

repeating this procedure, we can construct maps $\overset{-2}{h}: \overset{-2}{P} \rightarrow \overset{-3}{Q}$, $\overset{-3}{h}: \overset{-3}{P} \rightarrow \overset{-4}{Q}, \dots$

giving a null-homotopy of g .

Corollary: Let \mathcal{A} abelian cat with enough projectives. Then taking projective resolutions defines a functor

$$P: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow K(\mathcal{A})$$

such that $H^0 P = \text{id}_{\mathcal{A}}$ and $H^n P = 0 \quad n \neq 0$.

Proof: This follows from the previous result.

The dual result gives a functor $i: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow K(\mathcal{A})$ where iA injective resolution of A , satisfying $H^0 i = \text{id}_{\mathcal{A}}$ & $H^n i = 0 \quad \forall n \neq 0$.

Lemma (Horseshoe lemma) \mathcal{A} abelian cat, and

let $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$ be a short exact sequence in \mathcal{A} . Assume P and Q are projective resolutions of A and C respectively. Then there exists a proj resolution R of B with $R^n = P^n \oplus Q^n \quad \forall n$, s.t. the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 \dots & P^{-2} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & P^{-1} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & P^0 & \xrightarrow{\quad} & A \\
 & \downarrow \gamma \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} & & \downarrow \gamma \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} & & \downarrow \gamma \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} & & \downarrow \\
 \dots & P^{-2} \oplus Q^{-2} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & P^{-1} \oplus Q^{-1} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & P^0 \oplus Q^0 & \xrightarrow{\quad} & B \\
 & \downarrow (r, 1) & & \downarrow (r, 1) & & \downarrow (r, 1) & & \downarrow \\
 \dots & Q^{-2} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & Q^{-1} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & Q^0 & \xrightarrow{\quad} & C
 \end{array}$$

Proof: Consider the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 \text{Ker } p_0 & \xrightarrow{\quad} & P^0 & \xrightarrow{p_0} & A \\
 \downarrow \text{---} & & \downarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} & & \downarrow f \\
 \text{Ker } r_0 & \xrightarrow{\quad} & P^0 \oplus Q^0 & \xrightarrow{r_0} & B \\
 \downarrow \text{---} & & \downarrow (r, 1) & & \downarrow g \\
 \text{Ker } q_0 & \xrightarrow{\quad} & Q^0 & \xrightarrow{q_0} & C
 \end{array}$$

Since $g: B \rightarrow C$ is an epi and Q^0 is proj
 can find map $q_0': Q^0 \rightarrow B$ s.t. $g \circ q_0' = q_0$

Set $r_0 := (f \circ p_0, q_0'): P^0 \oplus Q^0 \rightarrow B$

Then get commutative diagram as indicated
 above. Now by the snake lemma the sequence
 $0 \rightarrow \text{Ker } p_0 \rightarrow \text{Ker } r_0 \rightarrow \text{Ker } q_0 \rightarrow 0$ is exact.

Hence we can repeat the procedure with
 $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$ replaced by
 $0 \rightarrow \text{Ker } p_0 \rightarrow \text{Ker } r_0 \rightarrow \text{Ker } q_0 \rightarrow 0$

This proves the claim. ▀

Derived functors

$0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$ exact, F right exact

$\Rightarrow F(A) \rightarrow F(B) \rightarrow F(C) \rightarrow 0$ exact

Q: Can we continue the sequence on the left

Answer: Yes! Using derived functors

Definition and first properties

Let $F: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ be additive functor

$\Rightarrow F$ induce additive functor

$$F_{\text{ch}}: \text{Ch}(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \text{Ch}(\mathcal{B})$$

$$F_{\text{ch}} \left(\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & d^{-2} & \rightarrow & d^{-1} & \rightarrow & d^0 & \rightarrow & d^1 & \rightarrow & \dots \\ & & & & A_{-1} & \rightarrow & A_0 & \rightarrow & & & \dots \end{array} \right)$$

$$= \left(\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & F(d^{-2}) & \rightarrow & F(d^{-1}) & \rightarrow & F(d^0) & \rightarrow & \dots \\ & & & & F(A_{-1}) & \rightarrow & F(A_0) & \rightarrow & \dots \end{array} \right)$$

$f = (f^n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}: A^\bullet \rightarrow B^\bullet$ morphism in $\text{Ch}(\mathcal{A})$, then

$$F_{\text{ch}}(f) = (F(f^n))_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}: F_{\text{ch}}(A^\bullet) \rightarrow F_{\text{ch}}(B^\bullet)$$

Can check that F_{ch} preserves null-homotopic maps, so descends to a functor

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F_K: K(\mathcal{A}) & \longrightarrow & K(\mathcal{B}) \\ \text{s.t.} & \text{Ch}(\mathcal{A}) \xrightarrow{F_{Ch}} & \text{Ch}(\mathcal{B}) \\ & \downarrow & \downarrow \\ & K(\mathcal{A}) \xrightarrow{F_K} & K(\mathcal{B}) \end{array}$$

commutes.

\mathcal{A} abelian category. Recall that if

• \mathcal{A} has enough proj's, then taking proj resolutions gives a functor $p: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow K(\mathcal{A})$

• \mathcal{A} has enough inj's, then taking inj resolutions induce a functor $i: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow K(\mathcal{A})$

Definition: $F: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ additive functor between abelian categories

• If \mathcal{A} has enough projectives & F is right exact, then the n th left derived functor of F is

$$L_n F := H^n \circ F_K \circ p: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$$