

MA3201 Exam - Fall 2019 - Possible solutions / sketch

- (1a)
- $R[x]$ is a ring, hence an abelian group under addition.
 - For $r_1, r_2 \in R$, $p(x), q(x) \in R[x]$,
 - $r_1(p(x) + q(x)) = r_1 p(x) + r_1 q(x)$
 - $(r_1 + r_2)p(x) = r_1 p(x) + r_2 p(x)$
 - $(r_1 r_2)p(x) = r_1(r_2 p(x))$
 - $1_p(x) = p(x)$

} from operations
in $R[x]$.

Thus $R[x]$ is an R -module via scalar multiplication from R .

To show $R[x]$ is a free R -module, note that $\{1, x, x^2, \dots\}$ is both lin. ind. and generates (it's a basis) over R ,
 so $R[x] \cong \bigoplus_{i=0}^{\infty} Rx^i$.
 as R -modules.

- (1b) Define $\varphi: A+B \rightarrow B/(A \cap B)$
- $$a+b \longmapsto b + A \cap B$$

- It is onto by definition.
- Check it is well-defined and a R -homomorphism.
- Show $\ker \varphi = A$:

If $a \in A$, then $\varphi(a) = \varphi(a+0) = 0 + A \cap B \Rightarrow a \in \ker \varphi$.

If $a+b \in \ker \varphi$, then $a+b \in A \cap B \Rightarrow b \in A$.

• Fundamental Isomorphism theorem $\Rightarrow \frac{A+B}{A} \cong \text{im } \varphi = B/(A \cap B)$.

(1c) Let $J = \text{max ideals of } R$. If A, B are ideals such that $AB \subseteq J$, and $A \neq J$, then maximality $\Rightarrow A+J=R$. Thus we may find $a \in A, x \in J$ such that $a+x=1$. For any $b \in B$, $ab + x b = 1 b = b$,
 $\xleftarrow{a+b \in J} \xleftarrow{J}$
hence $b \in J + b \in A \Rightarrow B \subseteq J$.
Thus J is prime. \blacksquare

(2a) F is a field, hence noetherian, so Hilbert's basis theorem $\Rightarrow F[x]$ is noetherian. (Alternative: $F[x]$ is P.ID \Rightarrow noeth.)
 $F[x]$ is not artinian, as there exists a properly descending chain of ideals: $(x) \supsetneq (x^2) \supsetneq (x^3) \supsetneq \dots$

(2b) To show I is an ideal, check it is nonempty, closed under subtraction, and for any $A \in R$, both $IA, A \in I \in R$ (show this).
Also, show $I^3 = 0$, hence R is not semisimple because it has a nonzero nilpotent ideal (by Wedderburn-Artin).

$$\text{On the other hand, } R/I = \begin{bmatrix} F & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & F & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & F \end{bmatrix} \cong F \times F \times F$$

$\Rightarrow R/I$ is semi-simple by W-A.

(3a) Let $\varphi: M \rightarrow N$ be an isomorphism. If $r \in \text{ann} M$, then for $n \in N$, there exists $m \in M$ with $\varphi(m) = n$, hence $r \cdot n = r\varphi(m) = \varphi(rm) = \varphi(0) = 0$. $\Rightarrow \text{Ann} M \subseteq \text{Ann} N$. A symmetrical argument shows $\text{Ann} N \subseteq \text{Ann} M$. For converse, note that the \mathbb{Z} -modules $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ and $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ are not isomorphic but both have annihilator $= 2\mathbb{Z}$.

(3b). If M is simple, then for any nonzero $x \in M$, $Rx \subseteq M$ is a nonzero submodule, hence $Rx = M$.

Assume $M = Rx$ for any nonzero $x \in M$ such that $\text{Ann}(Rx)$ is prime.

As M is f.g. torsion over a PID, we have

$$M \cong R/\langle p_1^{a_1} \rangle \times \cdots \times R/\langle p_t^{a_t} \rangle, \text{ some primes } p_i, \text{ integers } a_i.$$

Write $M = Rx_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus Rx_t$, where $\text{Ann}(Rx_i) = \langle p_i^{a_i} \rangle$.

Note that $R/\langle p_1^{a_1-1} x_1 \rangle \subseteq M$ with $\text{Ann}(R/\langle p_1^{a_1-1} x_1 \rangle) = p_1$, which is prime.

Thus $M = R/\langle p_1^{a_1} x_1 \rangle \Rightarrow M \cong R/\langle p_1 \rangle$.

As prime ideals are maximal in a PID, we obtain that $R/\langle p_1 \rangle$ has no nontrivial left ideals (as a ring) hence M has no nontrivial (left) R -submodules $\Rightarrow M$ is simple. \square

(4a)

$$\begin{bmatrix} 3 & -4 & -6 \\ 3 & 3 & 0 \\ 3 & 2 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow[R_2 \leftrightarrow R_3]{R_2} \begin{bmatrix} 3 & -4 & -6 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 3 & 2 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow[R_3 \downarrow R_1]{R_3} \begin{bmatrix} 3 & -4 & -6 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 6 & 6 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\xrightarrow[C_1 \leftrightarrow C_2]{} \begin{bmatrix} -4 & 3 & -6 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 6 & 0 & 6 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow[R_1 \leftrightarrow R_2]{} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -4 & 3 & -6 \\ 6 & 0 & 6 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow[R_2 + 4R_1 \rightarrow R_2]{R_3 - 6R_1 \rightarrow R_3} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 3 & -6 \\ 0 & 0 & 6 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\xrightarrow[C_3 + 2C_2 \rightarrow C_3]{} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 3 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 6 \end{bmatrix}, \text{ note } 1 \mid 3 \mid 6 \text{ in } \mathbb{Z}.$$

(4b) • Assume first B is similar to C . Then RCF of B and C is the same, so B and C have same invariant factors, hence and in particular, the same minimal polynomial.

• Now assume $m_B(x) = m_C(x)$.

case 1: $m_B(x) = m_C(x)$ is quadratic, so $= x^2 + \alpha x + \beta$.

\Rightarrow RCF of B and C is $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & -\beta \\ 1 & -\alpha \end{bmatrix}$,

hence B and C are similar.

case 2: $m_B(x) = m_C(x)$ is linear, so $= x - \gamma$.

Since $C_A(x) = \text{product of invariant factors}$ is degree 2, and all invariant factors divide

$m_A(x)$, must have $C_A(x) = (x - \gamma)^2$.

\Rightarrow RCF of A is $\begin{bmatrix} \gamma & 0 \\ 0 & \gamma \end{bmatrix}$,

and similarly for RCF of B , hence
 B is similar to A .

(4c) inv. factors: $x-2$, $\underset{x^2-7x+10}{(x-2)(x-5)}$, $\underset{x^3-12x^2+45x-50}{(x-2)(x-5)^2}$

elem. div: $x-2$, $x-2$, $x-5$, $x-2$, $(x-5)^2$.

$$\text{RCF: } \left[\begin{array}{r} 2 \\ \hline 0 & -10 \\ \hline 1 & 7 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 50 \\ \hline 1 & 0 & -45 \\ \hline 0 & 1 & 12 \end{array} \right]$$

$$\text{JCF: } \left[\begin{array}{r} 2 \\ \hline 2 \\ \hline 5 \\ \hline 2 \\ \hline 5 & 1 \end{array} \right]$$

Since JCF is not diagonal, the matrix D is not diagonalizable.