

Galois theory - Problem Set 1 solutions

Solved on Thursday 25.01

Chapter 11.1

Problem 1. Let R be an integral domain.

- (a) Let $a, x, y \in R$, $a \neq 0$. Show that if $ax = ay$, then $x = y$.
- (b) Let $a, b \in R$. Show that if $a \mid b$ and $b \mid a$, then there exists a unit $u \in R$ such that $b = ua$.
- (c) Let $a, u \in R$ where u is a unit. Show that a is a unit if and only if ua is a unit.
- (d) Let $a, b, u \in R$ where u is a unit. Show that $a \mid b$ if and only if $ua \mid b$.
- (e) Let $p \in R$. Show that if p is prime, then p is irreducible.
- (f) Let $p, u \in R$ where u is a unit. Show that p is irreducible respectively prime if and only if pu is irreducible respectively prime.
- (g) Let $a, p \in R$ with a not a unit and p prime. Show that if $a \mid p$, then there exists a unit $u \in R$ such that $a = up$.
- (h) Let $a, b \in R$. Show that $a \mid b$ if and only if $(b) \subseteq (a)$.

Solution.

- (a) Since $ax = ay$, we have that $a(x - y) = ax - ay = 0$. Since R is an integral domain, we have that $a = 0$ or $x - y = 0$. Since $a \neq 0$, we conclude that $x - y = 0$ or $x = y$.
- (b) If $b = 0$, then, since $b \mid a$, we have that $a = 0$ and so the claim holds for $u = 1$. Assume that $b \neq 0$. Since $a \mid b$, there exists $u \in R$ such that $b = ua$. It remains to show that u is a unit. Since $b \mid a$, there exists $v \in R$ such that $a = vb$. Then $b = ua = uvb$ and so $b1 = b(uv)$. By (a) we conclude that $1 = uv$ and so u is a unit.
- (c) If a is a unit, then $(ua)a^{-1}u^{-1} = 1$ and so ua is a unit too. If ua is a unit, then $a(u(ua)^{-1}) = 1$ and so a is a unit.
- (d) We have that $a \mid b$ if and only if there exists $c \in R$ with $b = ca$. Equivalently, we have that $b = (cu^{-1})ua$, or $ua \mid b$.
- (e) Let $p = ab$ for some $a, b \in R$. It is enough to show that a or b is a unit. Since p is prime, we have that $p \mid a$ or $p \mid b$. Without loss of generality, assume that $p \mid a$. Then $a = pc$ for some $c \in R$ and so

$$p1 = p = ab = (cp)b = p(cb)$$

gives $p1 = p(cb)$. By (a) we conclude that $1 = cb$ and so b is a unit, as required.

- (f) Since u is a unit, we have by (c) that p is not a unit if and only if up is not a unit. Hence we only need to show that the second condition in the definition of irreducible and prime holds for p if and only if it holds for up . Then p being prime is equivalent to up being prime by (d) and it remains to consider the irreducible case.

Assume that p is irreducible and we show that up is irreducible. Let $up = ab$ for some $a, b \in R$ and assume that b is not a unit. It is enough to show that a is a unit. Then $p = u^{-1}ab$ and since b is not a unit and p is irreducible, we conclude that $u^{-1}a$ is a unit. Hence $a = uu^{-1}a$ is a unit.

Assume that up is irreducible and we show that p is irreducible. Let $p = ab$ for some $a, b \in R$ and assume that b is not a unit. It is enough to show that a is a unit. Then $up = u(ab) = (ua)b$. Since up is irreducible, we conclude that ua is a unit. Hence $a = u^{-1}ua$ is a unit.

- (g) Since $a \mid p$, there exists $u \in R$ such that $p = ua$. By (e) we have that p is irreducible. Since a is not a unit, we conclude that u is a unit.
- (h) We have that $a \mid b$ if and only if $b = ca$ for some $c \in R$. Equivalently, $b \in (a)$ or $(b) \subseteq (a)$.

Problem 2. Let R be an integral domain such that for every $x, y \in R$ we have that $\gcd(x, y)$ exists. Let $a, b, c \in R$.

- (a) (Exercise 11.1.1 in the book.) Show that $\gcd(ca, cb) = c \gcd(a, b)$.
- (b) (Exercise 11.1.2 in the book.) Show that if $\gcd(a, b) = 1$ and if $a \mid c$ and $b \mid c$, then $ab \mid c$.
- (c) (Exercise 11.1.3 in the book.) Show that if $\gcd(a, b) = 1$ and $b \mid ac$, then $b \mid c$.

Solution.

- (a) We have that $\gcd(a, b) = 0$ if and only if $a = b = 0$ since 0 divides only 0. Hence the claim is trivially true if $c = 0$ or $a = b = 0$ and so we may assume that $c \neq 0$ and $\gcd(a, b) \neq 0$.

Let $d = \gcd(a, b)$ and $e = \gcd(ca, cb)$. Since $d \mid a$ and $d \mid b$, there exist $r_1, r_2 \in R$ such that $a = r_1d$ and $b = r_2d$. Then $ca = r_1cd$ and $cb = r_2cd$ and so $cd \mid ca$ and $cd \mid cb$. Hence $cd \mid \gcd(ca, cb) = e$ and so there exists $s \in R$ such that $e = s(cd)$. Recall that the greatest common divisor is defined only up to a unit by Remark 1.10(1). Hence it is enough to show that s is a unit. Since $e \mid ca$ and $e \mid cb$, there exist $t_1, t_2 \in R$ such that $ca = t_1e$ and $cb = t_2e$. Using all this we have

$$(cd)r_1 = c(r_1d) = ca = t_1e = t_1(scd) = (cd)(t_1s)$$

and so by Problem 1(a) we have that $r_1 = t_1s$. Hence $a = t_1(sd)$. Similarly we have that $b = t_2(sd)$. Hence $sd \mid a$ and $sd \mid b$, which imply that $sd \mid \gcd(a, b) = d$. Then there exists $u \in R$ with $d = u(sd) = (us)d$. By Problem 1(a) we conclude that $us = 1$ and so s is a unit as required.

- (b) Since $a \mid c$ and $b \mid c$, there exist $r_1, r_2 \in R$ such that $c = r_1a$ and $c = r_2b$. Then by (a) we have

$$c = c1 = c \gcd(a, b) = \gcd(ca, cb) = \gcd(r_2ba, r_1ab) = ab \gcd(r_2, r_1),$$

and so $ab \mid c$.

- (c) Since $b \mid ac$, there exists $r \in R$ such that $ac = rb$. Then by (a) we have

$$c = c1 = c \gcd(a, b) = \gcd(ca, cb) = \gcd(rb, cb) = b \gcd(r, c),$$

and so $b \mid c$.

Problem 3. (Exercise 11.1.8 in the book.) Show that in the ring $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-3}]$ the gcd of 4 and $2 + 2\sqrt{-3}$ does not exist.

Solution. Let us first compute the common divisors of 4 and $2 + 2\sqrt{-3}$. That is, we assume that $(a + b\sqrt{-3}) \mid 4$ and $(a + b\sqrt{-3}) \mid (2 + 2\sqrt{-3})$ for some $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$. In particular, $(a, b) \neq (0, 0)$. Then there exist $x, y, z, w \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} (a + b\sqrt{-3})(x + y\sqrt{-3}) &= 4, \\ (a + b\sqrt{-3})(z + w\sqrt{-3}) &= 2 + 2\sqrt{-3}. \end{aligned}$$

We want to solve for x, y, z, w . Hence we divide both sides by $a + b\sqrt{-3}$ to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}x + y\sqrt{-3} &= \frac{4}{a + b\sqrt{-3}}, \\z + w\sqrt{-3} &= \frac{2 + 2\sqrt{-3}}{a + b\sqrt{-3}}.\end{aligned}$$

We now multiply the numerator and denominator of the right hand side by $a - b\sqrt{-3}$ to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}x + y\sqrt{-3} &= \frac{4}{a^2 + 3b^2}(a - b\sqrt{-3}), \\z + w\sqrt{-3} &= \frac{2 + 2\sqrt{-3}}{a^2 + 3b^2}(a - b\sqrt{-3}).\end{aligned}$$

Rearranging, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}x + y\sqrt{-3} &= \frac{4a}{a^2 + 3b^2} - \frac{4b}{a^2 + 3b^2}\sqrt{-3}, \\z + w\sqrt{-3} &= \frac{2a + 6b}{a^2 + 3b^2} + \frac{2a - 2b}{a^2 + 3b^2}\sqrt{-3}.\end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}x &= \frac{4a}{a^2 + 3b^2}, \\y &= \frac{-4b}{a^2 + 3b^2}, \\z &= \frac{2a + 6b}{a^2 + 3b^2}, \\w &= \frac{2a - 2b}{a^2 + 3b^2}.\end{aligned}$$

We investigate the cases for a and b so that all of x, y, z, w are integers:

- If $|a| > 4$, then x is not an integer.
- If $|b| \geq 2$, then y is not an integer.
- If $|a| = 4$ and $|b| = 1$, then x is not an integer.
- If $|a| = 4$ and $b = 0$, then z is not an integer.
- If $|a| = 3$ and $|b| = 1$, then y is not an integer.
- If $|a| = 3$ and $b = 0$, then x is not an integer.
- If $|a| = 2$ and $|b| = 1$, then x is not an integer.
- If $a = 0$ and $b = 0$, then this contradicts $(a, b) \neq (0, 0)$.
- If $a = 0$ and $|b| = 1$, then y is not an integer.

It follows then that

$$(a, b) \in \{(2, 0), (-2, 0), (1, 1), (1, 0), (1, -1), (-1, 1), (-1, 0), (-1, -1)\},$$

or that the common divisors of 4 and $2 + 2\sqrt{-3}$ are given by the set

$$C = \{2, -2, 1 + \sqrt{-3}, 1, 1 - \sqrt{-3}, -1 + \sqrt{-3}, -1, -1 - \sqrt{-3}\}.$$

Hence if $d := \gcd(4, 2 + 2\sqrt{-3})$ exists, then $d \in C$. Notice that $2 \nmid 1$ hence $d \neq 1$. Also 2 does not divide any of $1 + \sqrt{-3}, 1 - \sqrt{-3}, -1 + \sqrt{-3}, -1 - \sqrt{-3}$. Indeed, say that $2 \mid (1 + \sqrt{-3})$. Then there exist $u, v \in \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-3}]$ such that

$$2(u + v\sqrt{-3}) = 1 + \sqrt{-3}$$

or $2u = 1$ which is a contradiction, and similarly for the rest. Hence we are left with the only possibility that $d = 2$ (the case $d = -2$ is the same since gcd is defined only up to a unit). But we claim that $(1 + \sqrt{-3}) \nmid 2$. Indeed, assuming otherwise there exist $k, l \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$(1 + \sqrt{-3})(k + l\sqrt{-3}) = 2.$$

This gives

$$k + l\sqrt{-3} + k\sqrt{-3} - 3l = 2,$$

which after rearranging becomes

$$(k - 3l) + (k + l)\sqrt{-3} = 2.$$

Therefore we obtain that $k - 3l = 2$ and $k + l = 0$, which has the only solution $k = \frac{1}{2}$ and $l = -\frac{1}{2}$. But this is not an integer solution. Hence $d \neq 2$ and so $\gcd(4, 2 + 2\sqrt{-3})$ does not exist.

Chapter 11.3

Problem 4. Let $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and consider the map $\phi : \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{k}] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ defined by $\phi(a + b\sqrt{k}) = |a^2 - kb^2|$.

- (a) Show that ϕ is multiplicative, that is for all $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{Z}$ we have $\phi((a + b\sqrt{k})(c + d\sqrt{k})) = \phi(a + b\sqrt{k})\phi(c + d\sqrt{k})$.
- (b) Show that for all $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{Z}$ we have that if $(a + b\sqrt{k}) \mid (c + d\sqrt{k})$, then $\phi(a + b\sqrt{k}) \mid \phi(c + d\sqrt{k})$.
- (c) Show that $a + b\sqrt{k} \in \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{k}]$ is a unit if and only if $\phi(a + b\sqrt{k}) = 1$.

Solution.

- (a) We compute

$$\begin{aligned} \phi((a + b\sqrt{k})(c + d\sqrt{k})) &= \phi((ac + kbd) + (ad + bc)\sqrt{k}) \\ &= |(ac + kbd)^2 - k(ad + bc)^2| \\ &= |a^2c^2 + 2kabcd + k^2b^2d^2 - ka^2d^2 - 2kabcd - kb^2c^2| \\ &= |a^2c^2 - kb^2c^2 + k^2b^2d^2 - ka^2d^2| \\ &= |c^2(a^2 - kb^2) - kd^2(a^2 - kb^2)| \\ &= |(a^2 - kb^2)(c^2 - kd^2)| \\ &= |a^2 - kb^2||c^2 - kd^2| \\ &= \phi(a + b\sqrt{k})\phi(c + d\sqrt{k}). \end{aligned}$$

- (b) By assumption there exist $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$(c + d\sqrt{k}) = (x + y\sqrt{k})(a + b\sqrt{k}).$$

By (a) we obtain

$$\phi(c + d\sqrt{k}) = \phi(x + y\sqrt{k})\phi(a + b\sqrt{k})$$

and so $\phi(a + b\sqrt{k}) \mid \phi(c + d\sqrt{k})$.

(c) Assume that $a + b\sqrt{k} \in \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{k}]$ is a unit. Then $(a + b\sqrt{k}) \mid 1$ and so by (b) we obtain that $\phi(a + b\sqrt{k}) \mid \phi(1) = 1$. Hence $\phi(a + b\sqrt{k}) \in \{-1, 1\}$. But since $\phi(a + b\sqrt{k}) = |a^2 - kb^2| \geq 0$, we conclude that $\phi(a + b\sqrt{k}) = 1$.

Assume now that $\phi(a + b\sqrt{k}) = 1$. Then $|a^2 - kb^2| = 1$. We then have

$$(a + b\sqrt{k})(a - b\sqrt{k}) = a^2 - kb^2 = \pm 1$$

and hence either $a - b\sqrt{k}$ or $-a + b\sqrt{k}$ is an inverse of $a + b\sqrt{k}$.

Problem 5. (Exercise 11.3.4 in the book.) Let $a = 3 + 2i$ and $b = 2 - 3i$ be two elements in $\mathbb{Z}[i]$. Find q and r in $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ such that $a = bq + r$ and $\phi(r) < \phi(b)$, where $\phi(x + yi) = x^2 + y^2$.

Solution. We compute

$$\frac{a}{b} = \frac{3 + 2i}{2 - 3i} = \frac{(3 + 2i)(2 + 3i)}{(2 - 3i)(2 + 3i)} = \frac{13i}{4 + 9} = i.$$

Hence $a = bi + 0$ and $\phi(0) < \phi(b)$.

Problem 6. (Exercise 11.3.2 in the book) Show that the ring $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{2}]$ is a euclidean domain and a UFD. Explain why in the UFD $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{2}]$ we have

$$(5 + \sqrt{2})(2 - \sqrt{2}) = (11 - 7\sqrt{2})(2 + \sqrt{2})$$

even though each of the factors is irreducible.

Solution. We define the function $\phi : \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{2}] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ given by $\phi(a + b\sqrt{2}) = |a^2 - 2b^2|$ and we show that this gives $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{2}]$ the structure of a euclidean domain. By Problem 4(a) we have that ϕ is multiplicative and so condition (i) of Definition 2.1 follows. For condition (ii), let $\alpha = a_1 + a_2\sqrt{2}, \beta = b_1 + b_2\sqrt{2} \in \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{2}]$ with $\beta \neq 0$. Then there exist $x, y \in \mathbb{Q}$ such that

$$\frac{\alpha}{\beta} = x + y\sqrt{2}.$$

Let $c_1 \in \mathbb{Z}$ be the closest integer to x so that $|x - c_1| \leq \frac{1}{2}$. Similarly let $c_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$ be such that $|y - c_2| \leq \frac{1}{2}$. Set $q := c_1 + c_2\sqrt{2} \in \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{2}]$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha &= \beta(x + y\sqrt{2}) \\ &= \beta((x - c_1) + (y - c_2)\sqrt{2} + (c_1 + c_2\sqrt{2})) \\ &= q\beta + \beta((x - c_1) + (y - c_2)\sqrt{2}). \end{aligned}$$

Set $r := \beta((x - c_1) + (y - c_2)\sqrt{2}) = \alpha - q\beta \in \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{2}]$. It remains to show that $\phi(r) < \phi(\beta)$. Clearly we may extend ϕ to a function $\phi : \mathbb{Q}[\sqrt{2}] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$, so that again we have

$$\phi((a + b\sqrt{2})(c + d\sqrt{2})) = \phi(a + b\sqrt{2})\phi(c + d\sqrt{2})$$

for all $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{Q}$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(r) &= \phi(\beta)\phi((x - c_1) + (y - c_2)\sqrt{2}) \\ &= \phi(\beta)|x - c_1|^2 - 2(y - c_2)^2| \\ &\leq \phi(\beta)((x - c_1)^2 + 2(y - c_2)^2) \\ &\leq \phi(\beta)\left(\frac{1}{4} + 2\frac{1}{4}\right) \\ &= \frac{3}{4}\phi(\beta) < \phi(\beta), \end{aligned}$$

as required. Hence $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{2}]$ is a Euclidean domain and so it is a UFD. Now consider the factorizations

$$(5 + \sqrt{2})(2 - \sqrt{2}) = (11 - 7\sqrt{2})(2 + \sqrt{2}).$$

in $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{2}]$. Since $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{2}]$ is a UFD and these elements are irreducible, it follows that by factoring out some units we obtain the same factorization. By Problem 4(c) we have that $u \in \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{2}]$ is a unit if and only if $\phi(u) = 1$. Notice that

$$\phi(2 - \sqrt{2}) = |2^2 - 2 \cdot 1^2| = 2 = \phi(2 + \sqrt{2})$$

And hence we suspect that $2 - \sqrt{2}$ and $2 + \sqrt{2}$ differ by a unit. Indeed, we have

$$\frac{2 - \sqrt{2}}{2 + \sqrt{2}} = \frac{(2 - \sqrt{2})^2}{2} = \frac{4 - 4\sqrt{2} + 2}{2} = 3 - 2\sqrt{2}$$

and so $2 - \sqrt{2} = (2 + \sqrt{2})(3 - 2\sqrt{2})$. Since $\phi(3 - 2\sqrt{2}) = 9 - 8 = 1$, we have that $3 - 2\sqrt{2}$ is indeed a unit. Then, we have

$$(5 + \sqrt{2})(2 - \sqrt{2}) = (5 + \sqrt{2})(2 + \sqrt{2})(3 - 2\sqrt{2}) = (5 + \sqrt{2})(3 - 2\sqrt{2})(2 + \sqrt{2}) = (11 - 7\sqrt{2})(2 + \sqrt{2}),$$

and hence no contradiction.

Problem 7. (Exercise 11.3.8 in the book.) Show that $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-6}]$ is not a euclidean domain.

Solution. It is enough to show that $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-6}]$ is not a PID. Notice that $2 \mid -6$ but $-6 = \sqrt{-6}\sqrt{-6}$ in $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-6}]$ and $2 \nmid \sqrt{-6}$. Hence 2 is not prime. We claim that 2 is irreducible. Since $\phi(2) = 4 \neq 1$, we have that 2 is not a unit by Problem 4(c). Next assume that

$$2 = (a + b\sqrt{-6})(c + d\sqrt{-6})$$

for some $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{Z}$ and that $c + d\sqrt{-6}$ is not a unit, and we show that $a + b\sqrt{-6}$ is a unit. By Problem 4(b) we have that $\phi(c + d\sqrt{-6}) \mid \phi(2) = 4$. Since $\phi(c + d\sqrt{-6}) \geq 0$, we have that $\phi(c + d\sqrt{-6}) \in \{1, 2, 4\}$. Since $c + d\sqrt{-6}$ is not a unit, we have that $\phi(c + d\sqrt{-6}) \in \{2, 4\}$ by Problem 4(c). Assume to a contradiction that $\phi(c + d\sqrt{-6}) = 2$. Then

$$2 = \phi(c + d\sqrt{-6}) = |c^2 + 6d^2| = c^2 + 6d^2,$$

and $c^2 + 6d^2 = 2$ clearly has no solutions $c, d \in \mathbb{Z}$. Hence $\phi(c + d\sqrt{-6}) = 4$. But then by Problem 4(a) we have $\phi(a + b\sqrt{-6}) = 1$ and so $a + b\sqrt{-6}$ is a unit by Problem 4(c). Since every irreducible element in a PID is prime, and since 2 is irreducible but not prime, we conclude that $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-6}]$ is not a PID and hence not a Euclidean domain.

Chapter 15.1

Problem 8. (Exercise 15.1.1 in the book.) Show that $f(x) = x^3 + 3x + 2 \in \mathbb{Z}_7[x]$ is irreducible over the field \mathbb{Z}_7 .

Solution. We compute $f(0) = 2$, $f(1) = 6$, $f(2) = 2$, $f(3) = 3$, $f(4) = 1$, $f(5) = 2$, $f(6) = 5$ and so $f(x)$ has no root in \mathbb{Z}_7 . It follows by Lemma 3.4(3) that $f(x)$ is irreducible in $\mathbb{Z}_7[x]$.

Problem 9. (Exercise 15.1.4 in the book.) Show that $f(x) = x^3 + ax^2 + bx + 1 \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ is reducible over \mathbb{Z} if and only if either $a = b$ or $a + b = -2$.

Solution. By Lemma 3.6 we have that $f(x)$ is irreducible over \mathbb{Z} if and only if $f(x)$ is primitive and irreducible over \mathbb{Q} . Since $f(x)$ is primitive, it follows that $f(x)$ is irreducible over \mathbb{Z} if and only if $f(x)$ is irreducible over \mathbb{Q} . Hence we may check reducibility of this polynomial over \mathbb{Q} .

By Lemma 3.4(3) we have that $f(x)$ is reducible over \mathbb{Q} if and only if $f(x)$ has a root in \mathbb{Q} . Equivalently, there exists $r \in \mathbb{Q}$ such that $f(r) = 0$. Since $f(x)$ is monic, by Theorem 3.7 we obtain that $r \in \mathbb{Z}$. Since $f(r) = 0$, we have

$$r^3 + ar^2 + br + 1 = 0.$$

We may rewrite this as

$$r(r^2 + ar + b) = -1$$

to obtain that either $r = 1$ or $r = -1$. If $r = 1$, then we have $1 + a + b = -1$ and so $a + b = -2$. If $r = -1$, then we have $-(1 - a + b) = -1$ and so $a = b$.

Problem 10. (Exercise 15.1.2 in the book.) Show that $f(x) = x^4 + 8 \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$ is irreducible over \mathbb{Q} .

Solution. Since $\gcd(1, 8) = 1$, we have that $f(x)$ is primitive. Hence by Lemma 3.6 it is enough to show that $f(x)$ is irreducible over \mathbb{Z} . Assume to a contradiction that $f(x) = g(x)h(x)$ with $g(x), h(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$. Then $g(x)$ and $h(x)$ are monic polynomials since $f(x)$ is monic, and $\deg(g), \deg(h) \in \{1, 2, 4\}$ since $\deg(g)\deg(h) = \deg(f) = 4$.

Assume first that $\deg(g) = 1$. Then $g(x) = x + a \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ has a root in \mathbb{Z} , but $f(x)$ has no root in \mathbb{Z} , and so we reach a contradiction.

Assume now that $\deg(g) = 4$. Then $\deg(h) = 1$ and again we reach a contradiction.

Finally assume that $\deg(g) = 2$. Then we must have $\deg(h) = 2$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} g(x) &= x^2 + ax + b \\ h(x) &= x^2 + cx + d \end{aligned}$$

for some $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then

$$x^4 + 8 = (x^2 + ax + b)(x^2 + cx + d) = x^4 + (c + a)x^3 + (d + ac + b)x^2 + (ad + bc)x + bd$$

implies

$$\begin{aligned} c + a &= 0 \\ d + ac + b &= 0 \\ ad + bc &= 0 \\ bd &= 8 \end{aligned}$$

From $a = -c$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} d + b - c^2 &= 0 \\ -c(d - b) &= 0 \\ bd &= 8 \end{aligned}$$

and so either $d - b = 0$ or $c = 0$ and so $d + b = 0$. In any case, $d = \pm b$. But then $bd = 8$ gives $\pm b^2 = 8$, which is impossible. Hence such a decomposition does not exist and f is irreducible.

Problem 11. Prove or disprove that $\sqrt[19]{17000}$ is a rational number.

Solution. Let $r = \sqrt[19]{17000}$. Then $r^{19} - 17000 = 0$ and so r is a root of the polynomial $f(x) = x^{19} - 17000 \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$. We have $17000 = 2^3 \cdot 5^3 \cdot 17$ and so by applying Eisenstein Criterion on $f(x)$ with $p = 17$ we have that $f(x)$ is irreducible over \mathbb{Q} . By Lemma 3.4(2) we conclude that $f(x)$ has no root in \mathbb{Q} . Since r is a root of $f(x)$, it follows that $r \notin \mathbb{Q}$.

Problem 12. Find the unique factorization of $f(x) = x^4 + x^3 - 3x^2 + 3x + 3 \in \mathbb{Z}_5[x]$

Solution. We first find a root of $f(x)$. We have

$$f(x) = x^4 + x^3 - 3x^2 + 3x + 3 = x^4 + x^3 + 2x^2 + 3x + 3$$

and

$$f(0) = 3, \quad f(1) = 0.$$

and so 1 is a root of $f(x)$. Dividing $f(x)$ by $x - 1$ we obtain

$$f(x) = (x - 1)(x^3 + 2x^2 + 4x + 2) = (x + 4)g(x),$$

where $g(x) = x^3 + 2x^2 + 4x + 2$ and $x + 4$ is irreducible by Lemma 3.4(1). Next we do the same process with $g(x)$. We know that 0 is not a root of $g(x)$ (since it is not a root of f) and so we start checking from 1.

$$g(1) = 4, \quad g(2) = 1, \quad g(3) = 4, \quad g(4) = 4.$$

Hence $g(x)$ has no root in \mathbb{Z}_5 . Since $\deg(g) = 3$, we have by Lemma 3.4(3) that $g(x)$ is irreducible. Hence

$$f(X) = (x + 4)(x^3 + 2x^2 + 4x + 2),$$

is the unique factorization of $f(x)$ in $\mathbb{Z}_5[x]$.

Chapter 15.2

Problem 13. (Exercise 15.2.4 in the book.) Find the smallest extension of \mathbb{Q} having a root of $f(x) = x^2 + 4 \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$.

Solution. The roots of $f(x)$ in \mathbb{C} are $2i$ and $-2i$. Hence $f(x)$ has a root in $\mathbb{Q}(i)$. Since $x^2 + 1$ is irreducible, we have

$$[\mathbb{Q}(i) : \mathbb{Q}] = \deg(x^2 + 1) = 2.$$

Since this is the smallest possible degree of a non-trivial field extension, we conclude that $\mathbb{Q} \subseteq \mathbb{Q}(i)$ is the smallest extension of \mathbb{Q} having a root of $f(x)$.

Problem 14. (Exercise 15.2.1 in the book.) Show that $p(x) = x^2 - x - 1 \in \mathbb{Z}_3[x]$ is irreducible over \mathbb{Z}_3 . Show that there exists an extension K of \mathbb{Z}_3 with nine elements having all roots of $p(x)$.

Solution. Since $p(0) = 2$, $p(1) = 2$, $p(2) = 1$, we conclude that $p(x)$ is irreducible over $\mathbb{Z}_3[x]$ by Lemma 3.4(3). Let $K = \mathbb{Z}_3[x]/(p(x))$ and $\alpha = \bar{x} = x + (p(x)) \in K$. Then in K we have

$$p(\alpha) = \bar{x}^2 - \bar{x} - 1 = \overline{x^2 - x - 1} = \overline{p(x)} = 0$$

and so α is a root of $p(x)$. Since $p(x)$ is irreducible, we have by Theorem 4.6 that $K \cong \mathbb{Z}_3(\alpha)$ and

$$[\mathbb{Z}_3(\alpha) : \mathbb{Z}_3] = \deg(p) = 2$$

and $1, \alpha$ is a \mathbb{Z}_3 -basis of $\mathbb{Z}_3(\alpha)$. In other words,

$$\mathbb{Z}_3(\alpha) = \{a + b\alpha \mid a, b \in \mathbb{Z}_3\} = \{0, 1, 2, \alpha, 1 + \alpha, 2 + \alpha, 2\alpha, 1 + 2\alpha, 2 + 2\alpha\}$$

and $\mathbb{Z}_3(\alpha)$ has 9 elements. Multiplication in $\mathbb{Z}_3(\alpha)$ is done via $\alpha^2 - \alpha - 1 = 0$. To find the other root of $p(x)$ we have

$$x^2 - x - 1 = p(x) = (x - \alpha)(x - \beta) = x^2 + (\beta - \alpha)x + \alpha\beta$$

for some $\beta \in \mathbb{Z}_3(\alpha)$. We obtain that $\alpha\beta = -1$ and so $\beta = -\alpha^{-1}$. From $\alpha^2 - \alpha - 1 = 0$ we have $\alpha(\alpha - 1) = 1$ and so $\beta = -\alpha^{-1} = -(\alpha - 1) = 1 + 2\alpha$ is the other root of p .

Problem 15. (Exercise 15.2.2 in the book.) Show that $f(x) = x^3 - 2 \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$ is irreducible over \mathbb{Q} . Find (if it exists) an extension field K of \mathbb{Q} having all roots of $x^3 - 2$ such that $[K : \mathbb{Q}] = 6$.

Solution. The polynomial $f(x)$ is irreducible over \mathbb{Q} by Eisenstein criterion for $p = 2$. The roots of $f(x)$ in \mathbb{C} are

$$r_1 = 2^{1/3}e^{2\pi i/3}, \quad r_2 = 2^{1/3}e^{4\pi i/3}, \quad r_3 = 2^{1/3}e^{6\pi i/3} = 2^{1/3}.$$

Let $\omega_k = e^{2\pi ik/3}$ for $k = 1, 2, 3$. Then $r_k = 2^{1/3}\omega_k$. Then $f(x)$ has all its roots in $K = \mathbb{Q}(2^{1/3}, \omega_1)$. It remains to show that $[\mathbb{Q}(2^{1/3}, \omega_1) : \mathbb{Q}] = 6$. Since $2^{1/3}$ is a root of $f(x)$, and since $f(x)$ is irreducible, we have that

$$[\mathbb{Q}(2^{1/3}) : \mathbb{Q}] = \deg(f) = 3.$$

On the other hand, we have that $\omega_1 \notin \mathbb{Q}(2^{1/3})$ since $\omega_1 \notin \mathbb{R}$. Notice that ω_1 is a root of $x^3 - 1$ and

$$x^3 - 1 = (x - 1)(x^2 + x + 1).$$

Since ω_1 is not a root of $x - 1$, we have that ω_1 is a root of $x^2 + x + 1$. Moreover, since ω_1 is not real, the other root of $x^2 + x + 1$ is also not real and so $x^2 + x + 1$ is irreducible over $\mathbb{Q}(2^{1/3})$. Therefore

$$[\mathbb{Q}(2^{1/3}, \omega_1) : \mathbb{Q}(2^{1/3})] = \deg(x^2 + x + 1) = 2.$$

Since $\mathbb{Q} \subseteq \mathbb{Q}(2^{1/3}) \subseteq \mathbb{Q}(2^{1/3}, \omega_1) = K$, we conclude that

$$[K : \mathbb{Q}] = [\mathbb{Q}(2^{1/3}, \omega_1) : \mathbb{Q}] = [\mathbb{Q}(2^{1/3}, \omega_1) : \mathbb{Q}(2^{1/3})] \cdot [\mathbb{Q}(2^{1/3}) : \mathbb{Q}] = 2 \cdot 3 = 6,$$

as required.

Extra problems

The following problems may be a bit more challenging, in case you feel like you need something more.

Problem 16. (Chapter 11.1) Give an example of an integral domain R and an element $r \in R$ such that $r \neq 0$, r is not a unit, r is not irreducible, and r is not a product of irreducible elements. (*Hint:* use a suitable subring of $\mathbb{Q}[x]$.)

Solution. Consider the ring

$$R = \{f(x) \in \mathbb{Q}[x] \mid f(0) \in \mathbb{Z}\}.$$

Since R is a subring of $\mathbb{Q}[x]$, it is an integral domain and the only units of R are -1 and 1 . Consider the polynomial $f(x) = x \in R$. Then $f(x)$ is not 0 and is not a unit. Moreover, $f(x)$ is not irreducible since we can write

$$f(x) = 2 \cdot \frac{1}{2}x,$$

and both 2 and $\frac{1}{2}x$ are non-unit elements in R . It remains to show that $f(x)$ is not a product of irreducible elements. Assume to a contradiction that

$$x = f(x) = g(x)h(x)$$

for some irreducible $g(x), h(x) \in R$. Then

$$1 = \deg(x) = \deg(g(x)h(x)) = \deg(g(x)) + \deg(h(x))$$

implies that one of $g(x), h(x)$ has degree 1 and the other has degree 0. Without loss of generality, assume that $g(x) = ax + b$ and $h(x) = c$ with $a \in \mathbb{Q}$ and $b, c \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then

$$x = f(x) = g(x)h(x) = (ax + b)c = cax + cb$$

implies that $ca = 1$ and $cb = 0$. Hence we obtain that $b = 0$ and $c = a^{-1}$. But then

$$g(x) = ax = 2 \cdot \frac{a}{2}x,$$

and neither 2 nor $\frac{a}{2}x$ are units. This contradicts the fact that $g(x)$ is irreducible. We conclude that $f(x) = x$ cannot be written as a product of irreducible elements of R , as required.

Problem 17. (Chapter 15.1) Let $n \geq 1$ and $f(x) = (x - 1)(x - 2) \cdots (x - n) + 1$.

- For a general polynomial $p(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$, show that for any two integers $m, l \in \mathbb{Z}$, the integer $m - l$ divides $p(m) - p(l)$.
- Show that $f(x)$ is irreducible over \mathbb{Z} if and only if $n \neq 4$.

Solution.

(a) Let $p(x) = a_0 + a_1x + \cdots + a_t x^t$. Then for any two integers $m, l \in \mathbb{Z}$ we have that

$$p(m) - p(l) = (a_0 + a_1m + \cdots + a_t m^t) - (a_0 + a_1l + \cdots + a_t l^t) = a_1(l - m) + \cdots + a_t(m^t - l^t).$$

Hence to show that $m - l$ divides $p(m) - p(l)$, it is enough to show that $l - m$ divides $m^i - l^i$ for every $i \geq 1$. But this follows since

$$m^i - l^i = (m - l)(m^{i-1} + m^{i-2}l + m^{i-3}l^2 + \cdots + ml^{i-2} + l^{i-1}).$$

(b) Assume that $f(x) = g(x)h(x)$ for some $g(x), h(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$. Then for $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ we have

$$1 = f(k) = g(k)h(k).$$

Since $g(k), h(k) \in \mathbb{Z}$, we conclude that for every $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ we either have $g(k) = h(k) = 1$ or $g(k) = h(k) = -1$. In particular, if $g(x)$ is constant or $h(x)$ is constant, then we have that $g(x) \in \{-1, 1\}$ or $h(x) \in \{-1, 1\}$, which shows that $f(x)$ is irreducible. Therefore, from now on we may assume that neither $g(x)$ nor $h(x)$ are constant.

Since

$$n = \deg(f(x)) = \deg(g(x)h(x)) = \deg(g(x)) + \deg(h(x))$$

and $\deg(g(x)), \deg(h(x)) \geq 1$, we obtain that $\deg(g(x)) \leq n - 1$ and $\deg(h(x)) \leq n - 1$. We conclude that the polynomial $g(x) - h(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ has degree at most $n - 1$ while it has at least n roots in \mathbb{Z} (the integers $1, 2, \dots, n$). Therefore $g(x) - h(x) = 0$ and so $g(x) = h(x)$. We thus obtain that $f(x) = g(x)^2$. Let $\deg(g(x)) = d$ where $d \geq 1$ since we have assumed that $g(x)$ is not constant. Then

$$n = \deg(f(x)) = \deg(g(x)^2) = 2\deg(g(x)) = 2d$$

is even. This implies that if n is odd, then this situation is impossible and hence $f(x)$ is irreducible.

Now we let $n = 2d$ be even. Since $\deg(g(x)) = d \geq 1$, the polynomial $g(x) - 1$ has at most $d = \frac{n}{2}$ roots. Therefore, there are at most $\frac{n}{2}$ integers $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ such that $g(k) = 1$. Similarly, there are at most $\frac{n}{2}$ integers $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ such that $g(k) = -1$. Since we know that for each $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ we have that $g(k) \in \{-1, 1\}$, we conclude that for exactly half of the integers in $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ the polynomial $g(x)$ evaluates to 1 and for the other half of the integers the polynomial $g(x)$ evaluates to -1 .

If $d = 1$, then $n = 2$ and then $f(x) = (x - 1)(x - 2) + 1 = x^2 - 3x + 3$ is irreducible over \mathbb{Z} by the Eisenstein criterion for $p = 3$.

If $d = 2$, then $n = 4$ and then $f(x) = (x - 1)(x - 2)(x - 3)(x - 4) + 1 = x^4 - 10x^3 + 35x^2 - 50x + 25$. By the above, we are looking for a polynomial $g(x) = ax^2 + bx + c$ such that $f(x) = g(x)^2$. We have

$$x^4 - 10x^3 + 35x^2 - 50x + 25 = (ax^2 + bx + c)^2 = a^2x^4 + 2abx^3 + (2ac + b^2)x^2 + 2bcx + c^2,$$

from which we obtain the system

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &= a^2 \\ -10 &= 2ab \\ 35 &= 2ac + b^2 \\ -50 &= 2bc \\ 25 &= c^2, \end{aligned}$$

which gives the solutions $g(x) = x^2 - 5x + 5$ and $g(x) = -x^2 + 5x - 5$. This shows that $f(x)$ is not irreducible for $n = 4$.

Assume now that $d \geq 3$ and so $n \geq 6$. Then $g(1) = 1$ or $g(1) = -1$. Assume that $g(1) = 1$; the other case is similar. Since $g(x)$ evaluates on half of the integers in $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ to -1 , and since $n \geq 6$,

there exist at least three integers in $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ on which $g(x)$ evaluates to -1 . In particular, there exists an integer $m \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ such that $g(m) = -1$ and $m - 1 \geq 3$. By part (a) we have that $m - 1 \mid f(m) - f(1)$ and so $m - 1 \mid -2$, which contradicts $m - 1 \geq 3$. Since we reach a contradiction, we conclude that such a polynomial $g(x)$ cannot exist if $n \geq 6$. Therefore, in this case too $f(x)$ is irreducible which concludes all possible cases.