On derived Picard groups of quivers

Flash Talks in Representation Theory

Jan Šťovíček January 5th, 2024

Department of Algebra Faculty of Mathematics and Physics Charles University

Picard groups

- If (C, ⊗, 1) is a monoidal category, we call an object X invertible if X ⊗ Y ≅ 1 for some object Y.
- The Picard group is defined as $Pic(\mathcal{T}) = \{X \in \mathcal{T} \text{ invertible}\}/\cong.$
- Classical situation: (coh X, ⊗, O_X). Invertible sheaves correspond to line bundles.
- Representation theory: $(mod(KQ \otimes KQ^{op}), \otimes_{KQ}, KQ)$, where K a commutative ring, Q a finite acyclic quiver.
- Derived version ([Miyachi-Yekutieli 2001] for K a field): $(D^{b}(KQ \otimes KQ^{op}), \otimes_{KQ}^{\mathbb{L}}, KQ).$
- Remark: There is a group homomorphism (iso for K a field)

$$\begin{split} \mathsf{Pic}\big(\,\mathsf{D}^{\mathsf{b}}(\mathit{KQ}\otimes \mathit{KQ}^{\mathsf{op}})\big) &\longrightarrow \mathsf{AutEq}_{\Delta}\big(\,\mathsf{D}^{\mathsf{b}}(\mathit{KQ})\big)/\cong \\ \mathcal{T} &\longmapsto \mathcal{T}\otimes_{\mathit{KQ}}^{\mathbb{L}} - \end{split}$$

Reminder on the derived category for a field K_{i}

 Consider a transjective component of the Auslander-Reiten quiver of D^b(KQ), for example:



- This is a so-called repetitive quiver ZQ of Q, and has a structure of a translation quiver with polarization:
 - there is an automorphism τ of the quiver given by the Auslander-Reiten translation (dotted red arrows)
 - and there is a specified bijection between arrows of the form $Y \rightarrow X$ and those of the form $\tau X \rightarrow Y$ (polarization).
- We also have Auslander-Reiten triangles in $D^{b}(KQ)$ of the form $\tau X \to \bigoplus E_{i} \to X \stackrel{+}{\to}$.

Mesh representations of repetitive quivers

Consider now any triangulated category *T*, a finite acyclic quiver *Q*, and the full subcategory of *T*^{ZQ}



given by diagrams where meshes assemble to triangles of the form $\tau X \to \bigoplus E_i \to X \stackrel{+}{\to}$.

- Denote this subcategory by $\mathcal{T}^{\mathbb{Z}Q,\mathsf{mesh}}$.
- Observation: Every object of \$\mathcal{T}^{\mathcal{L}Q,mesh}\$ is determined up to isomorphism by its restriction to \$\mathcal{T}^Q\$ (the green area).
- In fact, the restriction functor res: T^{ZQ,mesh} → T^Q is surjective on objects, but has no reason to be an equivalence.

Stable $\infty\text{-}categories$ and the equivalence

- Replace T be a stable ∞-category C in the sense of Lurie (its homotopy category hC is then triangulated).
- Again, let Q be a finite acyclic quiver Q and C^{ZQ,mesh} be full ∞-subcategory of C^{ZQ} given by diagrams



give by diagrams where meshes induce cofiber sequences $au X \to \bigoplus E_i \to X.$

Theorem (Á. Sánchez in progress, [Rahn-Š. 2016] for Dynkin type A_n) The restriction $\mathcal{C}^{\mathbb{Z}Q,\text{mesh}} \to \mathcal{C}^Q$ is an equivalence of ∞ -categories.

Picard groups and repetitive quivers

- If \mathcal{C} is a stable ∞ -category, then $\mathcal{C}^{\mathbb{Z}Q,\mathsf{mesh}} \simeq \mathcal{C}^Q$.
- Let G = Aut(ZQ) (the group of automorphisms of the repetitive quiver as a translation quiver with polarization).
- Then G acts on $\mathcal{C}^{\mathbb{Z}Q,\text{mesh}}$ canonically.
- Via the equivalence, we can transfer the action to $G \odot \mathcal{C}^Q$:



- Back to the classical case:
 - G also acts on the (triangulated) homotopy category $h(\mathcal{C}^Q)$.
 - If K is a field and we put $\mathcal{C} = \mathsf{D}^{\mathsf{b}}_{\infty}(K)$, then

$$h(\mathcal{C}^Q) = h(\mathsf{D}^{\mathsf{b}}_{\infty}(\mathcal{K})^Q) \simeq h(\mathsf{D}^{\mathsf{b}}_{\infty}(\mathcal{K}Q)) = \mathsf{D}^{\mathsf{b}}(\mathcal{K}Q).$$

Concluding remarks

- The equivalences of this type can be obtained as a composition by generalized reflection functors ([Rahn-Š. 2016], [Dyckerhoff-Jasso-Walde 2019], ideas going back to [Bernstein-Gelfand-Ponomarev 1973]).
- In fact, $\operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{Z}Q) \times \mathbb{Z} \odot \mathcal{C}^Q$, where the second factor acts by powers of Σ .
- One could expect that if Q is Dynkin, the second factor is not necessary. Known if C = D^b_∞(K) for a field K (e.g. [Miyachi-Yekutieli 2001]) or if Q is of type A_n ([Rahn-Š. 2016]).
- To resolve this, enough to consider C = finite spectra. So it is interesting to understand the spectral Picard group of Q.

Thank you for your attention!