

Example 9.4. The condition $\text{char}(F)=0$ or $\text{char}(F)=p > m$ in Theorem 9.3(2) is crucial. For example let $f(x) = x^3 - 2 \in \mathbb{Z}_3[x]$. Then

$$(x-2)^3 = x^3 - 3 \cdot 2x^2 + 3 \cdot 2^2x - 2^3 = x^3 - 2 = f(x)$$

Hence $E = \mathbb{Z}_3$ is a splitting field of f over \mathbb{Z}_3 and 2 has multiplicity 3 in $F(x)$. On the other hand $f'(x) = 3x^2 = 0$ and so $f'(x) = f''(x) = f'''(x) = 0$. Hence condition (1) of Theorem 9.3 fails.

Lemma 9.5. Let $f(x) \in F[x]$ be irreducible. Let E be a splitting field of f over F . Then f has multiple roots in E if and only if $f'(x) = 0$.

Proof. Since f is irreducible, we have $\deg(f) \geq 1$. Hence there exists a root α of f in E . Then

$$f(x) = g(x)(x - \alpha) \quad (*)$$

$$f'(x) = g'(x)(x - \alpha) + g(\alpha) \quad (**)$$

for some $g(x) \in F[x]$. Let

$$f(x) = a_0 + a_1x + \dots + a_nx^n, \quad a_n \neq 0.$$

Then $a_n^{-1}f(x)$ is the minimal polynomial of α over F .

Since $\deg(f') < \deg(f)$, it follows that $f'(\alpha) = 0 \iff f'(x) = 0$.

Hence we obtain

α is a multiple root of $f \iff g(\alpha) = 0 \iff f(\alpha) = 0 \iff f'(x) = 0. \quad \square$

Corollary 9.6. Let $f(x) \in F[x]$ be irreducible. Let E be a splitting field of f over F .

(1) If $\text{char} F = 0$, then $f(x)$ has only simple roots in E .

(2) If $\text{char} F = p > 0$, then $f(x)$ has a multiple root in E if and only if $\exists g(x) \in F[x]$ with $f(x) = g(x^p)$.

Proof. Let $f(x) = a_0 + a_1x + \dots + a_nx^n$. Then
 $f'(x) = a_1 + 2a_2x + \dots + na_nx^{n-1}$ (*)

(1) Assume to a contradiction that f has a multiple root in E . Then by Lemma 9.5 we have $f'(x) = 0$ and so by (*)

$$a_1 + 2a_2x + \dots + na_nx^{n-1} = 0.$$

Since $\text{char}(F) = 0$, we conclude that $a_1 = a_2 = \dots = a_n = 0$ and so $f(x) = a_0$, contradicting f being irreducible.

(2) We have

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) \text{ has a multiple root in } E &\stackrel{\text{Lemma 9.5}}{\iff} f'(x) = 0 \\ &\stackrel{(*)}{\iff} ia_i = 0 \quad \forall 1 \leq i \leq n \\ &\stackrel{\text{char}(F)=p}{\iff} a_i = 0 \text{ if } p \nmid i \\ &\iff f(x) = a_0 + a_px^p + a_{2p}x^{2p} + \dots + a_{kp}x^{kp} \\ &\iff f(x) = g(x^p), \quad g(x) = a_0 + a_px + \dots + a_{kp}x^k. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 9.7. Let $f(x) \in F[x]$ be irreducible. Let E be a splitting field of f . Then all roots of f in E have the same multiplicity.

Proof. Let $\alpha, \beta \in E$ be two distinct roots of f in E with multiplicities $m(\alpha), m(\beta)$. We have the ring isomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma: F(\alpha) &\xrightarrow{\quad} F(\beta) && (\sigma|_F = \text{id}_F) \\ a_0 + a_1\alpha + \dots + a_n\alpha^n &\xrightarrow{\quad} a_0 + a_1\beta + \dots + a_n\beta^n \end{aligned}$$

We may assume that $F \subseteq F(\alpha) \subseteq \bar{F}$ so that $\overline{F(\alpha)} = \bar{F}$ (exercise)

Similarly, $\overline{F(\beta)} = \bar{F}$. Therefore we have the field embeddings

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \bar{F} = \overline{F(\alpha)} & \xrightarrow{\sigma^*} & \overline{F(\beta)} = \bar{F} \\ \downarrow \iota_\alpha & & \downarrow \iota_\beta \\ F(\alpha) & \xrightarrow{\sigma} & F(\beta) \end{array}$$

where σ^* exists by Theorem 6.5 and $\sigma^* \circ \iota_\alpha = \iota_\beta \circ \sigma$. Then

we have the ring homomorphism

$$\eta: \overline{F}[x] \longrightarrow \overline{F}[x]$$

$$p(x) = a_0 + a_1x + \dots + a_kx^k \longmapsto \eta(p(x)) = \sigma^x(a_0) + \sigma^x(a_1)x + \dots + \sigma^x(a_k)x^k$$

In particular, $\eta(f(x)) = f(x)$ since $f(x) \in F[x]$, while

$$\sigma^x(a) = \sigma^x \circ \iota_a(a) = \iota_{\sigma(a)}(a) = \iota_{\sigma(a)}(\sigma(a)) = \sigma(a)$$

implies $\eta((x-a)^k) = (x-\sigma(a))^k$. Then

$$f(x) = \eta(f(x)) = \eta(g(x)(x-a)^{m(a)}) = \eta(g(x))\eta((x-a)^{m(a)}) = \eta(g(x))(x-\sigma(a))^{m(a)}$$

implies $m(a) \leq m(\sigma(a))$. The same arguments on the opposite direction give $m(\sigma(a)) \leq m(a)$ and so $m(a) = m(\sigma(a))$. \square

By Theorem 9.7 we conclude that if $f(x) \in F[x]$ is irreducible and E is the splitting field of f over F , then

$$f(x) = a(x-\alpha_1)^k(x-\alpha_2)^k \dots (x-\alpha_r)^k,$$

where $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r$ are distinct roots of f , each with multiplicity k .