subgroup H of $G \coloneqq \mathrm{Gal}(L/\mathbb{Q})$ such that H has order 2. Then by FTGT(2) we obtain $H = \mathrm{Gal}(L/L_H)$, by FTGT(3) we obtain $[L : L_H] = |H| = 2$, by FTGT(5) we obtain that $\mathbb{Q} \subseteq L_H$ is normal and by FTGT(6) we obtain $[L_H : \mathbb{Q}] = \frac{\mathrm{Gal}(L/\mathbb{Q})}{\mathrm{Gal}(L/L_H)} = \frac{[L:\mathbb{Q}]}{[L:L_H]} = \frac{6}{2} = 3$. Moreover, in this case there exist no intermediate fields strictly between $\mathbb{Q}$ and L_H. Indeed, if $\mathbb{Q} \subseteq F \subseteq L_H$, then

$$3 = [E : \mathbb{Q}] = [E : L_H][L_H : \mathbb{Q}]$$

implies that either $[E : L_H] = 1$ or $[L_H : \mathbb{Q}] = 1$ and so either $L_H = E$ or $L_H = \mathbb{Q}$. By Theorem 11.4 we conclude in this case that $L_H = \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$ for some $\alpha \in E$. In particular, since $[E : \mathbb{Q}] < \infty$, we have that α is algebraic over $\mathbb{Q}$ and hence the minimum polynomial $p_\alpha(x) \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$ exists. Now let $g \in \mathrm{Gal}(L_H/\mathbb{Q})$ have order three. Then $g : \mathbb{Q}(\alpha) \to \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$ is an isomorphism with $g(\alpha) \neq \alpha$. Then

$$0 = g(p_\alpha(\alpha)) = p_\alpha(g(\alpha))$$

implies that $g(\alpha) \neq \alpha$ is also a root of $p_\alpha(x)$ and similarly $g^2(\alpha)$ is also a root of $p_\alpha(x)$. We conclude that in this case $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$ is the splitting field of $p_\alpha(x)$. Now we proceed with finding a concrete example. Recall by Example 12.13(1) that if $\zeta = e^{\frac{2\pi i}{7}}$, then $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta)$ is the splitting field of $\Phi_7(x) = 1 + x + x^2 + x^3 + x^4 + x^5 + x^6$. Moreover, in this case, the Galois group $G = \mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta) : \mathbb{Q})$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}_7^*$ and so $[\mathbb{Q}(\zeta) : \mathbb{Q}] = 6$. More precisely, we have that $G = \{\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3, \sigma_4, \sigma_5, \sigma_6\}$ where $\sigma_i(\zeta) = \zeta^i$. In particular, we have

$$\sigma_6^2(\zeta) = \sigma(\zeta^6) = \zeta^{36} = \zeta,$$

and so $H = \{\sigma_1, \sigma_6\}$ is a subgroup of G of order 2 (notice that $\sigma_1 = \mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta)}$. Let us compute the fixed field $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta)_H$. A $\mathbb{Q}$-basis of L is given by $\{1, \zeta, \zeta^2, \zeta^3, \zeta^4, \zeta^5\}$. If $q = a + b\zeta + c\zeta^2 + d\zeta^3 + e\zeta^4 + f\zeta^5 \in \mathbb{Q}(\zeta)$, then $\sigma_6(q) = q$ if and only if

$$a + b\zeta^6 + c\zeta^5 + d\zeta^4 + e\zeta^3 + f\zeta^2 = a + b\zeta + c\zeta^2 + d\zeta^3 + e\zeta^4 + f\zeta^5,$$

which, using $\zeta^6 = -1 - \zeta - \zeta^2 - \zeta^3 - \zeta^4 - \zeta^5$ (which holds since ζ is a root of $\Phi_7(x)$), becomes equivalent to

$$(a - b) - b\zeta + (f - b)\zeta^2 + (e - b)\zeta^3 + (d - b)\zeta^4 + (c - b)\zeta^5 = a + b\zeta + c\zeta^2 + d\zeta^3 + e\zeta^4 + f\zeta^5.$$

By equating the coefficients of the same elements (which we can do since $\{1, \zeta, \zeta^2, \zeta^3, \zeta^4, \zeta^5\}$ is a linearly independent set), we obtain a linear system of equations with unknowns a, b, c, d, e, f. Solving this system we obtain $b = 0$, $c = f$ and $d = e$. Hence

$$q = a + c\zeta^2 + d\zeta^3 + d\zeta^4 + c\zeta^5 = a + c(\zeta^2 + \zeta^5) + d(\zeta^3 + \zeta^4).$$

Hence

$$\mathbb{Q}(\zeta)_H = \{a + c(\zeta^2 + \zeta^5) + d(\zeta^3 + \zeta^4) \mid a, c, d \in \mathbb{Q}\} = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta^2 + \zeta^5, \zeta^3 + \zeta^4).$$

As claimed in the general case, we have $[\mathbb{Q}(\zeta)_H, \mathbb{Q}] = 3$ since $[\mathbb{Q}(\zeta) : \mathbb{Q}(\zeta)_H] = |H| = 2$ by FTGT(3) and $[\mathbb{Q}(\zeta) : \mathbb{Q}] = 6$ by construction. We claim that $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta^2 + \zeta^5, \zeta^3 + \zeta^4) = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta^2 + \zeta^5)$. Indeed, since there exist no

intermediate fields between $\mathbb{Q}$ and $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta^2+\zeta^5, \zeta^3+\zeta^4)$, it is enough to show that $\zeta^2+\zeta^5$ is not in $\mathbb{Q}$. To this end we compute the minimal polynomial of $\zeta^2+\zeta^5$ over $\mathbb{Q}$. Notice that since $[\mathbb{Q}(\zeta^2+\zeta^5, \zeta^3+\zeta^4) : \mathbb{Q}] = 3$, the minimal polynomial has degree at most 3. We set $\alpha = \zeta^2+\zeta^5$ and we compute

$$\alpha^2 = 2 + \zeta^3 + \zeta^4,$$

and $\alpha^3 = \zeta + 3\alpha + \zeta^6$. Now we investigate if there exist $k, l, m \in \mathbb{Q}$ such that

$$\alpha^3 + k\alpha^2 + l\alpha + m = 0.$$

Replacing α^3 and α^2 and replacing $\zeta^6 = -1-\zeta-\zeta^2-\zeta^3-\zeta^4-\zeta^5$, the above equation becomes

$$(m+2k-1) + (2+l)\zeta^2 + (k-1)\zeta^3 + (k-1)\zeta^4 + (2+l)\zeta^5 = 0.$$

Again equating the coefficients gives $k = 1$, $l = -2$ and $m = -1$. Hence ζ is a root of $f(x) = x^3+x^2-2x-1 \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$. Notice that this polynomial has no roots in $\mathbb{Z}$ (since the only possible integer roots are divisors of the constant term 1, and neither 1 nor -1 is a root) and so it has no roots in $\mathbb{Q}$ by Theorem 3.7. Hence $\alpha \notin \mathbb{Q}$ and so $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta)_H = \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$. Moreover, since $f(x)$ is of degree 3, it follows that it is irreducible over $\mathbb{Q}$. Now notice that if $K = \mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta)_H/\mathbb{Q})$, then by FTGT(6) we have

$$K = \mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta)_H/\mathbb{Q}) \cong \mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta) : \mathbb{Q})/\,\mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta) : \mathbb{Q}(\zeta)_H) = G/H$$

has order 3. Given an element of $g \in K$ of order 3, $g(\alpha)$ and $g(\alpha^2)$ are both roots of $f(x)$ different than α and inside $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$. Hence $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$ is a splitting field of $f(x) = x^3+x^2-2x-1$ and $[\mathbb{Q}(\alpha) : \mathbb{Q}] = 3$, as required. (For an explicit $g \in K$ we may pick σ_3, so that $\sigma_3(\zeta^2+\zeta^5) = \zeta+\zeta^6$, $\sigma_3(\zeta+\zeta^6) = \zeta^3+\zeta^4$ and $\sigma_3(\zeta^3+\zeta^4) = \zeta^2+\zeta^5$, showing that the roots of $f(x)$ are $\zeta^2+\zeta^5, \zeta+\zeta^6$ and $\zeta^3+\zeta^4$.

Case $[E : \mathbb{Q}] = 6$. For an example of this case let $f(x) = x^3 - 2$. Then if E is the splitting field of $f(x)$, we have $[E : \mathbb{Q}] = 6$ as we computed in Example 7.5(1).