

Rings and modules - Problem set 1 solutions

Solved on Tuesday 05.09

Problem 1. Let R be a ring. Show that the polynomial ring $R[X]$ is commutative if and only if R is commutative, and is unital if and only if R is unital.

Solution. Assume first that $R[X]$ is commutative. Then for any polynomials $p(X)$ and $q(X)$ in $R[X]$, we have $p(X)q(X) = q(X)p(X)$. Now let $r, s \in R$ and consider the polynomials $p(X) = r$ and $q(X) = s$ in $R[X]$. Then

$$rs = p(X)q(X) = q(X)p(X) = sr,$$

and since $r, s \in R$ were arbitrary, we conclude that R is commutative.

Assume now that $R[X]$ is commutative. Let $p(X), q(X) \in R[X]$ and write

$$p(X) = a_0 + a_1X + a_2X^2 + \dots + a_nX^n, q(X) = b_0 + b_1X + b_2X^2 + \dots + b_mX^m.$$

Then

$$p(X)q(X) = c_0 + c_1X + c_2X^2 + \dots + c_{n+m}X^{n+m},$$

where $c_i = \sum_{j+k=i} a_jb_k$. On the other hand, we have

$$q(X)p(X) = c'_0 + c'_1X + c'_2X^2 + \dots + c'_{n+m}X^{n+m},$$

where $c'_i = \sum_{j+k=i} b_ja_k$. Since $a_jb_k = b_ka_j$ (because R is commutative), we have

$$c_i = \sum_{j+k=i} a_jb_k = \sum_{j+k=i} b_ka_j = \sum_{j+k=i} b_ja_k = c'_i,$$

and so $p(X)q(X) = q(X)p(X)$ and $R[X]$ is commutative.

Now suppose that the polynomial ring $R[X]$ is unital with multiplicative identity $1_{R[X]}(X)$. Write

$$1_{R[X]}(X) = a_0 + a_1X + \dots + a_nX^n.$$

Then $1_{R[X]}(X) \cdot X = X$ and so

$$X = 1_{R[X]}(X) \cdot X = a_0X + a_1X^2 + \dots + a_nX^{n+1}.$$

Hence we conclude that $a_0 = 1$ and $a_i = 0$ for $i \geq 1$. Then $1_{R[X]}(X) = a_0 \in R$. Now let $r \in R$ and consider the polynomial $p_r(X) = r \in R[X]$. We have

$$a_0r = 1_{R[X]}(X)r = r \text{ and } ra_0 = r1_{R[X]}(X) = r$$

and so a_0 is the multiplicative identity in R . Hence R is unital.

Finally assume that R has a multiplicative unity, denoted as 1_R . Consider the polynomial $I(X) = 1_R$. For any $P(X) \in R[X]$ we have

$$I(X) \cdot P(X) = 1_R \cdot P(X) = P(X),$$

and

$$P(X) \cdot I(X) = P(X) \cdot 1_R = P(X).$$

This shows that the polynomial $I(X)$ acts as a multiplicative identity for $R[X]$, and so $R[X]$ is unital.

Problem 2. Let R be a ring. Show that the following are equivalent.

- (a) R is an integral domain.
- (b) The left cancellation property holds in R , that is if $a, b, c \in R$, $a \neq 0$ and $ab = ac$ holds, then $b = c$.
- (c) The right cancellation property holds in R , that is if $a, b, c \in R$, $a \neq 0$ and $ba = ca$ holds, then $b = c$.

Solution. We only show the equivalence between (a) and (b) as the equivalence between (a) and (c) is similar.

(a) implies (b): Let $a, b, c \in R$, $a \neq 0$ and assume that $ab = ac$. Then $ab - ac = 0$ or $a(b - c) = 0$. Since R is an integral domain we obtain that $a = 0$ or $b - c = 0$. Since $a \neq 0$, we conclude that $b - c = 0$ or $b = c$, as required.

(b) implies (a): Let $r, s \in R$ be such that $rs = 0$. To show that R is an integral domain, we need to show that $r = 0$ or $s = 0$. If $r = 0$ there is nothing to show. Assume that $r \neq 0$. Then $rs = 0 = r0$ and so by the left cancellation property we obtain that $s = 0$, as required.

Problem 3. (Exercise 9.4.5(a) in the book.) Determine the idempotents, nilpotent elements and invertible elements of the following rings.

- (i) $\mathbb{Z}/(4)$.
- (ii) $\mathbb{Z}/(20)$.

Solution.

- (i) We have $\mathbb{Z}/(4) = \{\bar{0}, \bar{1}, \bar{2}, \bar{3}\}$. We compute (mod 4):

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{0}^2 &\equiv \bar{0}^2 \equiv \bar{0}, \\ \bar{1}^2 &\equiv \bar{1}^2 \equiv \bar{1}, \\ \bar{2}^2 &\equiv \bar{2}^2 \equiv \bar{4} \equiv \bar{0}, \\ \bar{3}^2 &\equiv \bar{3}^2 \equiv \bar{9} \equiv \bar{1}.\end{aligned}$$

So the only idempotents are $\bar{0}$ and $\bar{1}$. Also by the above we see that $\bar{0}$ and $\bar{2}$ are nilpotent and that $\bar{1}^k = \bar{1}$ and so $\bar{1}$ is not nilpotent, and

$$\bar{3}^k = \begin{cases} \bar{1}, & \text{if } k \text{ is odd,} \\ \bar{3}, & \text{if } k \text{ is even,} \end{cases}$$

and so $\bar{3}$ is also not nilpotent. Finally, by the above we have that $\bar{1}^{-1} = \bar{1}$ and that $\bar{3}^{-1} = \bar{3}$ and so $\bar{1}$ and $\bar{3}$ are invertible. However $\bar{2}$ is not invertible since

$$\bar{2} \cdot \bar{1} \equiv \bar{2}, \quad \bar{2} \cdot \bar{2} \equiv \bar{0}, \quad \bar{2} \cdot \bar{3} \equiv \bar{6} \equiv \bar{2}.$$

(ii) We have $\mathbb{Z}/(20) = \{\bar{i} \mid 0 \leq i \leq 19\}$. We compute $(\text{mod } 20)$:

$$\begin{aligned}
\bar{0}^2 &\equiv \overline{0^2} \equiv \bar{0}, \\
\bar{1}^2 &\equiv \overline{1^2} \equiv \bar{1}, \\
\bar{2}^2 &\equiv \overline{2^2} \equiv \bar{4}, \\
\bar{3}^2 &\equiv \overline{3^2} \equiv \bar{9}, \\
\bar{4}^2 &\equiv \overline{4^2} \equiv \bar{16}, \\
\bar{5}^2 &\equiv \overline{5^2} \equiv \bar{25} \equiv \bar{5}, \\
\bar{6}^2 &\equiv \overline{6^2} \equiv \bar{36} \equiv \bar{16}, \\
\bar{7}^2 &\equiv \overline{7^2} \equiv \bar{49} \equiv \bar{9}, \\
\bar{8}^2 &\equiv \overline{8^2} \equiv \bar{64} \equiv \bar{4}, \\
\bar{9}^2 &\equiv \overline{9^2} \equiv \bar{81} \equiv \bar{1}, \\
\bar{10}^2 &\equiv \overline{10^2} \equiv \bar{100} \equiv \bar{0}, \\
\bar{11}^2 &\equiv \overline{11^2} \equiv \bar{121} \equiv \bar{1}, \\
\bar{12}^2 &\equiv \overline{12^2} \equiv \bar{144} \equiv \bar{4}, \\
\bar{13}^2 &\equiv \overline{13^2} \equiv \bar{169} \equiv \bar{9}, \\
\bar{14}^2 &\equiv \overline{14^2} \equiv \bar{196} \equiv \bar{16}, \\
\bar{15}^2 &\equiv \overline{15^2} \equiv \bar{225} \equiv \bar{5}, \\
\bar{16}^2 &\equiv \overline{16^2} \equiv \bar{256} \equiv \bar{16}, \\
\bar{17}^2 &\equiv \overline{17^2} \equiv \bar{289} \equiv \bar{9}, \\
\bar{18}^2 &\equiv \overline{18^2} \equiv \bar{324} \equiv \bar{4}, \\
\bar{19}^2 &\equiv \overline{19^2} \equiv \bar{361} \equiv \bar{1}.
\end{aligned}$$

So the only idempotents are $\bar{0}$, $\bar{1}$, $\bar{5}$ and $\bar{16}$. Also by the above we see that $\bar{0}$ and $\bar{10}$ are nilpotent. Next, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\bar{2}^2 &\equiv \bar{4}, \\
\bar{2}^3 &\equiv \bar{8}, \\
\bar{2}^4 &\equiv \bar{16}, \\
\bar{2}^5 &\equiv \bar{32} \equiv \bar{12}, \\
\bar{2}^6 &\equiv \bar{64} \equiv \bar{4} \equiv \bar{2}^2,
\end{aligned}$$

and so the powers of $\bar{2}$ keep repeating after this. None of these is equal to $\bar{0}$, and so $\bar{2}$ is not nilpotent. In particular, none of the powers of $\bar{2}$ is nilpotent either, and so none of $\bar{4}$, $\bar{8}$, $\bar{12}$ and $\bar{16}$ are nilpotent. Moreover, since $\bar{6}^2 = \bar{16}$, $\bar{14}^2 = \bar{16}$ and $\bar{18}^2 = \bar{4}$, none of the elements $\bar{6}$, $\bar{14}$ and $\bar{18}$ are nilpotent. Next, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\bar{3}^2 &\equiv \bar{9}, \\
\bar{3}^3 &\equiv \bar{27} \equiv \bar{7}, \\
\bar{3}^4 &\equiv \bar{81} \equiv \bar{1}, \\
\bar{3}^5 &\equiv \bar{243} \equiv \bar{3},
\end{aligned}$$

and so the powers of $\bar{3}$ keep repeating after this. None of these is equal to $\bar{0}$ and so none of $\bar{1}$, $\bar{3}$, $\bar{7}$, $\bar{9}$ is nilpotent. Since $\bar{11}^2 = \bar{1}$, $\bar{13}^2 = \bar{9}$, $\bar{17}^2 = \bar{9}$ and $\bar{19}^2 = \bar{1}$, none of the elements $\bar{11}$, $\bar{13}$, $\bar{17}$ and $\bar{19}$ are nilpotent. Next, we have that $\bar{5}$ is idempotent and so it cannot be nilpotent since it is not zero. Since $\bar{15}^2 = \bar{5}$, we have that $\bar{15}$ is not nilpotent either. We conclude that the only nilpotent elements are $\bar{0}$ and $\bar{10}$.

Finally, by the above we have that

$$\bar{1}^{-1} = \bar{1}, \quad \bar{9}^{-1} = \bar{9}, \quad \bar{11}^{-1} = \bar{11}, \quad \bar{19}^{-1} = \bar{19}.$$

Hence the elements $\bar{1}$, $\bar{9}$, $\bar{11}$ and $\bar{19}$ are invertible. A computation shows that

$$\bar{3} \cdot \bar{7} \equiv \bar{21} \equiv \bar{1},$$

and so the elements $\bar{3}$ and $\bar{7}$ are also invertible. Moreover, we also compute

$$\bar{13} \cdot \bar{17} \equiv \bar{221} \equiv 1$$

and so the elements $\bar{13}$ and $\bar{17}$ are also invertible. Next, let $1 \leq k \leq 9$. Then $\bar{2k} \equiv \bar{1}$ implies that 20 divides $2k - 1$ which is impossible, since $2k - 1$ is not even. Hence $\bar{2k}$ is not invertible, and so $\bar{2}$, $\bar{4}$, $\bar{6}$, $\bar{8}$, $\bar{10}$, $\bar{12}$, $\bar{14}$, $\bar{16}$ and $\bar{18}$ are not invertible. Next, let $1 \leq k \leq 3$. Then $\bar{5k} \equiv \bar{1}$ implies that 20 divides $5k - 1$ which is impossible since $5k - 1$ is not divisible by 5. Hence $\bar{5k}$ is not invertible, and so $\bar{5}$ and $\bar{15}$ are not invertible. We conclude that $\bar{1}$, $\bar{3}$, $\bar{7}$, $\bar{9}$, $\bar{11}$, $\bar{13}$, $\bar{17}$ and $\bar{19}$ are the only invertible elements in \mathbb{Z}_{20} .

Problem 4. Let R be a ring and $r \in R$. Let $\langle r \rangle$ be the subring generated by $\{r\}$. Show that $\langle r \rangle = X$ where

$$X = \{n_1 r + n_2 r^2 + \cdots + n_k r^k \mid n_i \in \mathbb{Z}, k > 0\}.$$

Solution. First we show that $X \subseteq \langle r \rangle$. Let $n_1 r + n_2 r^2 + \cdots + n_k r^k \in X$. Since $\langle r \rangle$ is a ring and it contains r , we have that $r, r^2, \dots, r^k \in \langle r \rangle$. Furthermore, we have that $n_i r^i \in \langle r \rangle$ and also that $n_1 r + \cdots + n_k r^k \in \langle r \rangle$. This shows the inclusion $X \subseteq \langle r \rangle$.

Now we show that $\langle r \rangle \subseteq X$. Since $\langle r \rangle$ is the smallest subring of R that contains r , and since X contains r , it is enough to show that X is a subring of R . Clearly we have that $X \neq \emptyset$ since $r \in X$. Let $n_1 r + \cdots + n_k r^k, m_1 r + \cdots + m_t r^t \in X$, and without loss of generality assume that $k \geq t$. Then

$$n_1 r + \cdots + n_k r^k - (m_1 r + \cdots + m_t r^t) = (n_1 - m_1) r + \cdots + (n_t - m_t) r^t + \cdots + n_k r^k \in X,$$

and

$$(n_1 r + \cdots + n_k r^k)(m_1 r + \cdots + m_t r^t) = c_1 r + \cdots + c_{k+t} r^{k+t} \in X,$$

where $c_i = \sum_{a+b=i} n_a m_b$. By Proposition 2.3 we conclude that X is a subring of R .

Problem 5. Let $R = M_2(\mathbb{Z})$ be the ring of 2×2 integer matrices. Recall that R is unital with $1_R = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$.

(a) Show that the subset $S \subseteq R$ defined by

$$S = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \mid a, b \in \mathbb{Z} \right\}$$

is a subring of R . Show that S is not unital. Conclude that the subring of a unital ring does not need to be unital.

(b) Show that the subset $T \subseteq S$ defined by

$$T = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \mid a \in \mathbb{Z} \right\}$$

is a subring of T . Show that T is unital with $1_T = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. Conclude that the subring of a ring which is not unital, may be unital. Conclude also that the subring of a unital ring may be unital with a different multiplicative identity.

Solution.

- (a) We use Proposition 2.3 to show that S is a subring of R . Clearly we have $S \neq \emptyset$ since $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in S$. Let $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} c & d \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in S$. Then

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} c & d \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a-c & b-d \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in S,$$

and

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c & d \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} ac & ad \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in S,$$

and so S is a subring of R . Now assume towards a contradiction that S is unital with unit $1_S = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. Then for every $X = \begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in S$ we have $X = X1_S$, from which we get

$$\begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} xa & xb \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Hence for every $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$ we have $xa = x$ and $xb = y$. This gives $a = 1$ but the second equality cannot hold for every $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$ for a constant b . Hence such an element 1_S does not exist and so S is not unital. Since S is a subring of a unital ring, we conclude that a subring of a unital ring does not need to be unital.

- (b) We use Proposition 2.3 to show that T is a subring of S . Clearly we have $T \neq \emptyset$ since $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in T$. Let $\begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} b & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in T$. Then

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} b & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a-b & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in T,$$

and

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} b & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} ab & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in T,$$

and so T is a subring of R . We claim that $1_T = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ is a multiplicative identity of T . Indeed, for every $X = \begin{pmatrix} x & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in T$ we have

$$1_T X = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1x & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = X = \begin{pmatrix} x1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = X1_T,$$

as required. Since T is a subring of the non-unital ring S , we conclude that the subring of a ring which is not unital may be unital. Also, since T is a subring of the unital ring R and since $1_T \neq 1_R$, we conclude that the subring of a unital ring may be unital with a different multiplicative identity.

Problem 6. Let $R_i, i = 1, 2, \dots$ be an infinite family of unital rings.

- (a) Recall that the direct product $R = \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} R_i$ is a ring under the operations

$$\begin{aligned} (a_1, a_2, \dots) + (b_1, b_2, \dots) &= (a_1 + b_1, a_2 + b_2, \dots) \\ (a_1, a_2, \dots)(b_1, b_2, \dots) &= (a_1 b_1, a_2 b_2, \dots), \end{aligned}$$

for $(a_1, a_2, \dots), (b_1, b_2, \dots) \in R$. Is R a unital ring?

- (b) Recall that the direct sum $S = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{\infty} R_i$ defined by

$$S = \{(a_1, a_2, \dots) \in R \mid a_i = 0 \text{ for all but finitely many } i\}$$

is a subring of R . Is S a unital ring?

Solution.

- (a) Consider the element $(1, 1, \dots) \in R$. Then for any $(a_1, a_2, \dots) \in R$ we have

$$(1, 1, \dots)(a_1, a_2, \dots) = (1a_1, 1a_2, \dots) = (a_1, a_2, \dots)$$

and similarly $(a_1, a_2, \dots)(1, 1, \dots) = (a_1, a_2, \dots)$. Hence $1_R = (1, 1, \dots)$ and R is unital.

(b) Assume to a contradiction that there exists an element $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots) \in S$ such that $xa = a$ for all $a \in S$. For $i = 1, 2, \dots$ let $e_i \in S$ be the element with 1 in position i and 0 everywhere else. Then

$$(0, 0, \dots, 0, 1, 0, \dots) = e_i = xe_i = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{i-1}, x_i, x_{i+1}, \dots)(0, 0, \dots, 0, 1, 0, \dots) = (0, 0, \dots, 0, x_i, 0, \dots),$$

and so $x_i = 1$. But then $x = (1, 1, \dots)$ contradicting $x \in S$. Hence S is not unital.

Problem 7. (Exercise 9.4.5(b) in the book.) Show that the set of units $U(R)$ of a unital ring R forms a multiplicative group.

Solution. Let $r, s \in U(R)$. We claim that $rs \in U(R)$ as well. Since $r, s \in U(R)$, there exist $r^{-1}, s^{-1} \in R$. Then

$$(rs)(s^{-1}r^{-1}) = r(ss^{-1})r^{-1} = r1r^{-1} = rr^{-1} = 1,$$

and similarly we have $(s^{-1}r^{-1})(rs) = 1$. Therefore rs is invertible and so $rs \in U(R)$. Hence the multiplication operation is well-defined in $U(R)$. Since multiplication in R is associative, multiplication in $U(R)$ is also associative. Since $1 \in R$ is invertible with inverse $1^{-1} = 1$, we have that $1 \in U(R)$. And since for $r \in U(R)$ there exists $r^{-1} \in R$ with r^{-1} also a unit, we have $r^{-1} \in U(R)$ and so there is an inverse for every element $r \in U(R)$. We conclude that $U(R)$ is a multiplicative group.

Problem 8. Let R be a unital ring. Find the center of the ring $M_2(R)$ of 2×2 matrices over R .

Solution. Let $Z = Z(M_2(R))$ be the center of $M_2(R)$. Let $X = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in Z$. Then $e_{11}X = Xe_{11}$ and so

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ c & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

gives $b = 0$ and $c = 0$. Moreover we have $e_{12}X = Xe_{12}$ and so

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} c & d \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a \\ 0 & c \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

gives $c = 0$ and $a = d$. Hence $X = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & a \end{pmatrix}$. Next, for any $r \in R$ we have that $\begin{pmatrix} r & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}X = X\begin{pmatrix} r & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and so

$$\begin{pmatrix} r & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & a \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} ra & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} ar & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & a \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} r & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

and so $ra = ar$. Hence $a \in Z(R)$. We claim that

$$Z = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & a \end{pmatrix} \mid a \in Z(R) \right\}.$$

We have already shown the " \subseteq " inclusion. For the other side notice that for any $\begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ z & w \end{pmatrix} \in M_2(R)$ we have

$$\begin{pmatrix} r & 0 \\ 0 & r \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ z & w \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} rx & ry \\ rz & rw \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} xr & yr \\ zr & wr \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ z & w \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} r & 0 \\ 0 & r \end{pmatrix},$$

as required.

Problem 9. (a) Let R be a unital ring with $\text{char}(R) \neq 0$. Show that $\text{char}(R) = \min\{n > 0 \mid n1 = 0\}$.

(b) Show that $\text{char}(\mathbb{Z}/(n)) = n$.

Solution.

(a) Set $x = \min\{n > 0 \mid n1 = 0\}$. We have

$$\{n > 0 \mid nr = 0 \ \forall r \in R\} \subseteq \{n > 0 \mid n1 = 0\},$$

and hence

$$x = \min\{n > 0 \mid n1 = 0\} \leq \min\{n > 0 \mid nr = 0 \ \forall r \in R\} = \text{char}(R).$$

On the other hand, for any $r \in R$ we have

$$xr = x(1r) = (x1)r = 0r = 0,$$

and so $x \in \{n > 0 \mid nr = 0 \ \forall r \in R\}$. Therefore

$$\text{char}(R) = \min\{n > 0 \mid nr = 0 \ \forall r \in R\} \leq x.$$

Since we have showed that both $x \leq \text{char}(R)$ and $\text{char}(R) \leq x$ hold, we conclude that $x = \text{char}(R)$.

- (b) In $\mathbb{Z}/(n)$ we have that $\bar{n} = 0$ and $\bar{k} \neq 0$ for all $1 \leq k \leq n-1$. Hence $\min\{n > 0 \mid n\bar{1} = 0\} = n$ and the result follows by part (a).

Problem 10. Let $n \geq 2$ be an integer. Show that the ring \mathbb{Z}_n is a field if and only if n is a prime number.

Solution. Assume first that \mathbb{Z}_n is a field but assume instead that n is not a prime number. Then $n = ab$ for some integers $1 < a, b < n$. Then

$$\bar{a}\bar{b} \equiv \overline{ab} \equiv \bar{n} \equiv 0 \pmod{n},$$

with \bar{a} and \bar{b} nonzero. But this contradicts that \mathbb{Z}_n is an integral domain.

Now assume that $n = p$ is a prime number. Let $\bar{a}, \bar{b} \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ be nonzero. We claim that $\bar{a}\bar{b} = \overline{ab}$ is nonzero in \mathbb{Z}_p . Assume to a contradiction that $\bar{a}\bar{b} = 0$ in \mathbb{Z}_p . Then p divides ab and since p is prime, we have that p divides a or p divides b . But then at least one of a and b is zero in \mathbb{Z}_p , which contradicts our assumption. Hence \mathbb{Z}_p is an integral domain. Now let $\bar{x} \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ be nonzero. We claim that the set $\bar{x}\mathbb{Z}_p := \{\bar{x}\bar{k} \mid 0 \leq k \leq p-1\}$ has exactly p elements. Indeed, if $\bar{x}\bar{k} = \bar{x}\bar{m}$ for some $0 \leq k, m \leq p-1$, then we obtain that $\bar{k} = \bar{m}$ by the cancelation property of integral domains. But then $k = m$ since $0 \leq k, m \leq p-1$. Therefore, no two terms in $\bar{x}\mathbb{Z}_p$ are equal, and so it has p elements. Hence $\bar{x}\mathbb{Z}_p = \mathbb{Z}_p$. But then there exists $\bar{y} \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ such that $\bar{x}\bar{y} = 1$, which proves that \bar{x} is a unit and hence \mathbb{Z}_p is a field.

Problem 11. (Exercise 9.4.7 in the book.) Let R be a commutative ring and $r, s \in R$. If r and s are nilpotent, show that $r + s$ is also nilpotent. Show that this does not necessarily hold if R is not commutative.

Solution. Since r and s are nilpotent, there exist $n, k \geq 0$ such that $r^n = 0$ and $s^k = 0$. Consider the product

$$\underbrace{(r+s)(r+s)\cdots(r+s)}_{z \text{ terms}}.$$

Using distributivity, a term of this product is obtained by choosing r or s in each of the brackets, for a total of z choices. But then using commutativity we may rewrite this product into the form $r^x s^y$ (where still $x+y = z$ and where $0 \leq x, y \leq z$). Hence

$$(r+s)^{k+n} = \sum_{i=0}^{k+n} c_i r^i s^{k+n-i},$$

for some coefficients c_i . If $i \geq n$, then $r^i = 0$ and so all the terms after $i = n$ become 0. If $i < n$, then $k+n-i > k$ and so $s^{k+n-i} = 0$ and all the terms before $i = n$ become 0. Hence $(r+s)^{k+n} = 0$ and $r+s$ is nilpotent.

For an example where this does not hold if R is not commutative, let F be a field and $R = M_2(F)$. Then

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}^2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}^2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

so these two matrices are nilpotent. But their sum is

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

and we have

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}^2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Hence A^k is either A or the identity matrix, and hence A is not nilpotent.

Extra problems

The following problems may be a bit more challenging, in case you feel like you need something more.

Problem 12. Let $\mathbb{H} \subseteq M_2(\mathbb{C})$ be the set

$$\mathbb{H} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} z & w \\ -\bar{w} & \bar{z} \end{pmatrix} \mid z, w \in \mathbb{C} \right\}$$

where $\overline{a + bi} = a - bi$ indicates complex conjugation.

- Show that \mathbb{H} is a subring of $M_2(\mathbb{C})$. Is \mathbb{H} commutative? Is \mathbb{H} unital?
- Is \mathbb{H} a division ring? Is \mathbb{H} a field?
- Find the center $Z(\mathbb{H})$ of \mathbb{H} .

Solution.

- We use Proposition 2.3 to show that \mathbb{H} is a subring of $M_2(\mathbb{C})$. Clearly we have $\mathbb{H} \neq \emptyset$ since $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{H}$. Let $\begin{pmatrix} z & w \\ -\bar{w} & \bar{z} \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} v & u \\ -\bar{u} & \bar{v} \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{H}$. Then

$$\begin{pmatrix} z & w \\ -\bar{w} & \bar{z} \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} v & u \\ -\bar{u} & \bar{v} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} z-v & w-u \\ -\bar{w}+\bar{u} & \bar{z}-\bar{v} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} z-v & w-u \\ -(w-u) & \bar{z}-\bar{v} \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{H},$$

and

$$\begin{pmatrix} z & w \\ -\bar{w} & \bar{z} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} v & u \\ -\bar{u} & \bar{v} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} zv - w\bar{u} & zu + w\bar{v} \\ -\bar{w}v - \bar{z}\bar{u} & -\bar{w}u + \bar{z}\bar{v} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} zv - w\bar{u} & zu + w\bar{v} \\ -(zu + w\bar{v}) & \bar{z}\bar{v} - \bar{w}u \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{H},$$

and so \mathbb{H} is a subring of $M_2(\mathbb{C})$. We have $\begin{pmatrix} i & 0 \\ 0 & -i \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{H}$ and

$$\begin{pmatrix} i & 0 \\ 0 & -i \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix} \neq \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ -i & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} i & 0 \\ 0 & -i \end{pmatrix},$$

hence \mathbb{H} is not commutative. Since $1_{M_2(\mathbb{C})} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{H}$, we conclude that \mathbb{H} is unital.

- Let $A = \begin{pmatrix} z & w \\ -\bar{w} & \bar{z} \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{H}$. Then the determinant of this matrix is

$$\det(A) = z\bar{z} - w(-\bar{w}) = |z|^2 + |w|^2$$

which is zero if and only if $z = w = 0$. Hence every nonzero matrix in \mathbb{H} has nonzero determinant and hence is invertible in $M_2(\mathbb{C})$. Notice that $\det(A)$ is a real number and set $\det(A) = s \in \mathbb{R}$. Using the formula for the inverse of a 2×2 matrix, we obtain

$$A^{-1} = \frac{1}{\det(A)} \begin{pmatrix} \bar{z} & -w \\ \bar{w} & z \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{s} \begin{pmatrix} \bar{z} & -w \\ -(-\bar{w}) & \bar{z} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\bar{z}}{s} & \frac{-w}{s} \\ -\left(\frac{-\bar{w}}{s}\right) & \left(\frac{\bar{z}}{s}\right) \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{H}.$$

Hence every $A \in \mathbb{H}$ is invertible. Since \mathbb{H} is unital by part (a), we conclude that \mathbb{H} is a division ring. Since \mathbb{H} is not commutative by part (a), we conclude that \mathbb{H} is not a field.

- Let $A = \begin{pmatrix} z & w \\ -\bar{w} & \bar{z} \end{pmatrix} \in Z(\mathbb{H})$. Then, since $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{H}$, we have $A \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} A$ and so

$$\begin{pmatrix} z & w \\ -\bar{w} & \bar{z} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -w & z \\ -\bar{z} & -\bar{w} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -\bar{w} & \bar{z} \\ -z & -w \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} z & w \\ -\bar{w} & \bar{z} \end{pmatrix}$$

gives $z = \bar{z}$ and $w = \bar{w}$, that is z and w are real numbers. Hence $z = a \in \mathbb{R}$ and $w = b \in \mathbb{R}$ and so $A = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ -b & a \end{pmatrix}$. Moreover, since $A \in Z(\mathbb{H})$ and $\begin{pmatrix} i & 0 \\ 0 & -i \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{H}$, we have $A \begin{pmatrix} i & 0 \\ 0 & -i \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} i & 0 \\ 0 & -i \end{pmatrix} A$ and so

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ -a & b \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} i & 0 \\ 0 & -i \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} ai & -bi \\ -bi & -ai \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} ai & bi \\ bi & -ai \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} i & 0 \\ 0 & -i \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ -b & a \end{pmatrix}$$

gives $bi = -bi$ or $b = 0$. Hence $A = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & a \end{pmatrix}$. We then claim that

$$Z(\mathbb{H}) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & a \end{pmatrix} \mid a \in \mathbb{R} \right\}.$$

We have already shown the “ \subseteq ” inclusion. For the other side notice that for any $\begin{pmatrix} z & w \\ -\bar{w} & \bar{z} \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{H}$ we have

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & a \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} z & w \\ -\bar{w} & \bar{z} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} az & aw \\ -a\bar{w} & a\bar{z} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} za & wa \\ -\bar{w}a & \bar{z}a \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} z & w \\ -\bar{w} & \bar{z} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & a \end{pmatrix},$$

as required.

Problem 13. (Exercise 9.4.5(c) in the book.) Let $n \geq 1$ be an integer. Prove that an element $\bar{x} \in \mathbb{Z}/(n)$ is invertible if and only if $\gcd(x, n) = 1$. Show also that if $\gcd(x, n) = 1$, then $x^{\phi(n)} \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$ where $\phi(n)$ is Euler’s function.

Solution. Assume first that $\gcd(x, n) = 1$. We first prove *Bézout’s identity*, that is the fact that there exist integers a and b such that $ax + bn = 1$. Let

$$S := \{ax + bn > 0 \mid a, b \in \mathbb{Z}\}.$$

For $a = 0, b = 1$ we obtain $n \in S$ and so $S \neq \emptyset$. Let $m = a_0x + b_0n = \min(S)$. It suffices to show that $\gcd(x, n) = m$. We may perform integer division of n by m to obtain

$$n = qm + r$$

where $0 \leq r < m$. In particular, we have that

$$r = n - qm = n - q(a_0x + b_0n) = (-qa_0)x + (1 - qb_0)n \in S,$$

and since $0 \leq r < m = \min(S)$, we conclude that $r = 0$. Hence $n = qm$ and m so divides n . By switching the roles of n and x we obtain that m divides x . Now let d be a common divisor of x and n . Then $td = x$ and $sd = n$ for some $t, s \in \mathbb{Z}$. Hence

$$m = a_0x + b_0n = a_0td + b_0sd = d(a_0t + b_0s),$$

and so d divides m . Therefore $m = \gcd(x, n) = 1$ as required. Hence $a_0x + b_0n = 1$ and so in $\mathbb{Z}/(n)$ we have $\bar{a}_0 \cdot \bar{x} \equiv \bar{1} \pmod{n}$ and so \bar{x} is invertible with $\bar{x}^{-1} = \bar{a}_0$.

Now assume that \bar{x} is invertible and let $\bar{x}^{-1} = \bar{y}$. Then $\bar{x} \cdot \bar{y} \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$. In particular, n divides $xy - 1$ and so $xy - 1 = ln$ for some $l \in \mathbb{Z}$ or $1 = xy - ln$. Now let d be a common divisor of n and x . Then $td = x$ and $sd = n$ for some $t, s \in \mathbb{Z}$. Hence

$$1 = xy - ln = tdy - lsd = d(ty - ls)$$

and so d divides 1. Since any common divisor of x and n divides 1, we conclude that $\gcd(x, n) = 1$.

For the second part, recall that $\phi(n)$ is defined to be the number of elements which are relatively prime to n , that is

$$\phi(n) = |\{0 < x < n \mid \gcd(x, n) = 1\}|.$$

We have shown that the set

$$\{\bar{x} \mid 0 < x < n \text{ and } \gcd(x, n) = 1\}$$

consists of all the units of $\mathbb{Z}/(n)$, in other words it is equal to $U(\mathbb{Z}/(n))$ where $U(\mathbb{Z}/(n))$ is as in Problem 7. Hence $|U(\mathbb{Z}/(n))| = \phi(n)$. By Problem 7 we have that $U(\mathbb{Z}/(n))$ is a multiplicative group, and hence we obtain by Lagrange’s theorem that for any $\bar{x} \in U(\mathbb{Z}/(n))$ we have

$$\bar{x}^{|\phi(n)|} \equiv 1 \pmod{n}.$$

Since $|U(\mathbb{Z}/(n))| = \phi(n)$, the claim follows.