

MA0301
ELEMENTARY DISCRETE MATHEMATICS
NTNU, SPRING 2019

EXERCISE SET 6

NOTE: Problems marked with a \star are mandatory. Their solutions must be included to get the set approved.

\star **Exercise 1.** Recall that binary relations are sets, such that the set operations \cup , \cap and complement apply to them. Let A, B be two non-empty sets. Let $R \subseteq A \times B$ be a binary relation. We denote the domain of R by $\text{dom}(R)$ and the range of R by $\text{ran}(R)$. The complement of R is defined as $\bar{R} := (A \times B) \setminus R = (A \times B) - R$.

Now let $R_1, R_2 \subseteq A \times B$ be two binary relations. Show that:

- i) $\text{dom}(R_1 \cup R_2) = \text{dom}(R_1) \cup \text{dom}(R_2)$
- ii) $\text{ran}(R_1 \cup R_2) = \text{ran}(R_1) \cup \text{ran}(R_2)$
- iii) $\text{dom}(R_1 \cap R_2) \subseteq \text{dom}(R_1) \cap \text{dom}(R_2)$
- iv) $\text{ran}(R_1 \cap R_2) \subseteq \text{ran}(R_1) \cap \text{ran}(R_2)$.

Solution. We show i) as the proof of ii) is done by simply replacing "domain" everywhere by "range": note that $(a, b) \in R_1 \cup R_2 \Leftrightarrow ((a, b) \in R_1 \vee (a, b) \in R_2)$. Now, $a \in \text{dom}(R_1 \cup R_2)$ iff there is some (a, b) such that $(a, b) \in R_1 \cup R_2$ iff $(a, b) \in R_1 \vee (a, b) \in R_2$ iff $(a \in \text{dom}(R_1) \vee a \in \text{dom}(R_2))$. All together, this gives the first equivalence in the following:

$$a \in \text{dom}(R_1 \cup R_2) \Leftrightarrow (a \in \text{dom}(R_1) \vee a \in \text{dom}(R_2)) \Leftrightarrow (a \in \text{dom}(R_1) \cup \text{dom}(R_2)).$$

The second follows by definition of \cup .

As for iii) and iv), we only show iii) as the proof of iv) follows as above: $a \in \text{dom}(R_1 \cap R_2)$ iff there is some (a, b) such that $(a, b) \in R_1 \cap R_2$ iff $(a, b) \in R_1 \wedge (a, b) \in R_2$. The last claim implies that $a \in \text{dom}(R_1) \wedge a \in \text{dom}(R_2)$, which is equivalent to $a \in \text{dom}(R_1) \cap \text{dom}(R_2)$. ■

\star **Exercise 2.** You have the set $A = \{a, b, c, d, e, f\}$. We define the following two binary relations on A :

$$S_1 = \{(a, b), (b, c), (c, d), (d, e), (e, f), (f, a)\}$$

$$S_2 = \{(a, b), (b, c), (c, d), (d, e), (e, f), (f, a), (a, d), (d, a), (f, c), (c, f)\}.$$

1) Draw the arrow diagrams representing these two binary relation.

2) Give the arrow diagram representations for:

(a) $S_1 \cap S_2$, (b) $S_1 \cup S_2$, (c) $S_1 - S_2$, (d) \bar{S}_1 (e) S_1^{-1} (f) $S_1 \circ S_2$.

Solution. Solution omitted. ■

\star **Exercise 3.** Consider the set $S = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12\}$. Define the relation R on S that relates every number in S to those that have the same number of divisors as it. Show that R is an equivalence relation. Find the partition of S corresponding to R .

Date: April 24, 2019.

Solution. While this problem is fairly concrete, it is perhaps easier to show that this is an equivalence relation by working "abstractly": every number x has the same number of divisor as itself, i.e. $(x, x) \in R$ for every $x \in S$, and hence reflexivity follows; (x, y) is in the relation iff x and y have the same number of divisors iff (y, x) is in the relation, and hence we get symmetry; and finally, for transitivity, note that $(x, y) \in R$ and $(y, z) \in R$ iff x has the same number of divisors as y and y has the same number of divisors as z , so that by the transitivity of equality for whole numbers, x has the same number of divisors as z , implying $(x, z) \in R$, and hence we are done.

We note here that we consistently used that equality for whole numbers is an equivalence relation to show that R is one, too. This is often useful in similar situations.

Finding the partition: 1 is the only number with only 1 divisor.

2, 3, 5, 7, 11 are the only primes and thus have 2 divisors. While 4, 8, 9 are composite, they likewise only have 2 divisors, being squares and cubes.

6, 10, 12 are all composite with 3 divisors.

The partition is thus given as $\{1\}$, $\{2, 3, 4, 5, 7, 8, 9, 11\}$ and $\{6, 10, 12\}$. ■

★ **Exercise 4.** Let R_1 and R_2 be two equivalence relations on the set A . Show that $R_1 \circ R_2$ is an equivalence relation if and only if $R_1 \circ R_2 = R_2 \circ R_1$.

Solution. $R_1 \circ R_2$ is reflexive iff $(x, x) \in R_1 \circ R_2$ for all x in A iff $(x, y) \in R_1$ and $(y, x) \in R_2$ for all x in A and for some $y \in A$. But then, as both R_1 and R_2 are equivalence relations, we can choose y equal to x for all x in A .

$R_1 \circ R_2$ is symmetric iff $(x, y) \in R_1 \circ R_2 \Leftrightarrow (y, x) \in R_1 \circ R_2$. Now $(x, y) \in R_1 \circ R_2$ iff there is some $(x, z) \in R_1$ and $(z, y) \in R_2$. Using the symmetric property for R_1 and R_2 , we get that this is equivalent to $(z, x) \in R_1$ and $(y, z) \in R_2$, which is equivalent to $(y, x) \in R_2 \circ R_1$. Repeating this argument with x and y interchanged we get that $(y, x) \in R_1 \circ R_2$ iff $(x, y) \in R_2 \circ R_1$. Hence, $R_1 \circ R_2$ is symmetric iff $R_1 \circ R_2 = R_2 \circ R_1$.

$R_1 \circ R_2$ is transitive iff $(x, y) \in R_1 \circ R_2$ and $(y, z) \in R_1 \circ R_2$ implies $(x, z) \in R_1 \circ R_2$.

Note that: $(x, y) \in R_1 \circ R_2$ is equivalent to there being a x' such that $(x, x') \in R_1$ and $(x', y) \in R_2$. $(y, z) \in R_1 \circ R_2$ is equivalent to there being a y' such that $(y, y') \in R_1$ and $(y', z) \in R_2$.

If $R_1 \circ R_2 = R_2 \circ R_1$, then $(x, y) \in R_2 \circ R_1 = R_1 \circ R_2$ iff $(x, x'') \in R_2$ and $(x'', y) \in R_1$ and $(y, z) \in R_1 \circ R_2$ iff $(y, y'') \in R_2$ and $(y'', z) \in R_1$.

$(x', y') \in R_2 \circ R_1 = R_1 \circ R_2$ follows from the above, and is equivalent to $(x', w) \in R_1$ and $(w, y') \in R_2$, from which we deduce $(x, w) \in R_1$ and $(w, z) \in R_2$, which is equivalent to $(x, z) \in R_1 \circ R_2$.

This was what was to be shown. (It might seem that we have skipped the "only if" direction when dealing with the transitivity property, but it is not necessary: the necessity of the assumption was shown when dealing with the symmetric property.)

Digression: Upon reflection, we might see that what we have shown is that $(R_1 \circ R_2) \circ (R_1 \circ R_2) \subseteq R_1 \circ R_2$. Hence, as R_1 and R_2 are equivalence relations, what we have done, essentially, is to "show" that

$$\begin{aligned} (R_1 \circ R_2) \circ (R_1 \circ R_2) &= R_1 \circ (R_2 \circ R_1) \circ R_2 \\ &= R_1 \circ (R_1 \circ R_2) \circ R_2 \\ &= (R_1 \circ R_1) \circ (R_2 \circ R_2) \\ &\subseteq R_1 \circ R_2 \end{aligned}$$

Note, that the equations/computations above don't necessarily make formal sense, but are meant to express the intuition for this. ■

Exercise 5. (Grimaldi, 5. ed., Exercises 5.1, page 252) *Exercise 9*

Solution. This is in S-28 in the solutions at the end of the book. ■

Exercise 6. (Grimaldi, 5. ed., Exercises 5.1, page 252) *Exercise 11*

Solution. This is in S-28 in the solutions at the end of the book. ■

Exercise 7. (Grimaldi, 5. ed., Exercises 7.1, page 343) *Exercise 5*

Solution. This is in S-40 in the solutions at the end of the book. ■

★ **Exercise 8.** Which of the relations in *Exercise 5* (Grimaldi, 5. ed., Exercises 7.1, page 343) are equivalence relations?

Solution. Only the relations in **c)** and **f)** are equivalence relations as ■

★ **Exercise 9.** Show in detail the set equality

$$(A \Delta B) \cup B = (A \cup B).$$

Solution.

$$\begin{aligned} (A \Delta B) \cup B &= ((A \cap \bar{B}) \cup (B \cap \bar{A})) \cup B \\ &= ((A \cap \bar{B}) \cup B) \cup ((B \cap \bar{A}) \cup B) \\ &= ((A \cup B) \cap (\bar{B} \cup B)) \cup ((B \cup B) \cap (\bar{A} \cup B)) \\ &= (((A \cup B) \cap \mathcal{U})) \cup (B \cap (\bar{A} \cup B)) \\ &= (A \cup B) \cup ((B \cap (\bar{A} \cup B))) \\ &= A \cup B \end{aligned}$$

★ **Exercise 10.** Use the laws of logic to simplify $(p \vee (p \wedge q) \vee (p \wedge q \wedge \neg r)) \wedge ((p \wedge r \wedge t) \vee t)$. ■

Solution.

$$(p \vee (p \wedge q) \vee (p \wedge q \wedge \neg r)) \wedge ((p \wedge r \wedge t) \vee t) \Leftrightarrow p \wedge t$$

Here, we have used three times that $p \vee (p \wedge q) \Leftrightarrow p$ for propositional variables p and q . ■

★ **Exercise 11.** Show by induction that

$$\sum_{k=1}^n 4(k^3 - 3k^2 + 2k) = (n^2 + n)(n^2 - 3n + 2).$$

Solution. For the base step, we note that both sides equal zero for $n = 1$.

For the induction step, assume the claim holds for n . We want to show it then holds for $n + 1$, too.

$$\begin{aligned} LHS &= 4((n+1)^3 - 3(n+1)^2 + 2(n+1)) + \sum_{k=1}^n 4(k^3 - 3k^2 + 2k) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{n+1} 4(k^3 - 3k^2 + 2k) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} RHS &= 4((n+1)^3 - 3(n+1)^2 + 2(n+1)) + (n^2 + n)(n^2 - 3n + 2) \\ &= 4(n+1)^3 - 12(n+1)^2 + 8(n+1) + n^4 - 3n^3 + 2n^2 + n^3 - 3n^2 + 2n \\ &= ((n+1)^2 + (n+1))((n+1)^2 - 3(n+1) + 2) \end{aligned}$$

■

★ **Exercise 12.** Show that if u_n is defined recursively by the rules $u_1 = 1$, $u_2 = 5$ and for all $n > 1$, $u_{n+1} = 5u_n - 6u_{n-1}$, then $u_n = 3^n - 2^n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Solution. We proceed by induction.

The base step is clear: $u_3 = 5u_2 - 6u_1 = 25 - 6 = 19 = 3^3 - 2^3$.

For the inductive step, we compute:

$$\begin{aligned} u_{n+1} &= 5u_n - 6u_{n-1} = 5(3^n - 2^n) - 6(3^{n-1} - 2^{n-1}) \\ &= 5 \cdot 3^n - 5 \cdot 2^n - 2 \cdot 3^n + 3 \cdot 2^n \\ &= 3^{n+1} - 2^{n+1}. \end{aligned}$$

This was what was to be shown. ■

★ **Exercise 13.** (Grimaldi, 5. ed., Exercises 4.2, page 209) Exercise 12

Solution. For **a)**, we need some known results on sums of angles for trigonometric functions:

$$\cos(\sigma + \theta) = \cos \sigma \cos \theta - \sin \theta \sin \sigma$$

and

$$\sin(\sigma + \theta) = \sin \sigma \cos \theta + \cos \sigma \sin \theta.$$

We then compute

$$\begin{aligned} (\cos \theta + i \sin \theta)^2 &= (\cos^2 \theta - \sin^2 \theta) + 2i(\cos \theta \sin \theta) \\ &= \cos 2\theta + i \sin 2\theta, \end{aligned}$$

where for the left summand we used the first identity, and for the right the second.

For **b)**, we note that $n = 1, 2$ hold either trivially or by **a)**.

For the induction step, we assume the claim holds in the n case and use the identities above.

$$\begin{aligned} (\cos \theta + i \sin \theta)^{n+1} &= (\cos n\theta + i \sin n\theta)(\cos \theta + i \sin \theta) \\ &= (\cos n\theta \cos \theta - \sin n\theta \sin \theta) + i(\cos n\theta \sin \theta + \sin n\theta \cos \theta) \\ &= \cos((n+1)\theta) + i \sin((n+1)\theta) \end{aligned}$$

Hence, the induction goes through, and the claim follows. ■

Exercise 14. (Grimaldi, 5. ed., Exercises 4.2, page 209) Exercise 13

Solution. This is in S-18 in the solutions at the end of the book. ■