

# **The Lebesgue-Radon-Nikodym theorem**

MA3105 Advanced Real Analysis

Norwegian University of Science and Technology (NTNU)

## Mutually singular measures

Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F})$  be a measurable space.

Two signed measures  $\mu_1$  and  $\mu_2$  are called **mutually singular**, which we denote by

$$\mu_1 \perp \mu_2,$$

if there is an  $\mathcal{F}$ -measurable set  $E$  such that  $\mu_1$  is supported in  $E$ , while  $\mu_2$  is supported in  $E^c$ .

More precisely, for any  $\mathcal{F}$ -measurable set  $F_1 \subset E^c$  we have  $\mu_1(F_1) = 0$ , while for any  $\mathcal{F}$ -measurable set  $F_2 \subset E$  we have  $\mu_2(F_2) = 0$ .

## Absolutely continuous measure, Radon-Nikodym derivative

Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F})$  be a measurable space, and let  $m$  be a  $\sigma$ -finite, positive (reference) measure on it.

Given any measurable function  $f: \Omega \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ , the map  $m_f: \mathcal{F} \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ ,

$$m_f(E) := \int_E f \, dm = \int_{\Omega} \mathbb{1}_E f \, dm$$

defines a positive measure on  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F})$ . Moreover, for any measurable, non-negative function  $g$  we have

$$\int_{\Omega} g \, dm_f = \int_{\Omega} gf \, dm,$$

which may be expressed symbolically

$$dm_f = f \, dm$$

Similarly, if  $f: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is absolutely integrable, the corresponding map  $m_f$  is a **signed**, finite measure.

## Absolutely continuous measure, Radon-Nikodym derivative

Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F})$  be a measurable space, and let  $m$  be a  $\sigma$ -finite, positive (reference) measure on it.

Given another measure  $\mu$  (signed or unsigned) on  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F})$ , we say that  $\mu$  is **absolutely continuous** (or differentiable) **w.r.t.  $m$**  if there is a measurable function  $f$  on  $\Omega$  such that

$$\mu = m_f \quad \text{or symbolically,} \quad d\mu = f \, dm.$$

The function  $f$  above is called the **Radon-Nikodym derivative** of  $\mu$  w.r.t.  $m$  and we write symbolically

$$f = \frac{d\mu}{dm}.$$

We know that such a function if it exists, it is unique, in the sense that if  $m_{f_1} = m_{f_2}$ , then  $f_1(x) = f_2(x)$  for  $m$ -a.e.  $x \in \Omega$ . Hence the Radon-Nikodym derivative is well defined.

## Theorem (Lebesgue-Radon-Nikodym)

Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, m)$  be a  $\sigma$ -finite measure space and let  $\mu$  be a  $\sigma$ -finite signed measure.

There exists a unique decomposition

$$\mu = m_f + \mu_s$$

where  $f: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is measurable and  $\mu_s \perp m$ .

Moreover,

if  $\mu$  is positive, then  $f \geq 0$   $m$ -a.e. and  $\mu_s$  is positive;

if  $\mu$  is finite, then  $f \in L^1(m)$  and  $\mu_s$  is finite.

## Corollary (also called Radon-Nikodym theorem)

Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, m)$  be a  $\sigma$ -finite measure space and let  $\mu$  be a **finite** signed measure.

The following statements are equivalent:

- (1)  $\mu = m_f$  for some  $f$  measurable (meaning  $\mu$  is absolutely continuous w.r.t.  $m$ );
- (2) For any  $\mathcal{F}$ -measurable set  $E$ , if  $m(E) = 0$  then  $\mu(E) = 0$ .
- (3) For any  $\epsilon > 0$  there is  $\delta > 0$  such that if  $E$  is an  $\mathcal{F}$ -measurable set with  $m(E) \leq \delta$  then  $|\mu|(E) < \epsilon$ .

This theorem, namely item (3), justifies the use of the terminology  **$\mu$  is absolutely continuous w.r.t.  $m$** . We represent this symbolically by

$$\mu \ll m.$$

## Theorem (Lebesgue decomposition)

Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, m)$  be a  $\sigma$ -finite measure space and let  $\mu$  be a  $\sigma$ -finite signed measure.

There exists a unique decomposition

$$\mu = \mu_{ac} + \mu_s$$

where  $\mu_{ac} \ll m$  and  $\mu_s \perp m$ .

Moreover, if  $\mu \geq 0$  then  $\mu_{ac}, \mu_s \geq 0$ .

If every singleton  $\{x\}$ ,  $x \in \Omega$  is a measurable set, then the singular part can be further decomposed.

Assume that  $m$  is **continuous**, meaning that  $m(\{x\}) = 0$  for every  $x \in \Omega$ . Then there is a unique decomposition

$$\mu = \mu_{ac} + \mu_{sc} + \mu_{pp},$$

where  $\mu_{ac}$  is absolutely continuous w.r.t.  $m$ ,  $\mu_{sc}$  is singular w.r.t.  $m$  and continuous, while  $\mu_{pp}$  is a pure point measure (meaning a sum of Dirac measures).