Euler-Maclaurin expansion

Elena Celledoni

Department of Mathematical Sciences, NTNU

March 2020

A B > A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A

골에 비용하는 것

э

Newton-Cotes quadrature formulae

For the approximation of

$$\int_{a}^{b} f(x) \, dx$$

N-C formulae are derived from the interpolation polynomial.

Newton-Cotes quadrature formulae

For the approximation of

$$\int_{a}^{b} f(x) \, dx$$

N-C formulae are derived from the interpolation polynomial. Let $x_k = a + k h$, $h = \frac{b-a}{n}$:

$$f \approx p_n, \quad p_n(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n f(x_k)\ell_k(x)$$

and

$$\int_{a}^{b} f(x) \, dx \approx \int_{a}^{b} \sum_{k=0}^{n} f(x_{k}) \ell_{k}(x) \, dx = \sum_{k=0}^{n} f(x_{k}) \int_{a}^{b} \ell_{k}(x) \, dx$$

where

$$w_k := \int_a^b \ell_k(x) \, dx$$

are the weights of the quadrature.

Newton-Cotes: Trapezoid rule and Simpson's rule

n = 1, **Trapezoid**:
$$x_0 = a, x_1 = b,$$

$$\int_a^b f(x) \, dx = \frac{b-a}{2} \left[f(a) + f(b) \right] + E_1(f)$$

error estimate

$$|E_1(f)| \le M_2 \frac{(b-a)^3}{12}, \quad M_2 = \max_{x \in [a,b]} |f^{(2)}(x)|$$

∃ ► < ∃ ►</p>

Newton-Cotes: Trapezoid rule and Simpson's rule

n = 1, **Trapezoid**:
$$x_0 = a, x_1 = b,$$

$$\int_a^b f(x) \, dx = \frac{b-a}{2} \left[f(a) + f(b) \right] + E_1(f)$$

error estimate

$$|E_1(f)| \le M_2 \frac{(b-a)^3}{12}, \quad M_2 = \max_{x \in [a,b]} |f^{(2)}(x)|$$

n = 2, Simpson's:
$$x_0 = a, x_1 = \frac{a+b}{2}, x_2 = b,$$

$$\int_a^b f(x) \, dx = \frac{b-a}{6} \left[f(a) + 4f(\frac{a+b}{2}) + f(b) \right] + E_2(f)$$

error estimate

$$|E_2(f)| \le M_3 rac{(b-a)^4}{196}, \quad M_3 = \max_{x \in [a,b]} |f^{(3)}(x)|$$

improved $E_2(f) = -\frac{(b-a)^5}{2880}f^{(4)}(\gamma), \qquad \gamma \in (a,b)$

Consider $[a, b] \subset \mathbf{R}$ and

$$I(f) := \int_a^b f(x) \, dx.$$

Suppose $h = \frac{b-a}{m}$

$$a = x_0 < x_1 \cdots < x_m = b, \quad x_i = a + i h$$

the composite trapezoid rule is

$$T(m) = T(h) = h\left(\frac{1}{2}f(x_0) + \sum_{i=1}^{m-1}f(x_i) + \frac{1}{2}f(x_m)\right),$$

E> ★ E> -

Consider $[a, b] \subset \mathbf{R}$ and

$$I(f) := \int_a^b f(x) \, dx.$$

Suppose $h = \frac{b-a}{m}$

$$a = x_0 < x_1 \cdots < x_m = b, \quad x_i = a + i h$$

the composite trapezoid rule is

$$T(m) = T(h) = h\left(\frac{1}{2}f(x_0) + \sum_{i=1}^{m-1}f(x_i) + \frac{1}{2}f(x_m)\right),$$

and we have seen that

$$|\mathcal{E}_1(f)| = |I(f) - T(h)| \le rac{(b-a)h^2}{12}ar{M}_2, \qquad ar{M}_2 = \max_{\xi \in [a,b]} |f''(\xi)|$$

NA

E> ★ E> -

Consider $[a, b] \subset \mathbf{R}$ and

$$I(f) := \int_a^b f(x) \, dx.$$

Suppose $h = \frac{b-a}{m}$

$$a = x_0 < x_1 \cdots < x_m = b, \quad x_i = a + i h$$

the composite trapezoid rule is

$$T(m) = T(h) = h\left(\frac{1}{2}f(x_0) + \sum_{i=1}^{m-1}f(x_i) + \frac{1}{2}f(x_m)\right),$$

Euler-Maclaurin: expansion in even powers of h

Suppose $f \in C^{2m}[a, b]$ then

$$I(f) - T(h) = \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} \frac{b_{2k}}{2k!} h^{2k} \left(f^{(2k-1)}(a) - f^{(2k-1)}(b) \right) - \frac{b_{2m}}{2m!} h^{2m}(b-a) f^{(2m)}(\eta),$$

and $\eta \in (a, b)$, b_k the Bernoulli numbers.

Extrapolation:

$$I(f) - T(h) = C_1 h^2 + C_2 h^4 + C_3 h^6 + \mathcal{O}(h^8)$$

$$I(f) - T(\frac{h}{2}) = C_1 \frac{h^2}{4} + C_2 \frac{h^4}{16} + C_3 \frac{h^6}{64} + \mathcal{O}(h^8)$$

eliminate $C_1 h^2$ by linearly combine the two formulae:

물 제 문 제

Extrapolation:

$$I(f) - T(h) = C_1 h^2 + C_2 h^4 + C_3 h^6 + \mathcal{O}(h^8)$$

$$I(f) - T(\frac{h}{2}) = C_1 \frac{h^2}{4} + C_2 \frac{h^4}{16} + C_3 \frac{h^6}{64} + \mathcal{O}(h^8)$$

eliminate $C_1 h^2$ by linearly combine the two formulae:

$$I(f) - \frac{4T(\frac{h}{2}) - T(h)}{3} = -\frac{1}{4}C_2h^4 - \frac{5}{16}C_3h^6 + \mathcal{O}(h^8)$$

3.5

Extrapolation:

$$I(f) - T(h) = C_1 h^2 + C_2 h^4 + C_3 h^6 + \mathcal{O}(h^8)$$

$$I(f) - T(\frac{h}{2}) = C_1 \frac{h^2}{4} + C_2 \frac{h^4}{16} + C_3 \frac{h^6}{64} + \mathcal{O}(h^8)$$

eliminate $C_1 h^2$ by linearly combine the two formulae:

$$I(f) - rac{4T(rac{h}{2}) - T(h)}{3} = -rac{1}{4}C_2h^4 - rac{5}{16}C_3h^6 + \mathcal{O}(h^8)$$

then take

$$T_1(h) := \frac{4T(\frac{h}{2}) - T(h)}{3}$$

3.5

Extrapolation:

$$I(f) - T(h) = C_1 h^2 + C_2 h^4 + C_3 h^6 + \mathcal{O}(h^8)$$

$$I(f) - T(\frac{h}{2}) = C_1 \frac{h^2}{4} + C_2 \frac{h^4}{16} + C_3 \frac{h^6}{64} + \mathcal{O}(h^8)$$

eliminate $C_1 h^2$ by linearly combine the two formulae:

$$I(f) - \frac{4T(\frac{h}{2}) - T(h)}{3} = -\frac{1}{4}C_2h^4 - \frac{5}{16}C_3h^6 + \mathcal{O}(h^8)$$

then take

$$T_1(h) := rac{4T(rac{h}{2}) - T(h)}{3}$$

repeat this trick k times to obtain the general formula:

$$T_k(h) = \frac{4^k T_{k-1}(\frac{h}{2}) - T_{k-1}(h)}{4^k - 1}, \quad k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$$

Romberg matrix

Let $h_0 = b - a$ and $h_n = \frac{h_0}{2^n} = \frac{h_{n-1}}{2}$, $m = 2^n$ we can write the successively obtained approximations into a triangular matrix as follows

and in yet another notation

$$R(n,k) := T_k(h_{n-k}) = T_k(2^{n-k}), \qquad \begin{array}{c} R(0,0) \\ R(1,0) & R(1,1) \\ R(2,0) & R(2,1) & R(2,2) \\ R(3,0) & R(3,1) & R(3,2) & R(3,3) \end{array}$$

The first column is the usual composite trapezium rule with more and more points, the other columns contain the approximations improved via extrapolation, the diagonal is supposed to get the best approximations provided f is smooth.

Romberg algorithm: recursive formulae

The first column is simply the composite trapezium rule were we half the step-size and fill inn more and more values of f. This gives:

$$R(n,0) = \frac{1}{2}R(n-1,0) + h_n \sum_{i=1}^{2^{(n-1)}} f(a+(2i-1)h_n).$$

Romberg algorithm: recursive formulae

The first column is simply the composite trapezium rule were we half the step-size and fill inn more and more values of f. This gives:

$$R(n,0) = \frac{1}{2}R(n-1,0) + h_n \sum_{i=1}^{2^{(n-1)}} f(a+(2i-1)h_n).$$

For the rest of the matrix, since $T_k(h) = \frac{4^k T_{k-1}(\frac{h}{2}) - T_{k-1}(h)}{4^k - 1}$, we have

$$R(n,k) = R(n,k-1) + \frac{1}{4^k-1} (R(n,k-1) - R(n-1,k-1)).$$

Romberg algorithm: recursive formulae

The first column is simply the composite trapezium rule were we half the step-size and fill inn more and more values of f. This gives:

$$R(n,0) = \frac{1}{2}R(n-1,0) + h_n \sum_{i=1}^{2^{(n-1)}} f(a+(2i-1)h_n).$$

For the rest of the matrix, since $T_k(h) = \frac{4^k T_{k-1}(\frac{h}{2}) - T_{k-1}(h)}{4^k - 1}$, we have

$$R(n,k) = R(n,k-1) + \frac{1}{4^k-1} (R(n,k-1) - R(n-1,k-1)).$$

This gives automatically the error estimate:

$$E(n,k) := R(n,k) - R(n,k-1) = \frac{1}{4^k - 1} (R(n,k-1) - R(n-1,k-1)).$$

過 と く ヨ と く ヨ と

Consider $[a, b] \subset \mathbf{R}$ and

$$I(f) := \int_a^b f(x) \, dx.$$

Suppose $h = \frac{b-a}{m}$

$$a = x_0 < x_1 \cdots < x_m = b, \quad x_i = a + i h$$

the composite trapezoid rule is

$$T(m) = T(h) = h\left(\frac{1}{2}f(x_0) + \sum_{i=1}^{m-1}f(x_i) + \frac{1}{2}f(x_m)\right),$$

Euler-Maclaurin: expansion in even powers of h

Suppose $f \in C^{2m}[a, b]$ then

$$I(f) - T(h) = \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} \frac{b_{2k}}{2k!} h^{2k} \left(f^{(2k-1)}(a) - f^{(2k-1)}(b) \right) - \frac{b_{2m}}{2m!} h^{2m}(b-a) f^{(2m)}(\eta),$$

and $\eta \in (a, b)$, b_k the Bernoulli numbers.

Bernoulli polynomials

• $B_0(t) \equiv 1$

•
$$B'_k(t) = kB_{k-1}(t)$$
 and $\int_0^1 B_k(t) dt = 0$ for $k \ge 0$

æ

Bernoulli polynomials

- $B_0(t) \equiv 1$
- $B'_k(t) = kB_{k-1}(t)$ and $\int_0^1 B_k(t) dt = 0$ for $k \ge 0$

the first few polynomials are

- $B_0(t) \equiv 1$
- $B_1(t) = t \frac{1}{2}$
- $B_2(t) = t^2 t + \frac{1}{6}$

Bernoulli polynomials

- $B_0(t) \equiv 1$
- $B'_k(t) = kB_{k-1}(t)$ and $\int_0^1 B_k(t) dt = 0$ for $k \ge 0$

the first few polynomials are

- $B_0(t) \equiv 1$
- $B_1(t) = t \frac{1}{2}$
- $B_2(t) = t^2 t + \frac{1}{6}$

Properties

1
$$B_k(0) = B_k(1)$$
 for $k \ge 2$

2
$$B_k(1-t) = (-1)^k B_k(t)$$

3 $B_k(t) - B_k(0)$ does not have zeros in (0, 1) if k is even.

Bernoulli numbers $b_k := B_k(0)$ and (1) and (2) imply $b_k = 0$ for k odd and $k \ge 3$

Theorem

If $f : [a, b] \to \mathbb{R}$ is continuous and $g : [a, b] \to \mathbb{R}$ is integrable and nonnegative on (a, b). Then $\exists \eta \in (a, b)$ such that

$$\int_a^b f(x)g(x)dx = f(\eta)\int_a^b g(x)dx.$$

▲御▶ ★理≯ ★理≯